

Capital Allocation in the Property-Liability Insurance Industry

Stephen P. D'Arcy, FCAS, MAAA, Ph.D.
Robitaille Endowed Chair in Risk and Insurance
California State University Fullerton
Professor Emeritus of Finance at the University of Illinois

Casualty Actuarial Society Fall Meeting
Concurrent Session C-13: Latest Research on Capital Allocation
10:00 am – 11:30 am, Tuesday November 8, 2011
Chicago, Illinois

The Actuarial Foundation and the Casualty Actuarial Society
provided funding for this research.

CAS Antitrust Notice

- The Casualty Actuarial Society is committed to adhering strictly to the letter and spirit of the antitrust laws. Seminars conducted under the auspices of the CAS are designed solely to provide a forum for the expression of various points of view on topics described in the programs or agendas for such meetings.
- Under no circumstances shall CAS seminars be used as a means for competing companies or firms to reach any understanding – expressed or implied – that restricts competition or in any way impairs the ability of members to exercise independent business judgment regarding matters affecting competition.
- It is the responsibility of all seminar participants to be aware of antitrust regulations, to prevent any written or verbal discussions that appear to violate these laws, and to adhere in every respect to the CAS antitrust compliance policy.

2

Overview

- Motivation for study
- Current capital allocation methods
- Market based capital allocation approach
- Example of market based capital allocation
- Conclusions

3

Motivation for Study

- **Capital adequacy** has long been a key issue in insurance.
- Development of different approaches
 - Kenney rule and other premium-to-surplus ratios
 - Risk Based Capital (RBC)
 - Use of internal models
 - Companies
 - Rating agencies
 - Solvency II
- But **capital adequacy** deals only with firm level capital needs.

4

Motivation for Study - 2

- **Capital allocation** deals with uses of capital by segments within a firm.
- **Capital allocation** is a theoretical exercise.
 - Capital is not divided up and assigned to different segments.
 - All of a firm's capital could be depleted by a significant loss from any one segment.
- **Capital allocation** is critical for several key functions:
 - Risk management
 - Pricing
 - Performance evaluation
- No current **capital allocation** method is accepted as the industry standard.

5

Current Capital Allocation Methods

- Risk Based Capital (RBC)
 - Not designed for capital allocation
 - No consistent treatment of risk
- Variance or covariance approaches
 - Allocate capital based on (co)variability of segment regardless of whether upside or downside
 - General use has been for underwriting, not investments
- Value-at-Risk (VaR)
 - Considers only one point on the probability distribution
- Tail Value-at-Risk (TVaR)
 - Considers only the average values in the tail beyond a particular percentile

6

Current Capital Allocation Methods - 2

- Marginal capital allocation
 - Based on option pricing theory
 - Merton-Perold consider adding an entire line
 - Myers-Read consider adding incremental amount of a particular line
- Game theory
 - Shapley approach considers all possible combinations of segments
 - Aumann-Shapley approach examines marginal impact of adding lines

7

Current Capital Allocation Methods - 3

- Ruhm-Mango-Kreps algorithm
 - Based on conditional probability
 - Incorporates a riskiness leverage factor
 - Application of Ruhm-Mango-Kreps
 - Simulate a large number of potential outcomes for a firm
 - Rank the iterations by aggregate results
 - Determine a risk charge (riskiness leverage factor) for each aggregate outcome
 - Apply corresponding risk charge to each segment's result whether it consumes or supplies capital
 - Allocate capital based on total capital charge for each segment
 - Advantage/disadvantage of Ruhm-Mango-Kreps
 - Flexible enough by choice of risk leverage factors to duplicate any other capital allocation method

8

Current Capital Allocation Methods - 4

- Capital Hotel analogy
 - Recognizes two uses of capital
 - Shared (non-consumptive)
 - Consumptive
 - Shared use is similar to renting a hotel room
 - Use is temporary
 - Use does not affect the future use of this room
 - Consumptive use destroys capital
 - In hotel example, smoking in bed damages room, or even burns down hotel
 - Use is measured by loss frequency and severity
 - Charge for renting hotel room reflects both shared and consumptive uses

9

Market Based Capital Allocation Approach

- Combines Ruhm-Mango-Kreps and Capital Hotel
- Recognizes both underwriting and investment risks
- Uses market cost of capital to determine the riskiness leverage factors
- Yields a single capital allocation for the firm that is tied to expected market conditions

10

Cost of Capital

- What would firm pay to raise capital under economic conditions that correspond to simulated outcome?
- Examples
 - Issuing new equity to finance expansion
 - Junk bond financing when firm is facing financial distress
 - Warren Buffet rescues during 2008 financial crisis

11

Key Factors in the Cost of Capital

- How much a firm needs to raise
 - The more a firm needs to raise, the more costly the capital
- Why the firm needs capital
 - Idiosyncratic (loss reserve strengthening, single large loss)
 - Industry wide (natural disaster)
- What source of funding is used (debt/equity)
 - Modigliani-Miller capital structure theory
 - Equity financing is permanent
- What is the general condition of financial markets
 - Normal
 - Credit crisis

12

Simplified Example

- Initial capital of firm is \$150 million
- Two line firm
 - Workers Compensation (\$100 million premium)
 - Expected UPM 5%
 - 1% chance of unique catastrophic loss of 50% of EP
 - Homeowners (\$100 million premium)
 - Expected UPM 7.5%
 - 5% chance of industry wide catastrophic loss of 50% of EP

13

Simplified Example - 2

- Three types of investments
 - Stocks (\$150 million) - normal expected return 8%
 - Bonds (\$400 million) - expected return 5%
 - Credit Derivatives (\$50 million) - normal expected return 15%
 - 10% chance of credit crunch that reduces expected returns of stocks to -12% and credit derivatives to -25%
 - Credit crunch does not affect statutory values of bonds

14

Simplified Example - 3

- Cost of capital equals
 - 15% plus ratio of aggregate losses to firm's initial capital (\$150 million)
 - Plus 5% if industry has experienced a natural disaster (Homeowners catastrophic loss) but there is no general credit crunch
 - Plus 10% if the market has experienced a general credit crunch
- **Riskiness Leverage Factor** = Scenario Cost of Capital/.15

15

Table 1. Largest Runs
Sorted by Aggregate Results

Run	WC Total	Home Total	Stock Total	Bond	CDS Total	Aggregate	State CC	State II	State	Cost of Capital	R L F
26	-2	-8	-40	10	-25	-65	2	0	2	0.683	4.56
16	-5	2	-47	11	-25	-64	2	0	2	0.677	4.51
32	-8	8	-44	24	-17	-37	2	0	2	0.497	3.31
28	19	-51	12	10	-22	-32	2	1	2	0.463	3.09
41	11	14	-44	15	-23	-27	2	0	2	0.430	2.87
77	9	-6	-26	25	-17	-15	2	0	2	0.350	2.33
25	16	-53	-11	18	19	-11	0	1	1	0.273	1.82
85	3	-6	-7	14	-13	-9	2	0	2	0.310	2.07
95	10	16	-40	23	-11	-2	2	0	2	0.263	1.76
2	8	-32	-1	23	3	1	0	1	1	0.000	0.00
68	-2	-5	-19	28	0	2	0	0	0	0.000	0.00

Simplified Example - 4

- Calculate the average return over all iterations for each line and investment.
- Calculate the Risk Weighted Expected Value.
- Determine how much the Risk Weighted Expected Value is below the average return.
- This difference is the Ruhm-Mango-Kreps capital allocation (consumptive use).
- The shared capital allocation is based on regulatory or other requirements.

17

Simplified Example - 5

- Total capital is the sum of the Ruhm-Mango-Kreps capital and the shared capital.
- Total allocated capital is the total capital scaled to the actual capital of the firm.
- Total return on capital is:
 - For lines of business: the average return plus the assumed risk free investment return times the actual capital
 - For investments: the average return minus the risk free investment return time the actual capital

18

Table 2. Summary Values

Line	Description	WC Total	Home Total	Stock Total	Bond	CDS Total	Aggregate
1	Average	5.35	5.32	7.91	20.88	3.77	43.23
2	Risk Weighted EV	4.03	-8.10	-30.19	15.54	-17.79	-36.51
3	RMK Capital Amount	1.32	13.42	38.10	5.34	21.56	79.74
4	Premium to Surplus Ratio	3.00	3.00				
5	Duration of Capital Need	3.00	1.00				
6	Regulatory Capital Amount	100.00	33.33				133.33
7	Total Calculated Capital	101.32	46.76	38.10	5.34	21.56	213.08
8	Total Actual Capital	71.33	32.92	26.82	3.76	15.18	150.00
9	Assumed Inv Ret on All Cap	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	
10	Total Return on Capital	0.13	0.21	0.02	0.23	0.08	0.29

19

Adjustments to Include in a Complete Model

- Large number of runs
 - Consumed capital cases should represent expected distributions.
- Catastrophe risk charge should be stochastic
 - Idiosyncratic risk charge may be higher than industry wide value.
- Credit crisis risk charge should also be stochastic
 - Base values on historical and extrapolated events.
- Financial contracts priced to supply contingent capital
 - Provide value for cost of capital.

20

Uses of the Market Based Capital Allocation

- Risk management
 - Determine the risk adjusted rate of return as expected return divided by capital allocation.
 - Use the risk adjusted return to decide if a business segment (line or investment) is worth continuing.
- Pricing
 - Use the capital allocation to determine the investment income generated by a line of business for rate calculations.
- Performance evaluation
 - Reward performance based on risk adjusted returns.

21

Conclusions

- Firms need to allocate capital in order to manage risk effectively.
- Current plethora of methods provides too many choices and no practical guidance.
- Market based capital allocation approach produces a single capital allocation that is tied to expected market conditions.
- Use of this approach will foster better models that reflect capital costs under a wide variety of economic conditions.

22
