GUY CARPENTER

May 15, 2006

Catastrophe Modeling A Look at Two Decades of Modeling and Lessons Learned

Liz Cleary, SVP Instrat



Catastrophe Modeling 20 Year Retrospective

- Cat management before models
- Models emerge in late 1980's
- How are they built?
- Widely used by late 1990's
- What's all the recent fuss? the wind blew
- Lessons learned by industry & modelers

Cat Management before Models

- Exposure management collecting data
- Aggregate management monitoring accumulations
- PML Management apply damage factors to high risk areas
- National Hurricane Research Services (NHRS)
- California Earthquake Authority and Zonal Management

Catastrophe Models The foundation

- 3 widely used models
 - RMS (Risk Management Solutions)
 - AIR (Applied Insurance Research)
 - EQECAT
- Cat management techniques coupled with scientific and engineering research
 - Expert opinion solicited
 - Meteorologists & seismologists
 - Structural engineers
 - Mathematicians
 - Insurance experts
 - 100+ years of historical record
 - Post event surveys & claims data from Andrew (1992) and Northridge (1994)
- Models are similar but not equal

Components of a Cat Model



Components of a Cat Model Step 1: Define the hazard - Hurricane Example



1938 Hurricane - Wind Speed



Components of a Cat Model Step 2 - Define the insured assets



Components of a Cat Model Step 3 - Calculate windspeed at site



Components of a Cat Model Step 4 - Calculate site damage



Vulnerability Module – Structural Uncertainty



Components of a Cat Model Step 5 - Calculate Insured loss



Sources of Uncertainty in Cat Models

- Accuracy of Exposure Data
- Hazard
 - Limited historical data
 - Pre 1950's data unreliable
 - Lack of understanding of hurricane and earthquake behavior
- Engineering
 - Prior to 2004 limited claims data
 - Unreliable data quality for old records
 - Lack of understanding of structural behavior under severe loads
- More reliable still imprecise

Industry use of Cat Models

- Primary carriers, reinsurers, brokers, rating agencies, Departments of Insurance, investors
- Could models be misused? Yes
 - "Garbage in, Garbage out"; own the data first
 - Using one model promotes model bias
 - Managing to a single loss output (i.e. 250 Year Return Period)
 - Lack of consideration for non modeled risk
 - Balance with other cat management practices
- Were models validated by events like Andrew and Northridge? No
 - Not widely used
 - Model use less sophisticated
 - Lack of claims data detail

and then the wind blew

Charley

Frances

Ivan

Jeanne

Katrina

Rita

Wilma

- 7 of the top 11 cats in US history
- \$78B in industry loss
- Costliest / deadliest
- Lowest central pressure
- Most FL landfalling storms in a season

Modeled Loss vs. Actual Claims Observations

- Modeled loss not equal to paid loss
- Modelers use industry databases
 - PL more in line
 - CL loss estimates diverge the most
- Specific company analyses diverge even more than IED
 - Industry exposure database reflective of marketplace?
 - Data quality of inputted exposure called into question
- Frequency of events unexpected

Modeled Loss vs. Actual Claims Factors contributing to divergence

- Models need recalibration
 - Vulnerability module
 - Demand Surge and Storm Surge model
- Non modeled loss
 - Inland flood
 - Contingent BI
 - Mold
 - Tree Damage
 - Power Outage
- Data Quality

- Ordinance of Law
- Loss Adjustment Expense
- Looting / Rioting / Malicious Mischief
- Backup of Sewers and Drains

Climate Factors that Influence Hurricane Activity

- Increased activity is national news
- Expert consensus next 5 to 10 years more activity
- Weather theorists debate causes:
 - North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO)
 - Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO)
 - El Nino / Southern Oscillation (ENSO)
 - Atlantic Multi-Decadal Oscillation (AMO)
 - Global Warming (controversial and ongoing)

Frequency of Major U.S. Landfalling Hurricanes by AMO Phase

Cool Phase

Warm Phase



Conversely Dr Kerry Emanuel stated at last weeks' RMS conference: "AMO likely a statistical artifact of projecting a de-trended, nonlinear, forced temperature change on rotated EOF"

Guy Carpenter

Industry Reaction

Modelers acknowledge fixes needed

- Industry response "Throw out the models"
- RMS, AIR and EQE report plans for new versions
- All vendors make some changes:
 - Vulnerability
 - Updates to Long term catalog to reflect more severe events
 - Demand Surge & Storm Surge
 - New model to reflect short term climate trends
- Jan 1 reinsurance renewals negotiations
 - more focus on exposure data / data quality
 - current model output 'loaded'
- Regulators/rating agencies want more detail from defunct models!

Risk Management Solutions RiskLink Version 6.0

- Updates to US Hurricane include:
 - Event catalog to include more severe events
 - Vulnerability Importance of Building Characteristics 'reordered'
 - Storm Surge & Demand Surge models
 - Frequency and Severity
 - New modeling platform based on Mid Term view of increased Frequency and Severity
 - Continue to represent long term view (100+ years) as a alternative view but recommends use of Mid Term view for risk management decisions
- Updates to Eastern US and Canada EQ Model
 - Reduced frequency rates for NE events
 - Reduced severity for NM events
 - Changes in vulnerability for Eastern Canada
 - Updates to Hazard Maps

Applied Insurance Research AIR Clasic/2 Version 8.0

- Updates to US Hurricane include:
 - Event catalog to include more severe events
 - Vulnerability new occupancies and recalibration of some construction
 - Storm Surge & Demand Surge models
 - Frequency and Severity
 - Continue to represent long term view (100+ years)
 - Continue to offer weather forecasting model (1 year view)
 - Offering new near term view (5 10 years) to reflect increased hurricane activity but recommends the continued use of the existing Long Term view as their modeling platform.
- CA Wildfire
- Update to Extratropical Cyclone for Europe
- Update to Mexico and South America Earthquake models including new model for Peru
- New China Earthquake model

EQECAT WorldCat

- Updates to US Hurricane:
 - Event catalog to include 2004 and 2005 storms
 - Frequency and Severity
 - Continue to represent long term view (100+ years) and recommend it's use as their modeling platform
 - New modeling platform based on Short Term view of increased
 Frequency and Severity Event By Event output only
- Upcoming new tools (release details to follow)
 - Off Shore Energy Model
 - River Flood model

RMS Changes in Model Results v5.0 versus v6.0 Mid Term View

 HU Changes based on revisions to Vulnerability, Demand Surge, and increased activity rates

PL	AAL	+75%
100 ነ	′r RP	+40%
CL	AAL	+120%
100 Yr RP		+85%

Expect greater increases for Excess and Surplus books

- Eastern US & Canada EQ Changes based on revisions to hazard and vulnerability
 - □ NE decrease
 - NM decrease
 - Canada subject to construction mappings

AIR Changes in Model Results v7.0 versus v8.0

- Change to Demand Surge 100 Yr RP Loss by 5-7%
- Changes based on revisions to Vulnerability

PL AAL	+6%
100 Yr RP	+2%
CL AAL	+14%
100 Yr RP	+24%

Changes based on increased activity rates

PL AAL	+36%
100 Yr RP	+26%
CL AAL	+37%
100 Yr RP	+20%

Impact of Recent Hurricanes and Model Change on Industry

- 2004 harder on FL primary carriers
- 2005 affected reinsurers
 - Bermuda markets lost 25% of capital
- Investors want more detailed model output
- Rating agencies require more capital per dollar
- 1st quarter 2006 premium writings down
- Need stronger statement to regulators and legislators to de-mystify needs for rate increases
- Can FL writers withstand another active year?
- Will coastal property become uninsurable?

Where will Catastrophe Modeling go from here?

- Models continue to diverge in science and application
- Industry must understand differences / manage use of tools more carefully
- Can and should risk management / pricing be based off of tools that yield 100% increases in metrics?
- Should vendors get a pass?
- Earthquake and other major drivers of cat