



Rest Assured, Surety Is An Interesting Line Of Business

March 23, 2015

Presenters:

Charles Costantini, Vice President, Munich Re America

Cynthia Cheng, Vice President, Willis Re

Agenda



- Section 1 What is Surety?
- Section 2 Underwriting, Claims Handling and Market Conditions
- Section 3 Surety Reinsurance
- Section 4 Modeling A Surety Portfolio

WHAT IS SURETY? Willis Re MANAGING EXTREMES





Underwritten to ZERO LR

Surety Insurance Law of Large Numbers In theory, underwritten to ZERO loss ratio ZERO VS . . EV.

Willis Re

Munich RE

Contract Bonds



BID BONDS	 If bid accepted, will enter into contract and post required bonds Cost – no charge if performance/payment bonds required 	
PERFORMANCE BONDS	 Contractor will fulfill all terms of the construction contract Cost – 0.5 - 2% of contract price 	
PAYMENT BONDS	 Contractor will pay labor and material bills associated with the contract Cost – price included with performance bond 	



Commercial Bonds

Public &

Federal

Public

Official

Federal

Official

Court

Guarantee

Civil

Proceedings

Bail

Court

Fiduciary

Probate

Bankruptcy

License &

Permit

Customs

Finance

Reclamation

Taxes &

Fees

Munich RE Misc. Nonconstruction Contract Indemnity Bonds Union Welfare SIWC Escrow Deposit Financial Guarantee

MANAGING EXTREMES

Willis Re

Commercial

Contract

Class A-1

Supply

8

The Surety & Fidelity Association of America (SFAA)

- Non-profit corporation
- Licensed as a rating or advisory organization
- Designated by state insurance departments as a statistical agent for the reporting of fidelity and surety experience
- Member companies collectively write the majority of surety and fidelity bonds in the United States

Willis Re

UNDERWRITING, CLAIMS HANDLING AND MARKET CONDITIONS Willis Re MANAGING EXTREMES





"Three Cs" of Surety



Willis Re

Common Reasons for Contractor Failure

Willis Re Managing extremes



Credit Scoring Models for Surety

MANAGING EXTREMES

Development

- Actuaries/Surety Product Side
- Around for 20 years, strong presence last 5-10 years
- Look at various risk factors (capital, liquidity, leverage ratios) and weight together to get one number on an account

How Used

Willis Re

Munich RE

- Surety U/W's (never sole tool!)
- Portfolio management
- Pricing reinsurance
- Sophisticated companies assign P(D) to credit score

 Have credit scoring models contributed to lower loss ratios over recent years?

Top 15 Writers of Surety Bonds for Calendar Year 2013

MANAGING EXTREMES

Willis Re

Munich RE

	GROUP/COMPANY	Direct Premium Written	Market Share	Direct Premium Earned	Direct Losses Incurred	Direct Loss Ratio
1	TRAVELERS BOND	778,689,161	14.8%	779,834,246	(81,104,048)	-10.4%
2	LIBERTY MUTUAL GROUP	738,271,612	14.0%	728,010,646	248,978,084	34.2%
3	ZURICH INSURANCE GROUP	492,737,467	9.4%	478,892,261	116,217,008	24.3%
4	CNA INSURANCE GROUP	408,605,990	7.8%	403,673,851	73,481,675	18.2%
5	CHUBB & SON INC GROUP	210,242,628	4.0%	214,183,692	5,151,889	2.4%
6	IFIC SURETY GROUP	167,316,158	3.2%	174,232,454	18,729,998	10.8%
7	HCC SURETY GROUP	166,419,402	3.2%	165,165,349	8,148,854	4.9%
8	HARTFORD FIRE & CAS GROUP	160,693,912	3.1%	160,352,691	38,280,140	23.9%
9	ACE LTD GROUP	143,061,872	2.7%	136,107,632	26,291,852	19.3%
10	RLI INSURANCE GROUP	110,594,591	2.1%	110,565,524	12,573,088	11.4%
11	GREAT AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANIES	110,364,998	2.1%	103,887,714	26,157,739	25.2%
12	LEXON/BONDSAFEGUARD INSURANCE COMPANIES	96,284,806	1.8%	97,589,369	37,055,123	38.0%
13	NAS SURETY GROUP	79,919,971	1.5%	79,342,787	1,157,520	1.5%
14	THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP	77,266,258	1.5%	78,634,850	52,735,185	67.1%
15	MERCHANTS BONDING CO GROUP	76,211,560	1.5%	74,666,330	9,213,258	12.3%

Source: SFAA, U.S. & Territories, Canada & Aggregate Other Alien

Construction Put in Place Trends





Source: Census Bureau



Surety Industry Results (2001 – 3Q2014)



Surety Losses – When Public Construction Recovers?

Two Divergent Themes

Overextension of Credit

Skilled Workers? Increased Surety Competition New Geographical areas for contractors

Slow Landing

Contractors made adjustments Private construction helping some b/s

Willis Re

Munich RE



What does surety have to do with Jay-Z?

Willis Re Managing extremes



The surety stepped in



When subcontractor on Barclays Center project ASI Ltd went bankrupt, the surety stepped in ensuring Jay-Z would be able to open there on Sept. 28th, 2012

MANAGING EXTREMES

Willis Re

- One-of-a-kind façade covered in weathered steel
- The goal was to give the Barclays Center an outside shade similar to the typical Brooklyn brownstone architecture

Barclays Center – ASI Surety Loss Case Study

- Feb 2010 ASI Limited, a steel fabricator outside of Indianapolis awarded \$32.4 million subcontract to Hunt (General Contractor) to produced the 12,000 panels that cover 85% of the façade. Hunt required full performance payment bonds.
- Dec 23, 2011 ASI defaults on bank loan and shuts door. ASI's bank shut the manufacturing plant down and locked the doors. Bank had a blanket lien on all of ASI's assets, including the plant.
- ASI immediately shut its doors. At time of default, ASI had **completed 57%** of the panels.
- By Jan 8, 2012, surety had bought out bank's position and reopened the plant. Surety tried to re-let the contract, but could not find anyone who could do it in a timely manner. Surety hired 190 of ASI's employees offering incentives to finish.
- The surety assumed responsibility for the project management. The surety hired a rust expert, a consultant to manage the job and leased a second, more sophisticated cutting machine. Two crews working back to back 12-hours shifts, 7 days a week to timely complete ASI's score of work.
- Sept 28, 2012, Barclays Center opens on-time with Jay-Z kicking off the concert.

Willis Re



- Inter-creditor Risk
 - The bank had a blanket lien on all of ASI's assets, including the material purchased for the project
 - In the event of a default, a surety competes with other creditors, including banks, for the assets of the principal
- Specialized Assets
 - The job was to be performed on an extremely tight schedule, and ASI had specialized manufacturing equipment that not only enabled it to timely manufacture 12,000 panels (with no two alike), but it had also constructed a 2,500' conveyor system designed to accelerate the weathering process of the panels (60 days)

Claims Situation Munich RE 🚍 Finance **Takeover** Tender a Bond Buy Owner the and New Completion Back Contractor Completion Contractor 23

Options Available to Surety in

Willis Re

SURETY REINSURANCE Willis Re MANAGING EXTREMES



Surety Reinsurance Structures

MANAGING EXTREMES

Willis Re

Munich RE

Pro Rata

- Per Bond
- Risk Attaching
- Capacity driven U.S. Treasury Listing
- Tail Coverage

Excess of Loss (XOL)

- Per Principal
- Inforce, new and renewal
- Loss Discovered Basis, fixed threshold
- Flat rated with limited reinstatements
- Capacity & Risk Appetite varies by Cedant (PML or upward of 100%)
- Cost Effective
- Extended Discovery Option

Surety Reinsurance Structures

- Combined Program
 - Pro Rata & XOL
 - Pro rata inures to the benefit of XOL cover
- Facultative/Large Bond QS
 - Individual larger risks
- Some sureties express an interest in
 - Per Principal Risk Attaching XOLs
 - Aggregate Stop Loss Cover
 - Multi-year treaties

Willis Re

Surety Reinsurance Landscape

- Very competitive (over 40 surety reinsurers, normal about 15!)
- Results for surety reinsurers remain good
- Surety reinsurance premium has been shrinking
 - Primary sureties buying less QS
 - Higher retentions on XOLs
 - A few sureties not buying reinsurance
- Reinsurers showing interest in longer tail exposures
- Continue to monitor aggregations

Willis Re

MODELING A SURETY PORTFOLIO Willis Re





What information do we need?

- Data aggregated to the principal level
 - Per principal vs per bond modeling



- Exposure
- Premium

Characteristics that Impact Loss Severity

- Contractor type
- Largest individual bond
- Region
- Bond type



Credit rating

Willis Re

Munich RE

- Collateral
- Co-surety
- % bond

What is an appropriate exposure base?

- Exposure base criteria
 - Proportional to expected loss
 - Practical: easy and inexpensive to obtain
 - Verifiable: objective
- Commercial Surety
 - Sum of all in-force bond amounts

Willis Re

Contract Exposure Base

- Work on Hand / Cost to Complete
 - Estimate of uncompleted work
 - Historical industry standard
 - Subject to interpretation and timing

SFAA Exposure

- Total Open = In-Force + Bond Limits Expired Bond Limits = Bond Limits + in Last 12 Months
- Accounts for exposure to defective workmanship and payment bonds after job has been completed

Willis Re

Exposure Base Calculation

	Exposur	e Base C	alculat	ion at 12	2/31/2014	
	Effective	Expiration	Bond		Expired 12	Estimated
Bond #	Date	Date	Amount	In-Force	Months	СТС
101	11/20/2012	8/20/2013	280,195	-	-	-
102	12/5/2012	9/5/2013	276,000	-	-	-
103	11/20/2013	11/20/2014	600,000	-	600,000	-
104	12/13/2013	6/13/2014	150,000	-	150,000	-
105	1/2/2014	1/2/2015	450,000	450,000	-	2,466
106	4/29/2014	10/29/2014	125,750	-	125,750	-
107	5/13/2014	5/13/2015	600,000	600,000	-	218,630
108	7/3/2014	7/3/2015	875,500	875,500	-	441,348
109	7/10/2014	10/10/2014	350,000	-	350,000	-
110	11/20/2014	11/20/2015	630,500	630,500	-	559,677
		Total	4,337,945	2,556,000	1,225,750	1,222,121

Cost to Complete = \$1,222,121

• SFAA Exposure = \$3,781,750

Willis Re

Munich RE

What is PEL?

- Probable Expected Loss
 - Is the average loss severity typically expressed as a percent of exposure
 - For Contract surety, PEL decreases a contractor size increases
 - For Commercial surety, this relationship does not exist



Willis Re

Munich RE

2012 SFAA Construction Loss Severity Study

Willis Re Munich RE

- 75% surety market participation
- Based on 1,785 claims over 13 years
 - \$250K loss threshold
 - Indemnity and ALAE net of salvage
 - As of 12/31/2011
- For contractors operating in the US and Puerto Rico
- Model for calculating
 - PEL
 - Probable Maximum Loss (PML) at 90th percentile

SFAA PEL

PEL % =
$$\begin{bmatrix} Base PEL\% + \frac{Concentration}{Factor} \end{bmatrix} x \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{Region}{Factor} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Base PEL varies by contractor type and size
- Concentration = <u>Largest Open Bond</u> Total Open Bond Limits
 - PEL increases as concentration increases
- A contractor's region of operation also has an impact on the average size of loss

SFAA Region	PEL Impact
New York City/Metropolitan	Highest
Non-Continental	Low
Northeast	High
Southeast	Medium
Mid-north	Low
Mid-south	Medium
West	High
Multi-Region	Medium

SFAA Contractor Type General Contractor - Building General Contractor - Other Heavy Highway - Road Heavy Highway - Other Sub-Contractor - Building Developers/Subdivision Other/Specialty

Willis Re

Munich RE

How do we account for cosurety? Willis Re Munich RE

- Co-surety is when a bond is guaranteed by 2 or more sureties
 - Can be arranged so that each surety is jointly and severally liable for the full bond amount or a stated limit
 - Typically used for large construction projects
- Since the SFAA model is at the contractor level, exposures will need to be adjusted to 100% for calculating PEL
 - The exposure to apply to the PEL is the surety's share only

What about percentage bonds?

- Outside of the US, projects are typically not required to be bonded at 100%
 - In Canada, the bond % (bond amount / contract price) is typically 50% or 100%
 - Outside of North America, the bond %'s are much lower
- To adapt the SFAA study for percentage bonds, lookup the PEL at the 100% level
 - The exposure to apply to the PEL is also at 100%, but the liability is capped at the bond amount
 - Caveat: the SFAA study was developed off of US losses

Willis Re



- Contractor XYZ has a \$10M bond with ABC Surety
- XYZ has only 1 project and no bonds that expired in the last 12 months

PEL % = 15%, Severity = \$10M x 15% = \$1.5M

- Co-surety of 10% (ABC's share)

PEL % = 10%, Severity = \$10M x 10% = \$1M

- % bond of 10%

PEL % = 10% Unlimited Severity = \$100M x 10% = \$10M Severity Limited to \$10M = \$6.8M

Limit	PEL		
(\$M)	%		
10	15%		
25	12%		
50	11%		
100	10%		

Commercial PEL

- Commercial PEL varies by bond type
 - On the high end are worker's comp bonds
 - On the low end are compliance bonds
- Currently there is no industry study
 - Lack of large loss data
 - SFAA is considering performing a commercial surety severity study

Willis Re

Fitting a Severity Distribution

- Most in the industry use a Beta distribution
 - Is defined from 0 to 1
 - Can take a wide variety of shapes
 - Can be parameterized with just the mean and standard deviation
- Some options for determining standard deviation
 - Use Solver to target 50th and 90th percentile
 - Target a specific shape
 - Assume alpha = 1 (See Curtis's 2006 presentation "Unique Applications of Exposure Rating: Surety")

Willis Re

Beta Distribution



 Avoid parameterizations that result in increase of probability in the tail: U and J – shaped

Willis Re

Munich RE

Determining Default Rates

- Using target LR for portfolio
 - Assume each principal has the same probability of default
 - Assume relativities based on credit scores
- Using financial instrument default rates (e.g. Moody's, S&P)
 - Each principal is mapped to a probability of default
 - Need to adjust financial default to a surety default
 - Validation: compare LR implied by model with historical LRs

Willis Re



- Growth/decline in prospective period
 - In number of accounts scale frequency
 - In size of accounts scale open bond limits
- Collateral
 - Treat as an attachment point
- Compare exposure loss costs with historical experience
 - Some credibility for working layers
 - Consider refining assumptions

So, is surety interesting?

- Questions or Comments?
- Contact Information
 - Charles Costantini
 - 609-275-2179
 - ccostantini@munichreamerica.com
 - Cynthia Cheng
 - 215-239-6728
 - cynthia.cheng@willis.com

Willis Re

Disclaimers

- This analysis has been prepared by Willis Limited and/or Willis Re Inc and/or the Willis entity with whom you are dealing ("Willis Re") on condition that it shall be treated as strictly confidential and shall not be
 communicated in whole, in part, or in summary to any third party without written consent from Willis Re.
- Willis Re has relied upon data from public and/or other sources when preparing this analysis. No attempt has been made to verify independently the accuracy of this data. Willis Re does not represent or otherwise guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data nor assume responsibility for the result of any error or omission in the data or other materials gathered from any source in the preparation of this analysis. Willis Re, its parent companies, sister companies, subsidiaries and affiliates (hereinafter "Willis") shall have no liability in connection with any results, including, without limitation, those arising from based upon or in connection with errors, omissions, inaccuracies, or inadequacies associated with the data or arising from, based upon or in connection with any methodologies used or applied by Willis Re in producing this analysis or any results contained herein. Willis expressly disclaims any and all liability arising from, based upon or in connection with this analysis. Willis assumes no duty in contract, tort or otherwise to any party arising from, based upon or in connection with this analysis.
- There are many uncertainties inherent in this analysis including, but not limited to, issues such as limitations in the available data, reliance on client data and outside data sources, the underlying volatility of loss and other random processes, uncertainties that characterize the application of professional judgment in estimates and assumptions, etc. Ultimate losses, liabilities and claims depend upon future contingent events, including but not limited to unanticipated changes in inflation, laws, and regulations. As a result of these uncertainties, the actual outcomes could vary significantly from Willis Re's estimates in either direction. Willis makes no representation about and does not guarantee the outcome, results, success, or profitability of any insurance or reinsurance program or venture, whether or not the analyses or conclusions contained herein apply to such program or venture.
- Willis does not recommend making decisions based solely on the information contained in this analysis. Rather, this analysis should be viewed as a supplement to other information, including specific business practice, claims experience, and financial situation. Independent professional advisors should be consulted with respect to the issues and conclusions presented herein and their possible application. Willis makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of this document and its contents.
- This analysis is not intended to be a complete actuarial communication, and as such is not intended to be relied upon. A complete communication can be provided upon request. Willis Re actuaries are available to answer questions about this analysis.
- Willis does not provide legal, accounting, or tax advice. This analysis does not constitute, is not intended to provide, and should not be construed as such advice. Qualified advisers should be consulted in these areas.
- Willis makes no representation, does not guarantee and assumes no liability for the accuracy or completeness of, or any results obtained by application of, this analysis and conclusions provided herein.
- Where data is supplied by way of CD or other electronic format, Willis accepts no liability for any loss or damage caused to the Recipient directly or indirectly through use of any such CD or other electronic format, even where caused by negligence. Without limitation, Willis shall not be liable for: loss or corruption of data, damage to any computer or communications system, indirect or consequential losses. The Recipient should take proper precautions to prevent loss or damage including the use of a virus checker.
- This limitation of liability does not apply to losses or damage caused by death, personal injury, dishonesty or any other liability which cannot be excluded by law.
- Any material provided to reinsurers is provided on condition that they shall treat it as strictly confidential and shall not communicate it in whole, in part, or in summary to any third party without written consent from Willis Re.
- This analysis is not intended to be a complete Financial Analysis communication. A complete communication can be provided upon request. Willis Re analysts are available to answer questions about this analysis.
- Willis does not guarantee any specific financial result or outcome, level of profitability, valuation, or rating agency outcome with respect to A.M. Best or any other agency. Willis specifically disclaims any and all liability for any and all damages of any amount or any type, including without limitation, lost profits, unrealized profits, compensatory damages based on any legal theory, punitive, multiple or statutory damages or fines of any type, based upon, arising from, in connection with or in any manner related to the services provided hereunder.
- Acceptance of this document shall be deemed agreement to the above.

Willis Re

Munich RE