



Principles Underlying Actuarial Science

CAMAR Spring Meeting
June 4, 2009

Christopher Diamantoukos, FCAS, MAAA



Agenda

- ▶ Purpose of principles
- ▶ Background
- ▶ Role in articulating principles
- ▶ Organization of principles
- ▶ Discussion of examples of application and use
- ▶ Wrap-up and references

Purpose of principles

- ▶ Provide conceptual framework for actuaries
- ▶ Articulation of principles that describe key elements of actuarial science
- ▶ Grounded in observation, experience, and current understanding of authors
- ▶ Not standards to be applied when performing actuarial work
- ▶ Do not conflict with prior Casualty Actuarial Society (CAS) and Society of Actuaries (SOA) statements of actuarial principles that are related to more specific aspects of actuarial science or practice

Background

- ▶ Started with SOA Committee on Actuarial Principles (1992)
- ▶ CAS and SOA releases discussion draft of “General Principles of Actuarial Science” in 1997
- ▶ Led to exposure draft in 1999
- ▶ Joint CAS, SOA Committee on Actuarial Principles worked on revisions from comments to exposure draft
- ▶ Both Boards decided not to develop a statement of general principles but granted committee members permission to publish the document under their names

Articulating the principles

- ▶ Disciplines relied upon
- ▶ Observation and fact
- ▶ Definitions – selected ones included in this presentation
- ▶ Itemization and schedule of principles
- ▶ Glossary of definitions

Organization of principles

- ▶ Statistical framework
- ▶ Economic and behavioral framework
- ▶ Principles underlying risk management and actuarial modeling
- ▶ Principle underlying financial security systems

Statistical framework – definitions

- ▶ Phenomena, experiments, observations
- ▶ Random variables, expected values, estimators and estimates
- ▶ Degree of uncertainty
- ▶ Exposure measure

Statistical framework – principles

- ▶ Statistical regularity
- ▶ Basis for model construction
- ▶ Credibility

Economic and behavioral framework – definitions

- ▶ Economic system
- ▶ Economic transaction
- ▶ Participants
- ▶ Economic goods
- ▶ Commodities
- ▶ Value functions

Economic and behavioral framework – principles

- ▶ Preference or indifference
- ▶ Diversity of preferences
- ▶ Time preference
- ▶ Risk aversion
- ▶ Existence of money
- ▶ Enlightened self-Interest
- ▶ Market value models
- ▶ Law of one price

Risk management and actuarial modeling – definitions

- ▶ Actuarial phenomenon – examples
- ▶ Actuarial risk
- ▶ Risk identification
- ▶ Actuarial model

Risk management and actuarial modeling – principles

- ▶ Scaling
- ▶ Combination of actuarial risks
- ▶ Actuarial present value
- ▶ Continued validity of actuarial models
- ▶ Probability of failure

Financial security systems – definitions

- ▶ Financial security system
- ▶ Risk measure
- ▶ Risk classification system
- ▶ Refinement of a risk classification system
- ▶ Rate structure

Financial security systems – principles

- ▶ Risk classification
- ▶ Effect of refinement
- ▶ Anti-selection
- ▶ Moral hazard
- ▶ Actuarial soundness

Application examples – ratemaking

- ▶ Classifications
- ▶ Exposures
- ▶ Credibility
- ▶ Refinement of a risk classification system – anti-selection, subsidies, moral hazard

Application examples – loss reserving

- ▶ Statistical models
- ▶ Actuarial soundness
- ▶ Continued validity of actuarial models

Application examples – dynamic financial analysis

- ▶ Actuarial present value
- ▶ Statistical model
- ▶ Actuarial validity

Wrap-up and references

- ▶ CAS working paper website:
<http://www.casact.org/research/wp/index.cfm?fa=workingpapers>
- ▶ SOA Actuarial Practice Forum:
<http://www.soa.org/library/journals/actuarial-practice-forum/2008/august/apf-2008-08-allaben.pdf>
- ▶ North American Actuarial Journal – under editorial review
- ▶ Authors:

Mark Allaben	Christopher Diamantoukos	Arnold Dicke
Sam Gutterman	Stuart Klugman	Richard Lord
Warren Luckner	Robert Miccolis	Joseph Tan
- ▶ Acknowledgments:
 - ▶ Those actuaries who provided input and comments
 - ▶ Those who participated in many committee discussions, including:

Allan Brender	Daniel Case	Charles Gilbert
Carol Gramer	Michael Hughes	Donald Peterson
Stephen Philbrick	James Roberts	Max Rudolph