Recognizing LDF Variability: A Credibility Approach

Presented by Jack Barnett - Platinum Reinsurance

ASTIN Colloquium June, 2007



Cape Cod Method: Expected Pure Premium

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\mathbf{E}} \big[\mathbf{PP} \big] &= \ \frac{\sum\limits_{i} \! \big[\big(\mathbf{LTD}_{i} \, \times \, \mathbf{DF_{i}} / \mathbf{E}_{i} \, \big) \, \times \, \big(\mathbf{E}_{i} \, / \, \mathbf{DF_{i}} \big) \big]}{\sum\limits_{i} \! \big(\mathbf{E}_{i} \, / \, \mathbf{DF_{i}} \big)} \\ &= \frac{\sum\limits_{i} \! \mathbf{LTD}_{i}}{\sum\limits_{i} \! \big(\mathbf{E}_{i} \, / \, \mathbf{DF_{i}} \big)} \end{split}$$

Where:

LTD = Loss to date (adjusted for trend).

DF = Ultimate loss development factor

E = Exposure (adjusted for trend).

Summed over each accident period i

Credibility

It can be shown that4:

$$Z = \frac{VHM}{VHM + \frac{EPV}{N}} = \frac{N}{N + \frac{EPV}{VHM}}$$

Total Variance

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
|-----------|-------------|----------|------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|----------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Accd Period | | | | | | Trended | |
| Accd | Subject | On-Level | On-Level Subject | On Level | Cumulative | Developed & | Reported | |
| Period | Premium | Factor | Premium | Losses | LDF's | Trended Loss | LR | Ultimate LR |
| | | | | | | (5)x(6) | (5)/(4) | (7)/(4) |
| 2002 | 184,841,752 | 1.458 | 269,544,295 | 107,935,638 | 1.401 | 151,269,545 | 40.04% | 56.12% |
| 2003 | 258,499,389 | 1.043 | 269,544,295 | 84,122,238 | 1.754 | 147,587,305 | 31.21% | 54.75% |
| 2004 | 293,407,886 | 0.919 | 269,544,295 | 67,551,133 | 2.618 | 176,869,827 | 25.06% | 65.62% |
| 2005 | 303,248,288 | 0.889 | 269,544,295 | 44,663,392 | 4.176 | 186,535,040 | 16.57% | 69.20% |
| 2006 | 290,398,667 | 0.928 | 269,544,295 | 5,247,632 | 47.973 | 251,744,418 | 1.95% | 93.40% |
| Total/Avg | | | 1,347,721,477 | 309,520,034 | | 914,006,136 | | 67.82% |

Weighted Mean: 67.82%

Total Variance: 0.02421

Cape Cod: 59.63%

Expected Process Variance

The first moment of the lognormal distribution is

$$u_1 = e^{u + \sigma^2/2}$$

The second moment about the mean is

$$u_2 = \left(e^{\sigma^2} - 1\right)e^{2u + \sigma^2}$$

Maximum likelihood estimators for the parameters u and σ of the age to age loss development factor at age i are:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_i = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \ln x_k}{n}$$

$$\tilde{s}_i^2 = \frac{\sum_{k} \left(\ln x_k - \tilde{u}_i \right)^2}{n}$$

Expected Process Variance - Continued

Assuming serial independence for development factors, age to ultimate development parameters are additive so the estimators are

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_i = \sum_{j>=i} \widetilde{\mathbf{u}}_j$$

$$\widetilde{S}_i^2 = \sum_{j>=i} \widetilde{s}_i$$

Estimators for each accident period's mean ultimate development factor, $u(\theta_i)$, and process variance, $\sigma^2(\theta_i)$ are

$$Mean_i = \overline{X}_i = e^{\widetilde{U}_i + \widetilde{S}_i^2/2}$$

$$Variance_{i} = EPV_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{S}_{i}^{2} \\ e^{\widetilde{S}_{i}^{2}} - 1 \end{pmatrix} e^{2\widetilde{U}_{i} + \widetilde{S}_{i}^{2}}$$

$$Z_{i} = \frac{VHM_{i}}{VHM_{i} + \frac{RLR_{i}^{2} \times EPV_{i}}{N}}$$

Variance of the Hypothetical Mean

| Exhibit 2 | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Accd Period | | 6:18 | 18:30 | 30:42 | 42:54 | 54:66 | 66:78 |
| 1996 | | 20.777 | 1.100 | 1.193 | 1.364 | 1.134 | 1.052 |
| 1997 | | 7.700 | 1.248 | 1.238 | 1.143 | 1.091 | 1.057 |
| 1998 | | 10.021 | 1.474 | 1.394 | 1.178 | 1.075 | 1.104 |
| 1999 | | 6.551 | 1.282 | 1.377 | 1.262 | 1.140 | 1.11 |
| 2000 | | 20.851 | 1.210 | 1.575 | 1.244 | 1.251 | 1.154 |
| 2001 | | 16.804 | 1.622 | 1.479 | 1.263 | 1.217 | |
| 2002 | | 11.692 | 1.481 | 1.481 | 1.238 | | |
| 2003 | | 14.991 | 1.608 | 1.438 | | | |
| 2004 | | 8.367 | 1.676 | | | | |
| 2005 | | 11.807 | | | | | |
| "Last" | | 6:18 | 18:30 | 30:42 | 42:54 | 54:66 | 66:78 |
| 4 | \bar{s}_i^2 | 0.0431 | 0.0021 | 0.0011 | 0.0001 | 0.0035 | 0.001 |
| | ø _i ² | 0.052 | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.00 |
| | ai | 2.440 | 0.467 | 0.400 | 0.225 | 0.156 | 0.101 |
| | t, | 3.869 | 1.429 | 0.963 | 0.562 | 0.338 | 0.182 |
| | Mean | 47.973 | 4.176 | 2.618 | 1.754 | 1.401 | 1.199 |
| Expected | Process variance (EPV): | 129.498 | 0.161 | 0.049 | 0.018 | 0.012 | 0.003 |
| | N | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| | EPV: | 129.49770 | 0.16059 | 0.04854 | 0.01834 | 0.01155 | 0.00340 |
| | Reported LR (RLR) | 0.01947 | 0.16570 | 0.25061 | 0.31209 | 0.40044 | |
| | (RLR)*xEPV/N | 0.01227 | 0.00110 | 0.00076 | 0.00045 | 0.00046 | |
| | Total Variance ITER 1 | 0.02421 | 0.02421 | 0.02421 | 0.02421 | 0.02421 | 0.02421 |
| | VHM: | 0.01194 | 0.02311 | 0.02345 | 0.02377 | 0.02375 | 0.02421 |
| | Z: | 0.4932 | 0.9545 | 0.9685 | 0.9816 | 0.9809 | 1.0000 |
| | Scaled Z: | 0.1126 | 0.2180 | 0.2212 | 0.2242 | 0.2240 | 0.2284 |

Iteration

| (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (17) | (18) | (19) |
|----------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| | | | | | | |
| Trended | | "Credibility" | "Credibility" | "Credibility" | "Credibility" | |
| Reported | | Weights | Weights | Weights | Weights | LDF |
| LR | Ultimate LR | ITER1 | ITER2 | ITER8 | ITER9 | "weights" |
| (5)/(4) | (7)/(4) | | | | | |
| 40.04% | 56.12% | 0.2240 | 0.2335 | 0.2557 | 0.2558 | 0.3705 |
| 31.21% | 54.75% | 0.2242 | 0.2337 | 0.2562 | 0.2562 | 0.2960 |
| 25.06% | 65.62% | 0.2212 | 0.2295 | 0.2483 | 0.2483 | 0.1983 |
| 16.57% | 69.20% | 0.2180 | 0.2251 | 0.2398 | 0.2398 | 0.1243 |
| 1.95% | 93.40% | 0.1126 | 0.0783 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0108 |
| | 67.82% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% |

Weighted Mean: 67.82% 64.97% 63.84% 61.27% 61.27%

Total Variance: 0.02421 0.01823 0.01594 0.01072 0.01072

Cape Cod: 59.63%

Examples (1)

| | 33.36 % | 100.00% | 100,00% | 100.00% | 100,00% | 100.00% |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| 1.95% | 102.09% 69.56 % | 0.1792 100.00% | 0.1777 100.00% | 0.1775 100.00% | 0.1775 100.00% | 100.00% |
| 16.57% | 69.20% | 0.2029 | 0.2031 | 0.2031 | 0.2031 | 0.1245 |
| 25.06% | 65.62% | 0.2048 | 0.2052 | 0.2052 | 0.2052 | 0.1985 |
| 31.21% | 54.75% | 0.2066 | 0.2071 | 0.2071 | 0.2071 | 0.2963 |
| 40.04% | 56.12% | 0.2065 | 0.2070 | 0.2070 | 0.2070 | 0.3709 |
| (5)/(4) | (7)/(4) | HEIGH | HEILE | HERO | HEIG | Weights |
| Reported LR | Ultimate LR | VVeights ITER1 | Weights ITER2 | Weights ITER8 | Weights ITER9 | "weights" |
| Trended | | "Credibility" | "Credibility" | "Credibility" | "Credibility" | LDF |
| (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (17) | (18) | (19) |
| | | 8.367 | | | | |
| 11 | | 9.06= | | | | |
| 2004 | 11.807 | 1.070 | | | | |
| 2003 2004 | 14.991 12.000 | 1.608 1.676 | 1.438 | | | |
| 2002 | 11.692 | 1.481 | 1.481 | | | |
| 2001 | 16.804 | 1.622 | 1.479 | | | |
| 2000 | 20.851 | 1.210 | 1.575 | | | |
| 1999 | 6.551 | 1.282 | 1.377 | 1.119 | | |
| 1998 | 10.021 | 1.474 | 1.394 | 1.019 | | |
| 1997 | 7.700 | 1.248 | 1.238 | 1.026 | | |
| 1996 | 20.777 | 1.100 | 1.193 | 1.063 | | |
| Accd Period | 6:18 | 18:30 | 30:42 | 78:90 | | |

Examples (2)

| inted Mean: [al Variance: | 0.01653 | 0.00732 | 0.00814 | 0.00799 | 0.00799 | J |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| hted Mean: | 65.53% | 59.93% | 59.51% | 59.58% | 59.58% | 1 |
| | 65.53% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| 1.95% | 86.86% | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0115 |
| 16.57% | 64.29% | 0.2073 | 0.1406 | 0.1517 | 0.1517 | 0.1325 |
| 25.06% | 65.62% | 0.2609 | 0.2778 | 0.2750 | 0.2750 | 0.1963 |
| 31.21% | 54.75% | 0.2656 | 0.2905 | 0.2870 | 0.2870 | 0.3667 |
| (5)/(4) 40.04% | (7)/(4) 56.12% | 0.2658 | 0.2905 | 0.2863 | 0.2863 | 0.3667 |
| Reported LR | Ultimate LR | ITER1 | ITER2 | ITER8 | ITER9 | "weights" |
| Trended | Illein, etc. 1.5 | "Credibility" Weights | "Credibility" Weights | "Credibility" Weights | "Credibility" Weights | LDF |
| (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (17) | (18) | (19) |
| | | | 1.481 | | | |
| 2005 | 11.807 | | | | | |
| 2003 | 8.367 | 1.676 | 1.430 | | | |
| 2002 2003 | 11.692 14.991 | 1.100 1.608 | | | | |
| 2001 | 16.804 | 1.622 | 1.479 | | | |
| 2000 | 20.851 | 1.210 | 1.575 | | | |
| 1999 | 6.551 | 1.282 | 1.377 | 1.119 | | |
| 1998 | 10.021 | 1.474 | 1.394 | 1.019 | | |
| 1997 | 7.700 | 1.248 | | 1.026 | | |
| 1996 | 20.777 | 1.100 | 1.193 | 1.063 | | |
| Accd Period | 6:18 | 18:30 | 30:42 | 78:90 | | |

Reserving

| Accd Period | Reported LR | Developed Reported LR | Ultimate LR | Credibility | Credibility weighted Loss Ratio |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2002 | 6/2 49.59% | 14x16 69.51% | 15/4 56.12% | 0.9568 | 56.34% |
| 2003 | 28.20% | 49.47% | 54.75% | 0.9583 | 55.03% |
| 2004 | 20.63% | 54.03% | 65.62% | 0.9289 | 65.31% |
| 2005 | 13.71% | 57.28% | 69.20% | 0.8972 | 68.39% |
| 2006 | 1.74% | 83.66% | 93.40% | 0.0000 | 61.27% |
| Total/Avg | 61.73% | 89.98% | | | |

CCC LR:

61.27%