Capital fungibility

November 6, 2009



Contents

Position of the problem	P.3
Individual risk models	P.9
Risk measure	P.10
Aggregation scheme	P.12
Minimum Capital Requirem	ent (MCR) P.16
Aggregation results vs MCF	ξ P.17
Group Capitalization ratio	P.19
References	P.21







Capital transfer between jurisdictions



How much of the capital can be used and transferred from one jurisdiction to another in case of one legal entity having hard times (e.g. due to an economic crisis) ?



Capital transfer between jurisdictions

The right to transfer capital between jurisdictions depends on the legal framework of each jurisdiction. Two examples:

- In the European Union, company of the type "Societas Europaea" (SE)
- Subsidiaries in highly regulated markets

Any legal hurdle to the free flow of capital between legal entities has a cost for which a "fungibility adjustment" needs to be estimated in order to calculate the Insurance Group overall capital requirement.



SST proposal to model hurdles to the free flow of capital



FOPI (now FINMA) proposed in 2006 to consider that all the available capital above the Market Value Margin (SST definition) is fungible and can, hence, be transferred from one jurisdiction to another without any hurdles.



Hurdle model in this presentation

In this presentation, we will assume that Market Value Margin = MCR (solvency 1 minimum capital requirement)

The real hurdle should be defined as the MCR from the following sources:

MCR required by the supervisor to let a company continue

 MCR required by the Insurance Group itself which may depend on the overall risk appetite of the Group

Note: In all of this presentation, there will be no consideration for tax issues.



Why calculate the cost of lack of capital fungibility ?

Rating Agencies request

Improve the capitalization ratio of the Group



Individual risk models

Individual risk models:

- Reserve per Line of Business,
- Premium per Line of Business,

Market risk,

are all assumed to follow lognormal distributions with parameters derived from their means and coefficients of variations (CV).



Risk measure

Risk measure = Expected Shortfall at a quantile of 99% This is the standard SST risk measure.





Risk measure

Company A, B and C balance sheets

	Assets		Liabilities	
Company A	Bonds	500	Reserves	375
			Capital (ES 99%)	(a)
			Surplus capital	(b)
	Total	500	Total	500
Company B	Bonds	700	Reserves	400
			Capital (ES 99%)	(C)
			Surplus capital	(d)
	Total	700	Total	700
	Bonds	800	Reserves	600
Company C			Capital (ES 99%)	(e)
			Surplus capital	(f)
	Total	800	Total	800

- Companies A, B and C benefit from Group diversification.
- Full use of Group diversification is limited by MCR hurdles.





Student copula – Tail dependency



Calculation and allocation of the diversification benefit (DB)



Stand-Alone Capital A (step 2) = 117 Stand-Alone Capital B (step 2) = 265 Stand-Alone Capital C (step 2) = 236

Group Capital diversif.(step 3) = 404



Calculation and allocation of the diversification benefit (DB)

DB = 404 / (117 + 265 + 236) = 65.5%

Stand-Alone Capital Company A = 117 Stand-Alone Capital Company B = 265 Stand-Alone Capital Company C = 236

Group Capital after diversification = 404

Diversified Capital Company A = 77 Diversified Capital Company B = 173 Diversified Capital Company C = 154

Group Capital after diversification = 404



Minimum Capital Requirement

MCR (solvency 1) for the 3 companies are given below:

	Company A	Company B	Company C
Premium	70	135	120
Reserves	375	400	600
MCR	86	92	138

MCR = Max(16% premium , 23% claim)



Aggregation results vs MCR

Comparison of MCR to Diversified capital for each legal entity is provided below:

	MCR	Capital after group diversification
Company A	86	77
Company B	92	173
Company C	138	154

Fungibility adjustment necessary for company A

Fungibility adjustment = 86 - 77 = 9

The diversified Group capital after fungibility adjustment = 404 + 9 = 413



Aggregation results vs MCR

Taking into account the fungibility adjustment, each company Balance Sheet will be:

			Liabilities			
	Assets		Before fungibility adjustment		After fungibility adjustment	
Company A	Bonds	500	Reserves	375	Reserves	375
			Capital (ES 99%)	77	Capital (ES 99%)	86
			Surplus capital	48	Surplus capital	39
	Total	500	Total	500	Total	500
	Bonds	700	Reserves	400	Reserves	400
Company B			Capital (ES 99%)	173	Capital (ES 99%)	173
			Surplus capital	127	Surplus capital	127
	Total	700	Total	700	Total	700
Company C	Bonds	800	Reserves	600	Reserves	600
			Capital (ES 99%)	154	Capital (ES 99%)	154
			Surplus capital	46	Surplus capital	46
	Total	800	Total	800	Total	800
Tota		2000	Total	2000		

The fungibility adjustment impacts the surplus capital at group level as shown above.

Surplus capital at Group level = 39 (comp. A) + 127 (comp. B) + 46 (Comp. C) = 212



Group Capitalization Ratio

Consolidated Group balance sheet				
Assets		Liability		
Bonds	2000	Reserves 137		
		Capital (ES 99%)	413	
		Surplus Capital	212	
Total	2000	Total	2000	

analidated Crown holence about

The capitalization ratio (CR) is equal to:

 $CR = \frac{Available capital}{Required capital} = \frac{Surplus capital + Capital (ES 99\%)}{Capital (ES 99\%)} = \frac{212 + 413}{413} = 151\%$

The fungibility adjustment has the following cost on the capitalization ratio of the Group:

Cost of fungibility = $\frac{\text{Required capital with fungibility}}{\text{Required capital without fungibility}} - 1 = \frac{413}{413 - 9} - 1 = 2.2\%$

The above cost can be reflected in the rating of the company: The higher the cost of fungibility adjustment, the lower the rating of the company.



Casualty Actuaries Europe November 6, 2009

19

Going forward

In order to estimate realistically the fungibility adjustment, the hurdles to the free flow of capital should be estimated:

For companies operating in US, the US RBC can be a determinant of the minimum capital requirement,

For companies operating in Europe (EU), the MCR determined under Solvency 2,

For other jurisdictions (in particular Asia), each local MCR.

As a final remark, all assets (e.g. investment in subsidaries) are fungible within a certain time horizon. However, in case of a crisis, liquidity of the asset is crucial. Therefore, fungibility and liquidity should be reviewed together.



References

This presentation is based on the article below:

DAL MORO E., 2009 : "Assessment of insurance group capital requirements taking into account the lack of capital fungibility between legal entities", Bulletin Français d'Actuariat

www.institutdesactuaires.com/bfa

Eric Dal Moro – SCOR – <u>edalmoro@scor.com</u> / +41 (0) 44 639 95 51

