

Price Optimization

April 10, 2012

George Argesanu

Bob Weishaar

FINANCIAL STRENGTH | REPUTATION | RELATIONSHIPS | RELIABILITY | RESPONSIVENESS



- Price optimization basics
- Data
- Modeling
- Simulation
- Conclusions/Benefits



Historical perspectives on Pricing

Future Dynamic framework for Enterprise Optimal Decisions (segmentation/pricing/sales/claims) "Household" modeling – understanding the customers at account not at policy level - across PL and BL Dynamic Lifetime Value Optimization

2000- Current

Optimized Pricing (combination of loss cost and customer price sensitivity)

GLM segmentation expands to all lines

85% of personal lines carriers use or plan to use predictive modeling*

70% of commercial lines carriers use or plan to use predictive modeling*

1950 – 2000

Techniques: Minimum bias, linear models, GLM

1980-1990: Progressive's use of credit rating

1950-1970: AllState and State Farm – rating by

geography

1750 BC

Code of Hammurabi

Actuarial

judgment

* Towers Watson's Predictive Modeling Survey 2011



Elasticity 101

- Elasticity: change in demand for a given change in price
- Is the price elasticity for tomatoes higher than the price elasticity for insurance?



Pricing Sophistication



Price optimization is the use of the pricing lever to meet financial objectives



Price Optimization Components

- Cost models
 - Loss models
 - Don't need to use same variables used in pricing
 - Consider replacing bins with splines
 - Expense
 - Start simple
 - Consider new business versus renewal
- Elasticity models
 - New business
 - Renewal
 - Key transitions
- Multiyear simulation



Data

- Can't bucket data like loss modeling... transitions are important
 - Suppose someone adds a youthful driver part way through a term:
 - Loss modeling typically places each portion of the term into a separate bucket
 - For price optimization, the transition is key. Datamart must be set up to capture this information.
- Need rate changes of various types... due to filed changes, natural transitions, and policyholder initiated changes
- Need quote data
- Need competitor prices on PIF/quotes... not made up risks
- One positive: elasticity modeling requires smaller quantity of data than loss modeling



Elasticity modeling

• Elasticity = -(% change in volume)/(% change in price)

= -(% change in strike rate)/(% change in price ratio)

- Strike rate: close (conversion, hit) rate (NB) or retention rate (RB)
- Price ratio: competitive index (NB) or premium change (RB)
- Modeling basics:
 - Regression (e.g., logistic) used to predict close rate or retention rate
 - "Price ratio" is one of the predictors, and elasticity is derived from its coefficient
 - Relevant attributes are interacted with the price ratio
 - Some transitions require separate elasticity models



Elasticity modeling - Additional considerations

- Price versus price ratio
 - While price could be used in the model, price ratio simplifies the models.
 - A price "test" provides the cleanest data... but less practical in the U.S.
- Modeling method
 - Logistic regression ensures strike rates between 0 and 1.
 - Non-linear form required to guarantee that elasticity is in the right direction

Correlation concerns

- In loss modeling, correlations among the predictors is a minor concern.
- In elasticity modeling, it is a critical concern.
- The effect attributed to price must be correct, since price will be changing!
- Only a price test ensures complete lack of correlation. Without it, elasticity modeling becomes a bit of an art.



Simulation

- Simple segment level "simulation" can be misleading
- Policy level simulation (accounting for transition, elasticity, and underlying correlation) is hard
- Many optimal pricing decisions depend on a sophisticated understanding of policy transitions
 - Simple aging: driver age, home age, tenure, etc.
 - Stochastic changes for single attributes: limits change, single to multi-product, etc.
 - Complex policy changes: Adding youthful driver, replacing a car, etc.



Simulation is used to predict the outcome of business decisions



Conclusions/Benefits

- Consultants claim a lift in "2-6 points of Combined Ratio"
- Better forecasting of financial results, even if no factor selections are influenced by these studies
- Better understanding of the impact of competitor strategies
- Replace anecdotal evidence and intuition with a quantitative framework for research, product, and field to debate merits of pricing decisions

