

Actuaries & Regulators 4 Ever 2 Gether



Allen Fricks, CPCU, ARM-E/P, ALCM

Actuarial Consultant Merlinos & Associates

Dorothy L. Andrews, ASA, MAAA Consulting Actuary Merlinos & Associates



Irreconcilable Differences

Regulatory Issues

- The Regulatory Goals
- The Changing Regulatory Framework
- "Excuse me. What did you say?"
- The New Challenges for Regulators
- Prove It To Me!!!

Actuarial Issues

- Breaking the Language Barrier
- The Regulatory Process
- Statistical Modeling Tools
- Common Modeling Filing Issues
- Actuarial Standards of Practice
- Modeling Review Checklists



VS.







Regulatory Issues





Regulators Prime Concerns

Rating Statutes Require

- Rates Not Inadequate
- Rates Not Excessive
- Rates Not Unfairly Discriminatory

Non-Statutory Requirement

Whim of the Department's Leader





Old Insurance Company Framework





Old Regulatory Framework

Data:Trust But Verify+ Models:Review of Calculations+ Results:Reasonability Test

= Effective Oversight





Regulatory Reaction to the "New Deal"

<u>Company</u> \rightarrow

Regulators

We have a new rating variable for separating risk. We are calling it Credit Score.

Seems to us that this is discriminatory and not an appropriate rating variable. New Data is the New Deal! Credit score reliably separates risk and is statistically significant.

Company

Regulators

Well, maybe it is and maybe it isn't but for now we are saying NO!



New Insurance Company Framework

Actuaries Traditional Models Complex Models

Internal Data Third Party Data Outside Experts Statisticians Data Scientists Managers

Results Reporting





New Regulatory Framework

Data: Can we trust & verify new data sources?
+ Models: How do we review complex models?
+ Results: What do the new results mean?

= ???????





Why is New Paradigm Shift Difficult?

- Sophisticated modeling calls for skills that regulators naturally lack.
- Sophisticated modeling requires knowledge that is often not in our educational backgrounds.
- Modeling is often **interdisciplinary**.
- The modeling field is constantly changing, bringing in concepts from math, statistics, natural and behavioral sciences, and computer science.



Actuaries & Regulators 4 Ever 2 Gether

The Poisson Distribution is a discrete probability distribution that expresses the probability of a given number of events occurring in a fixed interval of time and/or space if these events occur with a known average rate and independently of the time since the last event.

VIOLATORS WILL BE CRUSHED

The Office of the Actuary









Types of Predictive Models



- Predictive modeling is a growing discipline, spanning many industries and it is constantly changing in definition and application.
- GLMs are common in insurance (NOW), but other techniques are beginning to be adopted.
- Software tools are rapidly moving towards point & click interfaces, diminishing the need to know complex computer languages.

Why is New Paradigm Shift Difficult?



- Modeling process naturally lacks transparency.
- Data verification is much more difficult.
- Lots of problematic language and acronym problems.
- The jobs of regulators has not changed, but challenges have grown.



Regulatory Considerations



Use of Restricted Classes

Specific Rates by Jurisdiction

Dislocations and Capping Limitations

- ✓ Not enough information to evaluate the model.
- ✓ Is it protected class information?
- ✓ *Is the net effect discriminatory even if not intended?*











In the end what do regulators need?







Actuarial Issues



Elasticity, theony & Application

Question. What is elasticity? How to derive elasticity formulas for different model? How do we apply it ? Answer: Elasticity: % of change of the dependent variable if we have 1% change in the independent variable. Q: For simple linear model: y=x+Bx => Elasticity = B. Xo yo Why?: elasticity = $\frac{2y'y_0}{2x'x_0}$ Where Xo: mean of independent var. y_0 : mean of dependent var. $= \frac{y-y_0}{y_0} / \frac{x-x_0}{x_0} = \frac{b(x-x_0)}{y_0} \cdot \frac{x_0}{x-x_0} = b \cdot \frac{x_0}{y_0}$ O. For log linear model: log y = a+bx ⇒ Elasticity =100. [e^{0.01.bx0}-1] Why?: elasticity = $\frac{ay/y_{a}}{ax/y_{a}} = \frac{y_{a}y_{a}}{y_{a}} \cdot \frac{x_{a}}{x_{a}} = \frac{e^{bx} - e^{bxa}}{a^{bxa}} \cdot \frac{x_{a}}{x_{a}}$ $= \left[e^{b(x-x_0)} - 1 \right] / \frac{x-x_0}{x_0} = \left[e^{bx_0} \cdot \frac{x-x_0}{x_0} - 1 \right] / \frac{x-x_0}{x_0}$ Now, the change of X: X-X0 = 0.01=1 % -3. For Logie Model. Loy $\frac{p(\overline{x}=1)}{(-p(\overline{x}=1))} = a+bx = los \left[e^{0.01 \cdot bx_0} - 1\right]$ denote y = p(Y=1), then $\log \frac{y}{1-y} = a+bx \Rightarrow y = \frac{e^{a+bx}}{1+e^{a+bx}}$ Now, elasticity = $\frac{Y-Y_{o}}{Y_{o}} / \frac{x \cdot x_{o}}{x_{o}} = \left[e^{b(X+x_{o})} \cdot \frac{1+e^{a+bx_{o}}}{1+e^{a+bx}} - 1 \right] / \frac{(X+x_{o})}{x_{o}}$ if X = 1%, X= 1.01 Xo $\Rightarrow E \text{ lasticity} = 100 \cdot \left[e^{0.01 \cdot bX_0} \frac{1 + e^{\text{atb}X_0}}{1 + e^{\text{atb}X_0}} - 1 \right]$

Remember the KISS Principle!!!



Source: https://www.timetoast.com/timelines/cartoon-timeline-of-albert-einstein





Breaking the Language Barrier



The Black Box

+ Actuaries =



The Glass Box



How do YOU know the model is "right"?





"Essentially, all models are wrong, but some are useful." - George E.P. Box

Recognizing the "wrong" model is easier than qualifying the "right" model.

The model is only a model of the phenomena and not the real thing. We can't remove the human element from the modeling process.

The Regulatory Process



- Prevent unfair discrimination in risk selection & pricing
- Regulatory are keenly interested in
 - Model variables
 - Reliance on other models
- Any model relied upon must be included in the filing
- Regulators disallow unfairly discriminatory variables & models
- Consult with legal counsel on variable selection

Big Data Analytics Data Reduction Techniques



Descriptive

Predictive

Descriptive statistics used to condense big data into easily digestible nuggets of information. Probability based forecasts allowing for extrapolations to future time periods where data does not exist. A predictive model that uses feedback data to improve information used in decision making.

Prescriptive

Dr. Michael Wu, Chief Data Scientist Lithium Technologies



MERLINOS actuaries consultants



E(y|x)

Classical Linear Modeling

g[E(y|x)]

Generalized Linear Modeling (GLM) *Flexible framework Non-normal Non-constant variance*

y|x

Simple Linear Modeling

MERLINOS actuaries consultants



Driving & Driver Data Variables (DDDVs)

 $(\leq 100$ k Car Years of Data)

- Time of Day
- Trip Distance
- Trip Duration
- Driving Route GPS Locations
- Braking Patterns: Harsh or Moderate
- Acceleration Patterns: Harsh or Moderate
- Average Speed: Low, Moderate, High
- Changes in Speed During Trip
- Driving Consistency Patterns
- Idling Patterns: Excessive or Normal
- Speeds in Excess of Posted Speed Limits
- Increased Fuel Consumption due to Improper Shifting
- Engine Performance Indicators



Actuaries & Regulators 4 Ever 2 Gether

A Cluster Analysis of Comedic Categories

Dave Chappelle

Charlie Chaplin

John Cleese

Terry Jones

Rowan Atkinson

Ricky Gervais

Sacha Baron Coh

Jennifer Saunders

Billy Connolly

Eddie Izzard

Peter Sellers

Michael Palin

Stephen Fry

Bill Hicks

It's Always Sung in Philadelphia South Park

lane

Sarah Silverman

Kristen Wilg

Eric Idle

Wanda Sykes

Louis C. Amy Poehler

Chris Farl

Bill Cosby

Monty Python's Flying Circus

The Muppet Show

The Daily Show

The SI

In Living Color

Saturday Night Liv

Chappelle's Show

Arrested Developmen

WKR

The Three Stoog

Frie





American TV Shows and Characters: 26% of comedy, central nodes = It's Always Sunny in Philadelphia, ALF, The Daily Show, Chappelle's Show, and Friends.

> **Contemporary Comedians on American Television:** 25% of nodes, includes Dave Chappelle, Eddie Izzard, Ricky Gervais, Billy Connolly, and Bill Hicks.





Classic Comedians: 15% of comedy, central nodes = John Cleese, Eric Idle, Michael Palin, Charlie Chaplin, and George Carlin.

> Classic TV Shows and Characters: 14% of comedy, central nodes = The Muppet Show, Monty Python's Flying Circus, In Living Color, WKRP in Cincinnati, and The Carol Burnett Show.



Naming the Clusters





Actuaries & Regulators

4 Ever 2 Gether



Common Issues with Statistical Modeling Filings

- 1. Incomplete disclosures wrt data, methodology, results.
- 2. Companies often don't want their "secret sauce" made public.
- 3. Analysis of company results often conflict with disclosures.
- 4. Use of obscure variables that mask discriminatory practices.
- 5. Statistical violations wrt data elements & model assumptions.
- 6. Inadequate analysis and justification of modeling assumptions.
- 7. Lack of transparency in converting model results to pricing factors.



Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOPs)



ASOP 23: Data Quality

ASOP 41: Communications



ASOP 23: Data Quality



- 1. Appropriate for the Analysis
- 2. Audited for Accuracy
- 3. Statistically Credible
- 4. Selected Using Statistical Sampling Methods
- 5. Comprehensive in Describing the Problem
- 6. Numerical, Census, and Classification in Data Type
- 7. Inspected for Reasonableness and Consistency

ASOP 23: Data Quality



STANDARD OF PRACTICE

Section 1. Purpose, Scope, Cross References, and Effective Date

- 1.1 <u>Purpose</u>—The purpose of this actuarial standard of practice (ASOP) is to give guidance to the actuary in the following:
 - a. selecting the data that underlie the actuarial work product;
 - b. relying on data supplied by others;
 - c. reviewing data;
 - d. using data; and
 - e. making appropriate disclosures with regard to data quality.



ASOP 23: Data Quality



STANDARD OF PRACTICE

1.2 <u>Scope</u>—This standard applies to actuaries when providing professional actuarial services in all practice areas. Other actuarial standards of practice may contain additional considerations related to data quality that are applicable to particular areas of practice or types of actuarial assignment.

This standard does not require the actuary to audit data.

If the actuary departs from the guidance set forth in this standard in order to comply with applicable law (statutes, regulations, and other legally binding authority), or for any other reason the actuary deems appropriate, the actuary should refer to section 4.



ASOP 41: Actuarial Communications



Section 3. Analysis of Issues and Recommended Practices

- 3.1.1 <u>Form and Content</u>—The actuary should take appropriate steps to ensure that the form and content of each actuarial communication are appropriate to the particular circumstances, taking into account the intended users.
- 3.1.2 <u>Clarity</u>—The actuary should take appropriate steps to ensure that each actuarial communication is <u>clear</u> and uses language appropriate to the particular circumstances, taking into account the intended users.
- 3.1.3 <u>Timing of Communication</u>—The actuary should issue each actuarial communication within a reasonable time period, unless other arrangements as to timing have been made. In setting the timing of the communication, the needs of the intended users should be considered.

ASOP 41: Actuarial Communications



3.1.4 <u>Identification of Responsible Actuary</u>—An actuarial communication should clearly <u>identify the actuary responsible for it.</u> When two or more individuals jointly issue a communication (at least some of which is actuarial in nature), the communication should identify all responsible actuaries, unless the actuaries judge it inappropriate to do so. The name of an organization with which each actuary is affiliated also may be included in the communication, but the actuary's responsibilities are not affected by such identification. Unless the actuary judges it inappropriate, the actuary issuing an actuarial communication should also indicate the extent to which the actuary is available to provide supplementary information and explanation.

Modeling Review Checklists



When reviewing models, scrutinize

- 1. Analysis qualifying the suitability of the data
- 2. Suitability & fair discriminatory use of data elements
- 3. Construction of dependent and independent data elements
- 4. The statistical sampling techniques applied to build modeling data
- 5. Due diligence performed to select statistical techniques to apply to data
- 6. The application of model results to price and classify policies
- 7. Routines for monitoring the continued fit of models to future insureds

Regulatory Modeling Checklists



Tasks to Perform

- 1. Review Purpose and Objectives of Model
- 2. Analyze Data Analysis and Cleansing Performed
- 3. Review Model Specifications and Pretesting Support
- 4. Critique Model Results and Assess Quality of Results
- 5. Assess Completeness of Support to Implement Model
- 6. Analyze Monitoring Metrics to Measure Continued Model Fit

Reconciling Our Differences



- Breaking the Language Barrier
 - Educating Regulators
 - Educating Company Actuaries
- Our Common Interests
- The Trade Secret Conflict
- Modeling Review Checklists
- Regulatory Modeling Checklists





We Have Common Interests

- 1. Protection of the Consumer
- 2. Adequate & Fair Rates
- 3. Statistical Model Credibility
- 4. Improved Regulatory Guidance
- 5. Improved Communications



Reaching Across the Aisle ...





What is black with one cream and two sugars?

What did God say when he created Actuaries?



"Go figure!" *They took him literally*.



Any Questions ???

