EXCESS COVERAGE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) FOR SELF-INSURERS: WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION—NEW YORK

BY

JAMES M. CAHILL

In 1927, Mr. Paul Dorweiler presented a paper entitled "Observations on Making Rates for Excess Compensation Insurance" which is contained in Volume XIII of the Proceedings. Mr. Dorweiler commented upon various forms of partial coverage and outlined certain ratemaking studies which had been made to that date. Included in this survey was excess insurance per accident, which affords coverage for losses in excess of a fixed limit per accident. The assured retains his obligations up to a fixed amount for each accident and insures the portion of losses in excess of this fixed amount.

Since that time, the subject of excess insurance per accident has been given further consideration in a number of states and various ratemaking formulas have been employed in different jurisdictions. The purpose of this paper is to outline the developments which have taken place in New York with regard to this form of coverage since the preparation of Mr. Dorweiler's paper.

In 1930, the Superintendent of Insurance issued the following decision which has been regarded as a landmark ever since as respects the various forms of excess compensation coverage in New York:

Decision in the Matter of Excess Compensation Coverage for Self-Insurers

Employers who qualify as self-insurers frequently purchase insurance to protect themselves against excessive losses resulting from the occurrence of a catastrophe. This form of coverage is legitimate, and reasonable provision should be made for furnishing it. The principal interest of the Insurance Department at this time is that the form of coverage made available should be catastrophe coverage and not a form to be used for competing unfairly with full coverage.

I therefore rule that all forms of excess coverage, deductible average, stop loss or aggregate excess coverage, etc., other than full coverage or ex-medical coverage, applicable to risks within this State, whether issued by a reinsurance or a direct writing company, shall be submitted to me for approval before they may be used.

(signed) Albert Conway
March 6, 1930 Superintendent

It will be noted that all forms of excess workmen's compensation coverage for self-insurers are construed, in effect, to be direct insurance and not reinsurance, and are therefore subject to regulation by the Insurance Department. Furthermore, such excess coverage must be essentially catastrophe coverage and not of a form to be used in competing unfairly with full coverage.

In accordance with this decision, the Compensation Insurance Rating Board prepared a standard policy form for excess coverage on a per accident basis for self-insurers which was approved by the Insurance Department. Advisory rates for excess coverage above certain specified limits per accident were calculated. In the schedule of rates by classification, the advisory rates were expressed as a percentage of the corresponding full coverage rates shown in the New York Workmen's Compensation Manual.

The standard form of policy providing excess coverage on a per accident basis for self-insurers was published by the Board in circular letter L. C. 134, dated May 13, 1931. A digest of the plan of excess cover and the principal provisions of the standard policy are summarized below:

DIGEST OF THE PRINCIPAL PROVISIONS OF THE STANDARD POLICY FORM FOR EXCESS COVERAGE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS)

FOR SELF-INSURERS

(a) Plan of Cover:

The excess cover available to duly authorized self-insurers shall provide indemnification for losses, including medical, in excess of a specified limit (\$10,000, \$15,000, \$20,000 or \$25,000 as may be desired) resulting from a single accident, whether such accident involves one or more persons. Such indemnification shall be payable to the self-insurer only when loss payments in excess of the assured's retention for the accident have actually been made.

(b) Consideration:

The maintenance of the employer's qualifications as a selfinsurer during the policy period and the payment of the premium constitute the consideration for the agreement.

(c) Insuring Clause:

The insuring clause provides cover for compensation benefits under the New York Compensation Law over and above the amount of the retention which the self-insurer is obliged to pay at his own cost. The minimum retention is \$10,000. The cover includes medical, hospital and funeral expenses under the New York Compensation Law and also protects the self-insurer against excess loss on claims and suits for damages under employers' liability laws of the United States and Canada. Medical expenses under employers' liability are excluded. All costs of litigation and defense and all interest charges are to be pro rated. An upper limit to the company's total liability per accident may be stated in the Declarations.

(d) Definitions:

The term "accident" is defined as an event involving injuries to one or more persons. Occupational diseases under the New York Compensation Law having a common origin and related to a definite time within the policy term are included. Only accidents occurring within the term of the policy are covered. Other definitions cover the term "employee" and the term "retention."

(e) Provisions of Section 167 of New York Insurance Law:

The provisions of Section 167 of the New York Insurance Law (as recodified in 1939) are incorporated in the contract. The company is made liable, in the event of the insolvency or bankruptcy of the self-insurer, to the claimants to the same extent as would apply if the self-insurer had remained solvent. The liability of the company is extended to cover any failure or default on the part of the self-insurer to comply with any obligations to the claimant, but only for such portion of the judgment as exceeds the retention of the selfinsurer. The statutory provision respecting notice is also made part of the policy.

(f) Determination of Premium:

The remuneration of employees furnishes the basis for the premium and its determination is in accord with the usual provisions found in the full cover compensation contract.

(g) Cancelation:

The policy may be canceled upon ten days notice given by either party to the other party and to the Industrial Commissioner. Cancelation is on a short rate basis if made at the self-insurer's request. If cancelation is at the company's request, or because the self-insurer is retiring from the business described, the premium is computed on a pro rata basis.

(h) Inspection and Audit:

The company is permitted to inspect the self-insurer's establishment during the policy period. It has the right to audit payroll accounts during the policy period and within one year after its expiration. The company is privileged to examine the books relating to loss payments within one year after the final settlement of all claims.

(i) Notice and Settlement of Claims:

The self-insurer is required to give notice of all accidents which may involve an excess loss. The self-insurer is made responsible for the investigation and settlement of such claims. The company has the right to participate in the negotiations for settlement or in the defense of any suit. No voluntary settlement is to be made without the consent of the company.

(j) Assignment and Subrogation:

The interest of the self-insurer may not be assigned. If there is additional insurance for excess cover, the liability of the company is in proportion to the total amount of excess insurance. The company is given the right of subrogation where either the self-insurer or the claimant has the right of recovery against third parties.

(k) Liquidation of Long-Term Claims:

The policy contains no special provision for the liquidation of long-term claims by a deposit in the Aggregate Trust Fund as permitted by Section 27 of the New York Compensation Law, but if an arrangement to that effect is agreed upon by the parties, the contract may be modified by an appropriate endorsement.

(1) Declarations:

The Declarations give the name of the self-insurer, Post Office address, describe the operations conducted by him and the locations to be covered; also show the estimated payroll,

the premium rates, the estimated premium, the minimum premium, the amount of retention (not less than \$10,000), and the upper limit of the company's liability per accident. The concluding item gives the signature of the self-insurer which is to be accepted as authorized on his behalf.

In 1940, the Actuarial Committee of the Compensation Insurance Rating Board completed a thorough study of this form of coverage which had been made preparatory to the filing of a proposed rate schedule to supersede the advisory rates established by the Board in 1930. The methods employed in this study and the conclusions reached will be outlined. In addition, a comparison of this proposal with the basis of the present advisory rates will be given for the important elements.

ACTUAL EXPERIENCE DURING POLICY YEARS 1928-1937

Preliminary to outlining the ratemaking method developed in 1940, it may be of interest to review the actual past experience for this form of coverage in New York. Exhibits 1 and 2 present certain information on the actual experience incurred during policy years 1928 to 1937 under excess cover for a single accident.

A loss ratio of 70.8% was incurred on a total premium volume of \$670,097. This was a very adverse loss ratio for this type of coverage for which the permissible loss ratio would average less than 50%, taking into account the necessary expense provision. For the experience of Board members, the corresponding loss ratio was 35.7%; and for reinsurance carriers, not members of the Board, it was 91.5%. It may be stated that excess coverage on a per accident basis has generally been written at rates lower than the advisory rates calculated by the Board in 1930.

EXPERIENCE BASIS OF PROPOSED RATES

It would not be practicable to determine the proposed rate structure on the basis of the experience of risks written on an excess coverage basis in the past, even though the total actual losses of \$474,609 for such risks may seem to represent a sizeable volume. Since the occurrence of losses costing more than \$10,000 or some higher limit per accident is infrequent, it is obvious that we must have a broad spread of experience in order to determine

reliable indications. Furthermore, the actual volume of experience has been built up by a repetition of coverage for a comparatively small number of risks and therefore does not encompass a broad cross-section of the business in the state.

The proposed rate structure has been based on a study of the New York experience for policy years 1931-1935 combined for risks written on a statutory coverage basis. From these data, it has been possible to determine the proportion of total losses which is in excess of certain selected limits per accident. By using five policy years of statutory coverage experience in this analysis, a sufficient volume of basic data was available to develop reliable indications by hazard group and per accident limit.

HAZARD GROUP

Each of the classifications, other than the vessel classifications, has been assigned to one of the following three hazard groups:

Hazard Group

A = High

B = Medium

C = Low

In previous studies, classifications were assigned to hazard groups largely on the basis of the relative catastrophe hazard. In connection with this study it was observed, however, that the excess losses on single cases account for a greater proportion of the total excess losses than do catastrophes. In assigning each classification to hazard group, consideration was therefore given to the amount of the serious indemnity pure premium, since this gives an approximate indication of the relative serious frequency, and to the inherent catastrophe hazard of the classification.

The assignment of the classifications to hazard group was made by the Actuarial and Engineering Divisions of the Board in cooperation, thereby reflecting both actuarial and engineering judgment. Exhibit 3 shows this assignment and also, for comparison, the corresponding hazard group assignment which underlies the present advisory rates.

Excess Cost

In order to derive the incurred excess cost on a comparable basis with the incurred total cost of a particular claim, the excess cost was computed on an annuity basis for cases involving life payments and on a terminal value basis for all other cases. For death, permanent total and major permanent partial cases where the estimates of incurred indemnity reflect the use of tables to compute the present value of future payments, the excess cost for specified per accident limits was determined on the basis of annuities deferred from the date of valuation until the available retention by the self-insurer is exhausted. In the case of major permanent partial schedule awards for which the estimates of incurred loss were set up on a terminal value basis, the excess cost was determined by deducting the retention of the self-insurer from the estimated total incurred loss.

In the computations based on deferred annuities, the sum of the paid and accrued indemnity and the incurred medical was deducted from the specified per accident retention to determine the remainder of the retention as of the valuation date. This residue was divided by the annual compensation benefit to determine the number of years that the annuity payments by the insurance carrier would be deferred. This method of calculation takes into account the fact that the insurance carrier will become liable when the self-insurer has made gross payments on a particular accident which aggregate to the amount of his retention. It does provide, though, for the use of an interest discount rate of 3.5% for the tabular cases from date of excess payment to the valuation date of the experience employed in this study.

In the case of catastrophes, the residual portion of the retention at the valuation date was determined by subtracting from the retention limit the sum of: (1) the paid and accrued indemnity and the incurred medical for death, permanent total and non-schedule major permanent partial cases, and (2) the total incurred cost for all other cases involved. The number of years that the annuity payments by the insurance carrier would be deferred was obtained by dividing this residue by the total annual compensation payable to all pensioners. The present values of the deferred annuities to pensioners were summed to obtain the total excess cost of the catastrophe. If the total losses paid or accrued ex-

ceeded the retention limit, the difference was added to the present values of the annuities payable commencing with the valuation date in order to obtain the excess cost.

Exhibits 4, 5 and 6 illustrate these calculations in the case of actual death, permanent total and major permanent partial (reduced earnings) claims. Exhibit 4 also illustrates the treatment of catastrophes wherein the multiple claims are combined in the analysis.

It may be well to mention that it was not possible to treat death and certain types of permanent disability cases as though they were payable into the Aggregate Trust Fund because selfinsurers are not required to pay the present value of such cases into the Aggregate Trust Fund as are stock and mutual carriers. Under the Workmen's Compensation Law, it is optional with selfinsurers as to whether they wish to take advantage of this method of closing out long-term cases.

Exhibits 7, 8 and 9 show the excess loss results determined for separate limits of \$10,000 per accident, \$15,000 per accident and \$25,000 per accident. These exhibits have been designed to determine the ratio of excess to serious indemnity cost by hazard group. As is customary, death, permanent total and major permanent partial claims are the kinds of injury classified as serious.

FORMULA FOR CALCULATING PRESENT ADVISORY RATES

The following formula was employed in 1930 in calculating the advisory rates for a \$10,000 per accident limit:

$$\frac{\frac{\text{Serious P.P.*}}{\text{Total P.P.}} \times \frac{\text{Excess Cost**}}{\text{Serious Cost}} \times .600}{.80} + .030}{.80} \times \text{Manual Rate}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\text{Serious P.P.*}}{\text{Total P.P.}} \times \frac{\text{Excess Cost**}}{\text{Serious Cost}} \times .9375 + .0375\right) \times \text{Manual Rate}$$
* For Class.

** For Hazard Group.

In explanation:

(1) The ratio of the serious pure premium to the total pure premium by class is determined from the adopted pure premiums underlying the New York rate for statutory compensation coverage.

- (2) The ratio of excess cost to serious cost is based on the study by hazard group for the \$10,000 per accident limit.
- (3) The permissible loss ratio of .600 underlying statutory coverage rates is introduced in order to convert the excess loss provision from in terms of statutory coverage losses to in terms of statutory coverage premium.
- (4) A flat charge of .030 of the manual rate is provided for fixed company administration and payroll audit expenses.
- (5) The loss portion of the advisory rate is divided by .80 in order to provide for claim adjustment, inspection, and the remainder of company administration and payroll audit expenses.
- (6) Finally, the result produced by the above steps is divided by .80 in order to include a provision of .175 for acquisition and .025 for taxes. These were the respective provisions which were included in the New York rate structure prior to 1935 when both items were changed.

The rates for other retentions were computed as a function of the rates for a \$10,000 per accident limit as follows:

Excess Insurance Above Per Accident Limit of :	Excess Insurance Rate Relativity (As % of Rate for \$10,000 Per Accident Limit Retention by Assured)
\$10,000	100%
15,000	75
20,000	50
25,000	25

Proposed Formula for Calculating Rates

The following revised formula for computing rates for a \$10,000 per accident limit is proposed on the basis of the 1940 study:

$$\frac{\frac{\text{Ser. P.P.*}}{\text{Total P.P.}} \times \frac{\text{Excess Cost**}}{\text{Ser. Cost}} \times .598 \times \left(1.000 + \frac{.080 + .020 + .103}{.598}\right) + .010}{(1.000 - (.150 + .039))} \times \text{Manual Rate}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\text{Ser. P.P.*}}{\text{Total P.P.}} \times \frac{\text{Excess Cost**}}{\text{Ser. Cost}} \times .9873 + .0123\right) \times \text{Manual Rate}$$

^{*} For Class.

^{**} For Hazard Group.

In explanation:

- (1) The permissible loss ratio underlying statutory coverage rates is now .598.
- (2) A flat charge of .010 of the manual rate is provided for fixed company administration and payroll audit expenses.
- (3) Claim adjustment, Department of Labor assessment, inspection, and the remainder of company administration and payroll audit expenses are provided for as a loading on the loss provision, in the same proportion as exists in the make-up of the premium dollar for statutory coverage rates.
- (4) The revised rates include a provision of .150 for acquisition and .039 for taxes (including .004 for Social Security Act taxes).

An analysis of the make-up of this expense loading as compared with the corresponding expense loading in the printed manual rates for statutory coverage is given in the following exhibit:

	EXPENSE LOADING-NEW YORK			
		(\$10,0	Excess Cove 00 Per Accid	rage ent Limit)
Item	In Printed Manual Rates for Statutory Coverage	Percent of Printed Manual Rate for Statutory Coverage	Percent of Excess Coverage Loss Provision ÷ .598	Percent of Excess Coverage Rate
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
H. O. Administration Payroll Audit Inspection	$ \begin{bmatrix} 7.1\% \\ 1.7 \\ 2.5 \\ 8.0 \\ 2.0 \\ 0.4 \\ 3.5 \\ 15.0 \\ \hline 40.2 \\ 59.8 \\ \hline 100.0\% \end{bmatrix} 11.3\% $	1.0%	\begin{cases} 10.3\% 8.0 \\ 2.0 \end{cases} \] \tag{20.3}	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.4 \\ 3.5 \\ 15.0 \\ \hline 18.9 \end{array} $

The rates for other retentions are to be computed as a function of the rate for a \$10,000 per accident limit as follows:

Excess Insurance Above Per Accident Limit of:	Excess Insurance Rate Relativity (As % of Rate for \$10,000 Per Accident Limit Retention by Assured)
\$10,000	100%
15,000	65
20,000	45
25,000	30

Although the above method of computing the excess coverage rates for retentions higher than \$10,000 per accident is proposed as a practical method, the results produced will reflect, on the average, the same type of expense loading formula as was outlined above for the \$10,000 per accident limit. This is shown by a comparison of columns (5) and (6) of Exhibit 10. The percentages for excess insurance rate relativity by per accident limit were selected so as to reproduce approximately the results which would be achieved if the expense loading formula were exactly applied in the case of each per accident limit.

Schedule of Proposed Rates for \$10,000 per Accident Limit

The schedule of proposed rates by classification is computed for the base limit of \$10,000 per accident. These rates are quoted as a percentage of the printed manual rate for statutory coverage. The calculations are based on the pure premium data underlying the July 1, 1940 general rate revision for statutory coverage and the following formulas were employed in making these calculations:

Hazard Group	Excess Cover Rate (\$10,000 per accident limit) as % of Printed Manual Rate =
A	$\frac{\text{Ser. P.P.*}}{\text{Total P.P.}} \times 22.9\% \times .9873 + 1.23\%$
В	$\frac{\text{Ser. P.P.*}}{\text{Total P.P.}} \times 19.8\% \times .9873 + 1.23\%$
c	$\frac{\text{Ser. P.P.*}}{\text{Total P.P.}} \times 15.8\% \times .9873 + 1.23\%$

^{*} For Class.

The excess coverage rate in the case of an individual classification is to be computed to three decimal places as the product of the specified percentage for the per accident limit and the printed manual rate.

MINIMUM PREMIUM

A minimum premium of \$100 is proposed for excess coverage policies.

Upper Limit per Accident as Respects the Carrier's Liability

Since an upper limit per accident to the carrier's liability may be stated in the Declarations, the following extended table is proposed to permit the determination by interpolation of the appropriate rates for policies providing for an upper limit per accident as respects the carrier's liability:

Excess Insurance Above Per Accident Limit of :	Excess Insurance Rate Relativity (As % of Rate for \$10,000 Per Accident Limit Retention by Assured)
\$10,000 15,000	100%
20,000 25,000	45 30
50,000 75,000	15* 10*
100,000	7.5*

The values marked with an asterisk would not be published but would be employed by the Board only when necessary upon application by the carrier. By plotting these values, a chart is obtained to be employed in determining the appropriate rates for a specified limit per accident of coverage for the carrier above the primary retention of the self-insurer. For example, the rate for \$75,000 per accident coverage above the assured's primary retention of \$10,000 per accident would be 91% (100% - 9% = 91%) of the corresponding rate for an assured's retention of \$10,000 per accident with no upper limit on the carrier's liability.

Comparison of Average Rates by Hazard Group— Present Advisory vs. Proposed

Exhibit 10 presents a comparison of the average rates, computed as ratio of manual, between the present advisory and the proposed. This study is based on the broad averages of the data for each hazard group. Column (7) demonstrates, however, that the proposed rate schedule represents a substantial reduction in

general from the present advisory rates. Only in the case of the \$25,000 per accident limit for Hazard Group A is it indicated that the proposed rate basis is higher than the present advisory basis and here the indicated increase is only 3%.

TEST OF EFFECT OF PROPOSED RATES BASED ON EXCESS COVERAGE RISKS IN POLICY YEAR 1937

Exhibit 11 shows a test of the effect of the proposed rates based on actual excess coverage risks in policy year 1937 to obtain a comparison of the indicated premium charges with those actually applied and also with the premiums which would have been determined by the present advisory rates. In this test, the July 1, 1940 printed manual rates have been employed in calculating the excess coverage rates on both the proposed and present advisory bases. It will be noted that the proposed rates would produce a substantially higher premium in the aggregate than was actually charged in the open market for this business since it has generally been the practice to disregard the advisory rates in writing these policies. The actual premiums do not serve as a good basis for comparison, however, because over a period of years the actual premium charges have been grossly inadequate and a very unfavorable loss ratio for this type of coverage has resulted.

Conclusion

This paper has outlined in considerable detail the study recently made by the Actuarial Committee of the New York Board for excess insurance per accident. The proposed ratemaking method has no official status as vet because the material has not been filed with the Insurance Department. The filing has been delayed because further consideration is being given to certain legal aspects in connection with this form of coverage, in view of the provisions of Section 54, Subdivision 4, of the New York Workmen's Compensation Law. Nevertheless, the indications of this study and the ratemaking method evolved may be of interest to the members of the Society since this form of coverage has application elsewhere.

In view of the fact that there is a good possibility that an extensive ratemaking study such as this may result in the approval by the Insurance Department of the application of excess coverage rates on a mandatory instead of on an advisory basis, the Actuarial Committee recommended that when a specific filing is made the Department afford the reinsurance carriers, which are not members of the Board, an opportunity to review the proposed ratemaking method to determine whether they are in accord with it.

EXHIBIT 1 WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION—NEW YORK

38.1% Loss Ratio (14) 14.8 70.8 172.8 34.6 174.5 98.7 0.3 51.3 20.2 207,103(a) 92,807(b) 60,544(c) Incurred Losses 6,314 43,418 8,256 112 33 \$38,118 17,937 474,609 All Carriers 118,702 \$100,096 125,343 94,038 34,949 41,685 35,040 42,696 Earned Premium 40,903 36,645 670,097 (12)Ξ 42 312 4 39 35 82 25 24 2 25 0.1%Loss Ratio 65 91.556.2222.6 22.4 275.6 18.7 0.4 63.8 115.1 EXCESS COVERAGE—PER ACCIDENT BASIS Non-Member Reinsurance Carriers 189,030 (a) 81,204(b) Incurred Losses 49,434(c) 42,063 11213,343 6,006 4,533385,770 ම 84,935 70,530 74,811 26,904 20,902 26,755 17,934 24,248 Earned Premium \$55,899 18,546 121,464 ⊛ No. of Risks 3 ಜ 12 15 17 7 17 21 ន 21 177 86.1% Loss Ratio 6.3 35.7 9 2.7 53.5 49.4 l 32.7 15.9 9.7 18,073 11,603 4,594 11,110 88,839 1,355 2,250 1,781 \$38,073 Incurred Losses Board Members છ 14,148 18,448 33,767 23,508 14,047 17,106 Earned Premium \$44,197 50,532 14,781 660'81 248,633 6 2 0 ∞ ∞ 23 61 14 135 ල 25 I 44 钻 <u>4th</u> 4th 4th 3rd2nd4th 4th 1st 1929 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 Total 1928 1930 1931

Notes: (a) \$181,297 was incurred under one policy.
(b) \$ 46,479 was incurred under one policy.
(c) \$ 49,454 was incurred under one policy.

EXHIBIT 2

WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION-NEW YORK

EXCESS COVERAGE—PER ACCIDENT BASIS SUMMARY OF EXPERIENCE FOR POLICY YEARS 1928-1937 INCLUSIVE

	Total (3)+(4)+ +(11)	(12) 49,433,039 267,733 373,693	141,414,933 190,522 61,237	141,301,407 154,146 33,133	27,878,659 57,696 6,546	360,028,038 670,097 474,609
	Limits Not Known	£ 111	2,000,000	7,529,254	27,422,854 56,004 6,546	36,952,108 61,073 6,546
	\$50,000	(10)	64,837,384 32,103 7,759	2,200	111	64,837,384 34,303 7,759
	\$25,000	(9) 5,101,343 18,610	334,969	4,510,273	225	10,160,631
_	\$20,000	(8) 338,401 —	9,706,504	[]	111	10,044,905 6,112
Limit per Accident	\$15,000	(7) 3,346,114 15,458	5,436,201 5,148	6,847,324	111	15,629,639 27,453
Limit	\$10,000	(6) 40,461,978 231,588 358,730	37,416,919 89,106 32,384	78,772,754 79,093 14,486	241,759 1,467	156,893,410 401,254 405,600
	\$7,500	(2)	20,336,426 49,543 18,074	37,718,104 48,913 12,071	111	58,054,530 98,456 30,145
	\$5,000	(4) 185,203 1,852 14,963	1,311,311 6,383 3,013	5,201,101 10,446 5,614	111	6,697,615 18,681 23,590
	\$500	(£)	35,219	722,597 867 962	111	757,816 910 962
	Item	(2) Payrolls Premiums Losses	Payrolls Premiums Losses	Payrolls Premiums Losses	Payrolls Premiums Losses	Payrolls Premiums Losses
	Hazard Group	(1) A	B	ρ	Not Known	Total

EXHIBIT 3 Sheet 1

REVISION OF RATES FOR EXCESS INSURANCE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS)

Classifications Assigned to Hazard Group A

Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates	Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates
Basic Classifications 1164 1330 4439	A A Not Assigned	Per Capita Classifications 9170 7711	Not Assigned A
4440 4452	A A	(a) Rated Classifications 4761 4765 4766	Not Previously Assigned
4743 5000 5040 5057	A A B B	4773 4774	(a) Rated
5059 5469	B B A	4779 4799 5041 5708	Not Assigned (a) Rated B A
5703 6209 6251 6252	B A A	5709 6254 6259 6260	Not Assigned (a) Rated
6257 6258 7402 7405 7408	A A C C C	6841 8604 9529	Not Assigned (a) Rated
7414 7415 7416 7417	Not Assigned	Chemical Classifications 4800-60 4801-61 4802-62 4803-63	Not Assigned
8304 9530 New York Special	A A	4804-64 4805-65 4806-66 4807-67	Not Assigned
Classifications 5039 5068 5699 5703 7710	B B A A	4808-68 4809-69 4810-70 4811-71	Not Assigned

EXHIBIT 3

Sheet

REVISION OF RATES FOR EXCESS INSURANCE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) Classifications Assigned to Hazard Group B

Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates	Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates
Basic Classifications 0106 0251 1165 1321 1421	C C B B B	Basic Classifications(cont'd) 4923 5022 5160 5203 5403	B B C B B
1430 1438 1624 1639 1640	C C B B B	5462 5466 5474 5506 5507	C B B C (5500D) B (6042D)
1654 1710 1741 1747 2014	B C B B	5508 5954 6003 6005 6045	B (1605) A A A B
2016 2021 2216 2702 2710	A B C B C	6202 6204 6206 6217 6306	A B A B B
2960 3620 3636 3642 3647	C C B A B	6319 6701 6801 6843 6864	B B B B
3726 4034 4360 4362 4400	C C B B	6872 6873 6874 7101 7201	B C C B C
4492 4511 4536 4561 4635	B B C B B	7309 7313 7317 7403 7500	B B B C B
4670 4683 4703 4730 4740	C C A C B	7502 7538 7539 7540 7570	B C C C C

Sheet 3

REVISION OF RATES FOR EXCESS INSURANCE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) Classifications Assigned to Hazard Group B

Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates	Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates
Basic Classifications (cont'd) 7590 7601 7720 7855 8204 8280 9088 9180 9552 9553	C C B C C C C C C B (9546D) B (9546D)	(a) Rated Classifications 2305 3821 6010 6233 7224 7225 7226 Chemical Classifications 4812-72	Not Assigned C C C C
9610 9630	B C	4813-73 4814-74 4815-75	Not Assigned
Special New York Classifications	B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B		

REVISION OF RATES FOR EXCESS INSURANCE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) Classifications Assigned to Hazard Group C

	·		,
Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates	Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates
Basic Classifications 0001 0002 0003 0005 0006	CCCCC	Basic Classifications (cont'd) 2111 2112 2114 2121 2130	00000
0008 0035 0042 0050 0301	C C C C	2131 2143 2150 2172 2173	C C C C C
0400 0401 1452 1463 1470	0000	2174 2177 2211 2220 2222	00000
1472 1701 1703 1745 1748	CCCC	2260 2280 2286 2288 2291	0 0 0 0 0
1852 1860 1924 1925 2001	CCCCC	2300 2302 2303 2348 2351	0000
2002 2003 2030 2039 2041	00000	2352 2361 2362 2380 2383	C C C C
2042 2045 2065 2070 2081	B C C C	2384 2386 2387 2388 2402	0 0 0 0
2089 2095 2101 2105 2110	CCCCC	2413 2416 2417 2501 2503	B C C C C

Sheet 5

EXHIBIT 3 REVISION OF RATES FOR EXCESS INSURANCE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS)

Classifications Assigned to Hazard Group C

		ea to Mazara Group C	
Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates	Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates
Basic Classifications (cont'd) 2531 2534 2537 2538 2560	C C C C	Basic Classifications (cont'd) 2916 2920 2923 2942 3002	C C C B
2570 2571 2575 2587 2600	C C C C	3004 3017 3018 3022 3027	C C C C
2623 2640 2651 2654 2660	C C C C	3028 3030 3040 3041 3042	C C C C
2670 2681 2683 2686 2688	CCCC	3060 3064 3075 3076 3081	C C C C B
2714 2731 2735 2737 2741	CCCC	3082 3085 3089 3091 3093	C B C C
2747 2759 2763 2766 2790	00000	3110 3111 3113 3114 3117	0 0 0 0
2791 2802 2804 2835 2836	00000	3118 3119 3120 3122 3126	c c c c
2841 2881 2883 2913 2915	CCCCC	3131 3132 3145 3146 3152	c c c c

EXHIBIT 3

Sheet 6

REVISION OF RATES FOR EXCESS INSURANCE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) Classifications Assigned to Hazard Group C

Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates	Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates
Basic Classifications (cont'd) 3169 3175 3179 3180 3188	CCCCC	Basic Classifications(cont'd) 3581 3612 3632 3634 3635	CCCCC
3200 3220 3223 3224 3227	00000	3638 3643 3648 3681 3683	CCCCC
3240 3241 3255 3257 3270	C C C C C	3685 3686 3724 3803 3805	CCCCC
3300 3303 3315 3331 3334	CCCC	3807 3808 3822 3823 3824	00000
3336 3364D 3372 3373 3374	CCCCC	3830 3841 3851 3864 3865	CCCCC
3381 3382 3383 3385 3400	C C C C	3883 4021 4024 4036 4038	CCCCC
3507 3515 3516 3548 3559	CCCC	4053 4054 4056 4061 4062	CCCCC
3561 3565 3571 3574 3580	CCCCC	4101 4102 4111 4112 4113	CCCCC

Sheet 7

EXHIBIT 3 REVISION OF RATES FOR EXCESS INSURANCE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) Classifications Assigned to Hazard Group C

EXHIBIT 3

Sheet {

REVISION OF RATES FOR EXCESS INSURANCE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) Classifications Assigned to Hazard Group C

Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates	Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates
Basic Classifications (cont'd) 6229 6325 6400 6504 6824	C C C C	Basic Classifications (cont'd) 8203 8209 8215 8227 8232	CCCCC
7103 7127 7128 7205 7207	C G B C C	8233 8235 8264 8266 8285	00000
7360 7380 7392 7515 7520	00000	8286 8291 8292 8293 8295	00000
7536 7580 7600 7609 7610	0000	8350 8387 8391 8392 8393	00000
7620 8001 8006 8007 8008	CCCC	8601 8709 8720 8726 8731	00000
8013 8021 8030 8031 8039	0000	8741 8742 8745 8748 8750	00000
8044 8050 8090 8102 8103	00000	8800 8803 8810 8813 8831	00000
8105 8106 8107 8111 8116	C C C C	8833 8835 8838 8840 8868	C C C C

Sheet 9

EXHIBIT 3

REVISION OF RATES FOR EXCESS INSURANCE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS)

Classifications Assigned to Hazard Group C

Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates	Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates
Basic Classifications (cont'd)		Special New York Classi- fications (cont'd)	
8901	C	1810	С
9015	Ğ	1853	Ğ
9016	C	2162	Ç
9019 9040	00000	2163 2164	0000
9052	CCCCC	2522	C C C C C
9053 9060	Č	2532 2552	E
9061	č	2553	ľč
9063	Č	2576	Č
9078 9079	00000	2578 2580	C C C C
9079	č	2580 2581	6
9089	č	2582	č
9090		2689	
9101	CCCCC	3068	CCCCC
9102 9154	Č	3113 3365	6
9156	č	3384	l č
9181		3548	Č
9182 9220	00000	3900 4232	00000
9220 9402	č	4232 4239	ď
9403	č	4610	Č
9410		5429	
9501	C C C B	5491	Not Assigned
9505 9521	Ç	5610 7998	C
9522	č	7999	CC
9545	В	8017	Č
9549 9585	B (9546D) C	8018 8032	00000
9586	č	8040	č
9600	C C	8043	Č
9620	C	8199	
Special New York		8232	00000
Classifications 0034	C	8385 8394	l c
1439	C C	9014	Ğ
1809	C	9015	C

EXHIBIT 3

Sheet 10

REVISION OF RATES FOR EXCESS INSURANCE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) Classifications Assigned to Hazard Group C

Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates	Code No.	Hazard Group for Present Advisory Rates
Special New York Classi- fications (cont'd) 9017 9054 9055 9091 9519	00000	(a) Rated Classifications (cont'd) 3066 3067 3088 3881 4986	Not Assigned
Per Capita Classifications 0912 0909 0913 0908	0000	5550 5705 7227 8500 9408	Not Assigned C Not Assigned
Per Cab Classifications 7373 7374 (a) Rated Classifications 0917 2816	CC	Chemical Classifications 4816-76 4817-77 4818-78 4819-79 4820-80	Not Assigned
2817 2818 2819	Not Assigned	4821-81 4822-82 4823-83	Not Assigned
		·	

EXHIBIT 4 ANALYSIS OF EXCESS COST OF N. Y. WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION CASES

Claim No	ssue: 1934	Indu Haza	stry Sch rd Grou	or Pensie	oup:	30,46 LS OF (Classif Kind (Losses	fication of Inju- Inc. I	e: Catastroph i: 5040, 504 ry: Death, ind.: 20,317 Med.: 25	10	Ove	XCESS LOSSES er \$10,000: 10,415 er \$15,000: 6,925 er \$25,000: 2,900	5 3
(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)		(5)	(6)			Widow or 1	Vidowe	er—Present Value	e of
Retention Limit	Total Losses Paid or Accrued Incl. Inc. Med. & Reserves for Children in Death Cases	Available Retention (1) — (2)		nual ages	(Wie	Annual Benefit dow(er) or ensioner) x = 30,46	No. Years Defers Annu (3) ÷	of red ity	(7) Suspende Benefits (Tab. XV	Bene	ıture Sits	(9) Excess Cost (8) — (7)	(10) Amount of Excess Cost (9)x(4)
\$10,000	7,660	2,340		500 395	450. 418.	00 50 868.50	2.7	7	79.40 76.88	402 475		323.47 398.76	10,415
15,000	7,660	7,340		500 395	450. 418.	00 50}868.50	8.8	5	189.85 208.43	402 475		213.02 267.21	6,923
25,000	7,660	17,340		500 395	450. 418.	00 50 868.50	20.0)	302.64 375.53	402 475		100.23 100.11	2,900
Pe	rmanent Tot. Disabil	ity Pensioner*—	Pres. V	alue of			Other	Cases	Present Va	lue of		Rema	ırks
$\frac{(11)}{\overline{N}_{x+(6)}}$	(12) D _x	(13) Excess Cost (11) ÷ (12)	2)	Amoun Exce Cos (13)x(t of 88	All F Ber (Tab	Cuture nefits le XII	R.	(16) nount of etention able XII r XIII)	(17) Excess Co (15)—(14 x Weekl Benefit	5)		

^{*}Including Permanent Total Disabilities at Reduced Earnings. **Interpolated.

EXHIBIT 5 ANALYSIS OF EXCESS COST OF N Y WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION CASES

	ANALIS	15 OF EAC	T22	COST O	F N.	1. WC	KKME.	0.2	JUMPEN	SATION C	AOL	io	
Policy No Claim No Year of Is Carrier: 3	.; 942531 sue: 1935	Ind: Haz	stry S ard Gr	ther: 2 ched. & Gro oup: C w or Pensio	oner): 34		Losses	f Inju	: 0006 ry: P. T. nd.: 17,133 Med.: 3,500		Ove	CCESS LOSSES er \$10,000: 11,36 er \$15,000: 8,034 er \$25,000: 3,494	38 L
				DE	TAIL	S OF C	CALCUI	ATI	ON				····
(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)	((5)	(6)			Widow or W	idowe	er—Present Value	of
									(7)	(8)		(9)	(10)
Retention Limit	Total Losses Paid or Accrued Incl. Inc. Med. & Reserves for Children in Death Cases	Available Retention (1) — (2)		Annual Wages	Ber (Wido Pens	nual nefit w(er) or ioner) c = 34	No. o Years Deferr Annui (3) ÷ (of ed ty	Suspende Benefits (Tab. XV	Benef	its	Excess Cost (8) — (7)	Amount of Excess Cost (9)x(4)
\$10,000	4,259	5,741		1,248	8	332	6.9)					
15,000	4,259	10,741		1,248	8	332	12.9)					
25,000	4,259	20,741		1,248		332	24.9				_	<u> </u>	
Pe	rmanent Tot. Disabil	ity Pensioner*-	-Pres.	Value of			Other	Cases	—Present Va	alue of		Rem	ırks
(11) ** $\overline{N}_{x+(6)}$	(12) D _x	(13) Exces Cost (11) ÷ (•	(14 Amour Exce Cos (13)x	nt of ess	All l Be	15) Future nefits ole XII XIII)	R (T	(16) mount of etention able XII or XIII)	(17) Excess Co. (15)—(16 x Weekly Benefit	Υ I		
372,121	.7 27,236.0	13.66	3	11,3	68								

9.656

4.200

8,034

3,494

27,236.0

27,236.0

262,979.0

114,387.31

^{*}Including Permanent Total Disabilities at Reduced Earnings.
**Interpolated.

EXHIBIT 6 ANALYSIS OF EXCESS COST OF N. Y. WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION CASES

Policy No	.: 37298	Sheet	Numb	er: 696			Туре о	f Case	:		EX	CESS LOSSES	INCURRED	
Claim No	: 924199	Indus	try Sch	ned. & Gr	oup:		Classification: 6306				Ove	Over \$10,000: 4,372		
Year of Is	sue: 1935	Hazai	d Grou	up: B			Kind of	Kind of Injury: Major P. P. Over \$15,000: 2,150)	
Carrier: 3	-37	Age (Widow	or Pensio	ner): 23		Losses	Inc. I	nd.: 9,461 1ed.: 3,500		Ove	r \$25,000: 219		
				DE	TAILS	SOFC	CALCUL	ATI	ON					
(1)	(2)	(3)		(4)	(5)	(6)			Widow or	Widowe	r—Present Value	of	
	-		٠						(7)	(8	3)	(9)	(10)	
Retention	Total Losses Paid or Accrued Incl. Inc. Med. & Reserves for Children in	Available Retention	Ar	nnual	Bei (Wido	nual nefit w(er) or ioner)	No. c Years Deferr Annui	of ed	Suspende Benefits	d All F		Excess Cost	Amount of Excess Cost (9)x(4)	
Limit	Death Cases	(1) — (2)	w	ages		x = 23	(3) ÷ ((Tab. XV			(8) — (7)	100	
\$10,000	4,132	5,868	1,	,040	40	9.30	14.3	·						
15,000	4,132	10,868	1,	,040	40	9.30	26.6	<u> </u>						
25,000	4,132	20,868	1,	,040	40	9.30	51.0					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Pe	rmanent Tot. Disabi	lity Pensioner*	Pres. V	Value of			Other	Cases	—Present Va	lue of		Rem	arks	
(11)	(12)	(13)		(14)	(15)		(16)	(17)				
$\overline{N}_{x+(6)}^{**}$	D_x	Excess Cost (11) ÷ (13	2)	Amour Exce Cos (13)x	ess t	Ber (Tab	Future nefits le XII XIII)	R (T	mount of etention able XII or XIII)	Excess C (15)—(1 x Week Benefi	6) ly			
451,952	.0 42,311.3	10.682		4,3	72									
222,266	.0 42,311.3	5.253		2,1	50			-						
22,593	.8 42,311.3	.534		2	19									

^{*}Including Permanent Total Disabilities at Reduced Earnings.
**Interpolated.

106 EXCESS COVERAGE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) FOR SELF-INSURERS

EXHIBIT 7

REVISION OF RATES FOR EXCESS INSURANCE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) BASED ON NEW YORK COMPENSATION EXPERIENCE FOR POLICY YEARS 1931-1935 COMBINED

I. SCHEDULE "Z" EXPERIENCE BY HAZARD GROUP

			Serious Losses		Total Lo	Ratio of	
Hazard Group	Payroll in hundreds	No.	Amount	P.P. (4) ÷ (2)	Amount	P.P. (6) ÷ (2)	Serious to Total (4) ÷ (6)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
A—High B—Medium C—Low	\$ 46,629,8 886,701,2 16,064,416,3	329 2,317 6,629	\$ 1,976,432 11,926,352 29,112,090	\$4.24 1.35 .18	\$ 4,105,004 33,642,189 107,611,471	\$8.80 3.79 .67	48.2% 35.5 27.1
Total	\$16,997,747,3	9,275	\$43,014,874	.25	\$145,358,664	.86	29.6

II. Excess Portion Over \$10,000 per Accident

	Gr	oup A	Gr	oup B	G	roup C	Total o	f All Groups
No. of Persons Injured	No. of Acc.	Amount of Excess	No. of Acc.	Amount of Excess	No. of Acc.	Amount of Excess	No. of Acc.	Amount of Excess
(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12	101 6* 4 	\$344,363 40,919 66,594 	634 17* 3* 2	\$2,140,421 137,213 43,112 32,682 11,533	1,531 16 4 1 1 1 	\$4,328,811 146,872 21,207 3,714 33,051 49,558 	2,266 39 11 2 1 2 	\$6,813,595 325,004 130,913 32,682 3,714 33,051 61,091
Total	111	\$ 451,876	657	\$2,364,961	1,555	\$4,598,037	2,323	\$7,414,874

III. RATIOS OF EXCESS COST

	Ratio	of Excess to Se	rious	Ratio of Excess to Total			
Hazard Group	Single Cases	Catastrophes	Combined	Single Cases	Catastrophes	Combined	
A—High B—Medium C—Low	17.4% 17.9 14.9	5.5% 1.9 0.9	22.9% 19.8 15.8	8.4% 6.3 4.0	2.6% 0.7 0.3	11.0% 7.0 4.3	
Total	15.8%	1.4%	17.2%	4.7%	0.4%	5.1%	

^{*}One of the catastrophes involves a case which was assigned to a Hazard Group C classification. The proportionate share of excess losses of such catastrophe has therefore been allocated to Group C.

EXHIBIT 8

REVISION OF RATES FOR EXCESS INSURANCE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) BASED ON NEW YORK COMPENSATION EXPERIENCE FOR POLICY YEARS 1931-1935 COMBINED

I. SCHEDULE "Z" EXPERIENCE BY HAZARD GROUP

			Serious Losses		Total Lo	8868	Ratio of
Hazard Group	Payroli in hundreds	No.	Amount	P.P. (4) ÷ (2)	Amount	P.P. (6) ÷ (2)	Serious to Total $(4) \div (6)$
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
A—High B—Medium C—Low		329 2,317 6,629	\$ 1,976,432 11,926,352 29,112,090	\$4.24 1.35 .18	\$ 4,105,004 33,642,189 107,611,471	\$8.80 3.79 .67	48.2% 35.5 27.1
Total	\$16,997,747,3	9,275	\$43,014,874	.25	\$145,358,664	.86	29.6

II. Excess Portion Over \$15,000 per Accident

	Gr	oup A	Gr	oup B	G	roup C	Total o	f All Groups
No. of Persons Injured	No. of Acc.	Amount of Excess	No. of Acc.	Amount of Excess	No. of Acc.	Amount of Excess	No. of Acc.	Amount of Excess
(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	95 5* 4	\$186,632 28,057 50,388	541 16* 3* 1	\$1,229,624 85,634 34,335 25,143	1,278 15 4	\$2,340,858 101,270 9,556	1,914 36 11 1	\$3,757,114 214,961 94,279 25,143
5 6 7	•••	•••	i	6,533	i 1	28,051 44,558	1 2	28,051 51,091
9 10 11 12				::				
13	::	::	::		'n	10,349	i	10,349
Total	104	\$265,077	562	\$1,381,269	1,300	\$2,534,642	1,966	\$4,180,988

III. RATIOS OF EXCESS COST

	Ratio	of Excess to Se	rious	Ratio of Excess to Total			
Hazard Group	Single Cases	Catastrophes	Combined	Single Cases	Catastrophes	Combined	
A—High B—Medium C—Low	9.4% 10.3 8.0	4.0% 1.3 0.7	13.4% 11.6 8.7	4.5% 3.7 2.2	1.9% 0.4 0.2	6.4% 4.1 2.4	
Total	8.7%	1.0%	9.7%	2.6%	0.3%	2.9%	

^{*}One of the catastrophes involves a case which was assigned to a Hazard Group C classification. The proportionate share of excess losses of such catastrophe has therefore been allocated to Group C.

108 EXCESS COVERAGE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) FOR SELF-INSURERS

EXHIBIT 9

REVISION OF RATES FOR EXCESS INSURANCE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) BASED ON NEW YORK COMPENSATION EXPERIENCE FOR POLICY YEARS 1931-1935 COMBINED

I. SCHEDULE "Z" EXPERIENCE BY HAZARD GROUP

			Serious Losses		Total Lo	Ratio of		
Hazard Group	Payroll in hundreds	No.	Amount	P.P. (4)÷(2)	Amount	P.P. (6) ÷ (2)*	Serious to Total $(4) \div (6)$	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
A—High B—Medium C—Low	\$ 46,629,8 886,701,2 16,064,416,3	329 2,317 6,629	\$ 1,976,432 11,926,352 29,112,090	\$4.24 1.35 .18	\$ 4,105,004 33,642,189 107,611,471	\$8.80 3.79 .67	48.2% 35.5 27.1	
Total	\$ 16,997,747,3	9,275	\$43,014,874	.25	\$145,358,664	.86	29.6	

II. Excess Portion Over \$25,000 per Accident

	Gre	oup A	Gr	oup B	G	гоир С	Total of All Groups		
No. of Persons Injured	No. of Acc.	No. Amount No. of Acc. of Excess of Acc.		Amount of Excess	No. of Acc.	Amount of Excess	No. of Acc.	Amount of Excess	
(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	73 5* 4 	\$51,658 13,992 27,906 	336 12* 2* 1 	\$426,856 38,388 21,894 16,630 	721 12 4 1	\$768,710 52,017 1,535 18,718 34,572	1,130 29 10 1 	\$1,247,224 104,397 51,335 16,630 18,718 35,880	
8 9 10 11 12 13						4,489	 i	4,489	
Total	82	\$93,556	352	\$505,076	740	\$880,041	1,174	\$1,47 8,673	

III. RATIOS OF EXCESS COST

	Ratio	o of Excess to Se	rious	Ratio of Excess to Total				
Hazard Group	Single Cases	Catastrophes	Combined	Single Cases	Catastrophes	Combined		
A—High B—Medium C—Low	3.6	2.1% 0.6 0.4	4.7% 4.2 3.0	1.3% 1.3 0.7	1.0% 0.2 0.1	2.3% 1.5 0.8		
Total	2.9%	0.5%	3.4%	0.9%	0.1%	1.0%		

^{*}One of the catastrophes involves a case which was assigned to a Hazard Group C classification. The proportionate share of excess losses of such catastrophe has therefore been allocated to Group C.

EXHIBIT 10 REVISION OF RATES FOR EXCESS INSURANCE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) Comparison of Average Rates (Ratio of Manual)—Present Advisory vs. Proposed

	Present Ad	visory	Indi	cated	Proposed						
	D. () A	Rate as Ratio of	70 4	Rate as Ratio of	Rate as Ratio of Manual						
	Ratio*: Excess Losses	Manual	Ratio*: Excess Losses	Manual	Calc. as % of Indicated	Ra	tios				
Hazard Group	÷ Total Losses			(4) x .9873 +.0123	Calc. as % of Indicated Rate for \$10,000 Limit	(6) ÷ (3)	(6) ÷ (5)				
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)				
\$10,000 PER ACCIDENT LIMIT											
					(100%)						
A	.108	.139	.110	.121	.121	87%	100%				
В	.070	.103	.070	.070 .081		79	100				
C	.038	.073	.043 .055		.055	7 5	100				
		\$15,0	000 Per Ac	CIDENT LIM	ПТ						
					(65%)						
A	75%x .139=	.104	.064	.075	.079	76%	105%				
В	75%x .103 =	.077	.041	.053	.053	69	100				
C	$75\% \times .073 =$.055	.024	.036	.036	65	100				
		\$20,0	000 Per Ac	CIDENT LIM	пт						
					(45%)						
A	50%x .139=	.070	Not Av	ailable	.054	77%	_				
В	50% x.103 =	.052	Not Av	ailable	.036	69					
C	50% x.073 =	.037	Not Av	ailable	.025	68					
		\$25,0	000 Per Ac	CIDENT LIM	ПТ	-					
					(30%)						
A	25%x .139=	.035	.023	.035	.036	103%	103%				
В	25%x .103 =	.026	.015	.027	.024	92	89				
C	25%x .073 =	.018	.008	.020	.017	94	85				

(for class) x Excess Cost (for hazard group)

WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION—NEW YORK

Test of Effect of Proposed Rates for Excess Insurance (Per Accident Basis) Based on Excess Coverage Risks in Policy Year 1937

		}	Excess Cover Rate			Exce	ess Cover Pre	mium	Ratios:			
					Present Advisory*	Proposed*	Actual	Present Advisory	Proposed	Present		7
Risk Number	Code No.	Hazard Group	Payroll	Actual	(Based o	on 7/1/40 l Rates)	(4) 100 ^x (5)	(4) 100 x(6)	(4) 100 x(7)		Proposed ÷ Actual (10) ÷ (8)	Proposed ÷ Present Advisory (10) ÷ (9)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
	·	<u>· </u>	·		\$10,000 F	ER Accide	NT LIMIT	<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u>'</u>		<u> </u>
1	7309	В	\$1,355,788	\$.25	\$.983(8.0%)	\$.750(8.1%)	\$3,389	\$13,327	\$10,168	393.2%	300.0%	76.3%
2	1701 8810	C C	9,598 270	.283 .006	.249(9.5) .007(7.0)	.212(8.1) .008(7.5)	44MP	44MP	100MP	100.0	227.3	227.3
	[Í	9,868		Í		44MP	44MP	100MP	100.0	227.3	227.3
3	4511 8810	B	163,508 112,678	.113 .006	.086(9.0) .007(7.0)	.091(9.6) .008(7.5)	185 7	141 8	149 9	76.2 114.3	80.5 128.6	105.7 112.5
	1	1	276,186				192	149	158	77.6	82.3	106.0
4	4683	В	905,466	.15	.340(8.0)	.395(9.3)	1,358	3,079	3,577	226.7	263.4	116.2
5	1624 4000 1463 8742 8810	B B C C	117,875 10,177 12,417 14,295 6,732	.80 .45 .30 .02 .02	1.192(12.0) 1.153(13.0) .475(10.0) .045(9.5) .007(7.0)	1.112(11.2) .949(10.7) .352(7.4) .041(8.7) .008(7.5)	943 46 37 3 1	1,405 117 59 6	1,311 97 44 6 1	149.0 254.3 159.5 200.0 0.0	139.0 210.9 118.9 200.0 100.0	93.3 82.9 74.6 100.0
	ļ	<u> </u>	161,496				1,030	1,587	1,459	154.1	141.7	91.9
6	2095	C	1,061,477	.08	.212(7.5)	.170(6.0)	849	2,250	1,805	265.0	212.6	80.2
7	7309	В	420,946	.30	.983(8.0)	.750(6.1)	1,263	4,138	3,157	327.6	250.0	76.3
8	6260	A	527,858	1.30	2.812(14.5)	2.249(11.6)	6,862	14,843	11,872	216.3	173.0	80.0
9	4527	В	891,717	.09	.182(11.0)	.152(9.2)	803	1,623	1,355	202.1	168.7	83.5
10	4720	C	2,514,931	.10	.215(7.5)	.204(7.1)	2,515	5,407	5,130	215.0	204.0	94.9
11	3883	С	182,774	.40	.311(6.5)	.321(6.7)	731	568	587	77.7	80.3	103.3
12	1421	В	1,009,998	.09	.623(9.5)	.630(9.6)	909	6,292	6,363	692.2	700.0	101.1
13	4720	С	518,420	.05	.215(7.5)	.204(7.1)	259	1,115	1,058	430.5	408.5	94.9

^{*}Disregarding any discount for upper limit on carrier's liability.

EXCESS COVERAGE (PER ACCIDENT BASIS) FOR SELF-INSURERS

111

EXHIBIT 11 (Continued) WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION—NEW YORK

Test of Effect of Proposed Rates for Excess Insurance (Per Accident Basis) Based on Excess Coverage Risks in Policy Year 1937

				Excess Cover Rate			Excess Cover Premium			Ratios:		
					Present Advisory*	Proposed*	Actual	Present Advisory	Proposed	Present		Proposed-
Risk Number	Code No.	Hazard Group	Payroll	Actual	(Based of Manua	on 7/1/40 l Rates)	$\frac{(4)}{100}x(5)$	$\frac{(4)}{100}$ x(6)	$\frac{(4)}{100}$ x(7)		Proposed \div Actual $(10) \div (8)$	Present Advisory (10) ÷ (9)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
	· · · · · ·			\$10,0	00 Per A	CCIDENT LI	міт (Conti	nued)		·	·	·
14	8810	C	\$1,116,023	\$.02	\$.007(7.0)	\$.008(7.5)	250MP	250MP	100MP	100.0%	35.6%	40.0%
15	7309	В	393,987	1.00	.983(8.0)	.750(6.1)	3,940	3,873	2,955	98.3	75.0	76.3
(2) Sub-Total			\$11,346,935				\$24,394	\$58,545	\$49,844	240.0%	204.3%	85.1%
					\$20,000 P	ER Accide	NT LIMIT					
(b) 16	7309	В	\$1,629,425	.05	\$.492(4.0)	\$.332(2.7)	815	8,017	5,410	983.7	663.8	67.5
(c) Total (a) +	(b)		\$12,976,360				\$25,209	\$66,562	\$55,254	264.0%	219.2%	83.0%
					Exc	CLUDED RIS	sks					
17	2003	C	\$3,606,693	\$.15			\$5,410			(\$ 7,500	limit)	1
18	7317 8810	B C	1,901,830 212,455	.16 .02			3,043 42			(\$ 7,500 (\$ 7,500	limit) limit)	
19		}					500			(\$10,000	limit)	1
20			2,643,079				1,189				İ	
21			1,564,614				3,520				 	
22			2,563,321				1,794					
23	-		310,253			1	233		}			
24			805,922			İ	747					
25		}	1,601,328		}	1	1,009		;			
(d) Sub-Total		1	\$15,209,495				\$17,487					1
(e) GRAND T	OTAL (c)-	⊢(d)	\$28,185,855	I		}	\$42,696					1

^{*}Disregarding any discount for upper limit on carrier's liability.