

REINSURANCE CREDIT RISK: A MARKET-CONSISTENT PARADIGM FOR QUANTIFYING THE COST OF RISK

CAS Annual Meeting

Minneapolis, November 5, 2013

Neil Bodoff, FCAS, MAAA

Executive Vice President, Willis Re



Agenda

- Goal
- Background & motivation
- Proposed model
- Discussion: pros and cons
- Conclusion



Goal

 Propose a new approach to managing reinsurance credit risk

Quantify


Decide

— Hedge



Goal

- Quantify
 - Use market instruments to estimate the cost of risk
- Decide
 - Incorporate the cost of risk into decision making
- Hedge
 - Consider using market instruments to hedge risk



Background & motivation




- Some current practices for managing reinsurance credit risk
 - Approved vs. non-approved
 - Short tail vs. long tail, etc.
 - Binary:
 - Yes or no (or maybe or depends)
 - Ordinal ranking of risk but no dollar cost of risk
 - No connection to price

Background & motivation




- Ramifications of current set up
 - No price penalty or benefit a for a reinsurer that is financially weaker or stronger than others
 - Firm misses out on better prices from non-approved reinsurers
 - Difficult to weigh risk versus reward
 - Difficult to evaluate the cost-benefit of traditional reinsurance versus collateralized reinsurance





Shift your paradigm





Proposed model

Quantify

Decide

Hedge



Calculate credit-risk-adjusted price of reinsurance




- Quoted reinsurance price
 - = credit-risk-adjusted price of reinsurance
 - cost of reinsurance credit risk



- Credit-risk-adjusted price of reinsurance
 - = quoted reinsurance price
 - + cost of reinsurance credit risk




What we observe






What really is happening



- Quoted price
 - = credit-risk-adjusted price of reinsurance
 - cost of reinsurance credit risk




What we observe






What really is happening





What really is happening




This portion of the transaction varies across reinsurers

No way to compare prices



What really is happening




This portion of the transaction does not vary across reinsurers

Compare prices on an apples-to-apples basis



What really is happening



- Credit-risk-adjusted price of reinsurance
 - = quoted price
 - + cost of reinsurance credit risk

Use this metric to compare reinsurance quotes on a consistent basis



• How to calculate the cost of reinsurance credit risk?



- Use market instruments like credit default swaps (CDS)
 - Example below for discussion purposes

	1Y CDS Spread bps
Company Name	(as of 28-Sep-2009)
Reinsurer 1	13.25
Reinsurer 2	73.50
Reinsurer 3	102.37
Reinsurer 4	15.00
Reinsurer 5	273.24
Reinsurer 6	26.00
Reinsurer 7	65.24
Reinsurer 8	131.22
Reinsurer 9	95.03
Reinsurer 10	49.30

source: Thomson Reuters



Decide

 Incorporate the cost of credit risk when making comparisons and making decisions

Example: when comparing reinsurance quotes

	1	2	3 = 1 * 2	4	5	6 = 4 / 10k * 5	7 = 3 + 6	8 = 7 / 1
		Quoted					Credit risk	Credit risk
	Reinsurance	Reinsurance	Quoted	Price of CDS	Notional		adjusted	adjusted
	Occurrence	Rate on Line	Reinsurance	(in basis	amount of	Price of one year	reinsuranœ	reinsurance
Reinsurer	Limit	(RoL)	Price	points)	CDS protection	CDS protection	priœ	RoL
Reinsurer 1	100,000,000	6.00%	6,000,000	13.250	100,000,000	132,500	6,132,500	6.13%
Reinsurer 8	100,000,000	5.50%	5,500,000	131.220	100,000,000	1,312,200	6,812,200	6.81%



Decide

Time to modify traditional "approved list"?

		1	2	3 = 1 * 2	4	5	6 = 4 / 10k * 5	7 = 3 + 6	8 = 7 / 1	9
			Quoted					Credit risk	Credit risk	
		Reinsurance	Reinsurance	Quoted	Price of CDS	Notional		adjusted	adjusted	Share
		Ocurrence	Rate on Line	Reinsurance	(in basis	amount of	Price of one year	reinsurance	reinsuranœ	authorized
Reinsurer	Status	Limit	(RoL)	Price	points)	CDS protection	CDS protection	priœ	RoL	by reinsurer
Reinsurer 4	Approved	100,000,000	8.50%	8,500,000	15.000	100,000,000	150,000	8,650,000	8.65%	50.00%
Reinsurer 9	Approved	100,000,000	7.00%	7,000,000	95.030	100,000,000	950,300	7,950,300	7.95%	50.00%
Reinsurer 8	Not Approved	100,000,000	6.50%	6,500,000	131.220	100,000,000	1,312,200	7,812,200	7.81%	50.00%



Decide

- Casualty more complex
 - Multiple future years to worry about
 - Expand view of CDS pricing across various time horizons
 - Example: compare cost of credit risk from 2 reinsurers



MANAGING EXTREMES

Decide

• Reinsurer #1

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
											Total NPV
						CDS spread	Number of		Discount		CDS cost
					NPV	(bps): annual	years need		Factor from		(bps) as %
			NPV	Incremental	Incremental	price for cover	to hold	Interest	time (t) to	Total NPV	of total
Time	% Paid I	Expected Loss	Expected Loss	VaR (t)	VaR(t)	through time t	CDS	rate	t=0	CDS cost	VaR
1	5%	1,250,000	1,245,268	5,000,000	4,981,072	15.00	1	0.38%	99.62%	7,472	0.75
2	10%	1,250,000	1,227,800	5,000,000	4,911,201	21.50	2	0.90%	98.22%	21,118	2.11
3	25%	3,750,000	3,602,491	15,000,000	14,409,963	22.25	3	1.35%	96.07%	96,186	9.62
4	45%	5,000,000	4,656,854	20,000,000	18,627,418	28.50	4	1.79%	93.14%	212,353	21.24
5	70%	6,250,000	5,594,687	25,000,000	22,378,749	32.25	5	2.24%	89.51%	360,857	36.09
6	85%	3,750,000	3,244,233	15,000,000	12,976,934	33.45	6	2.44%	86.51%	260,447	26.04
7	90%	1,250,000	1,041,014	5,000,000	4,164,056	34.65	7	2.65%	83.28%	100,999	10.10
8	95%	1,250,000	998,178	5,000,000	3,992,713	35.60	8	2.85%	79.85%	113,712	11.37
9	99%	1,000,000	762,677	4,000,000	3,050,707	36.55	9	3.06%	76.27%	100,353	10.04
10	100%	250,000	181,392	1,000,000	725,569	37.50	10	3.26%	72.56%	27,209	2.72
				_					-		•
Total		25,000,000	22,554,595	100,000,000	90,218,380				L	1,300,707	130.07
							0/0	of NPV I	Expected Loss	5.8%	
<u>Notes</u>											
1	Column	11 = Column 6	* Column 7 / 1	0k * Column 8							
2	Column	12 = Column 1	1 / (Column 5	total / 10k)							



MANAGING EXTREMES

Decide

• Reinsurer #2

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
											Total NPV
						CDS spread	Number of		Discount		CDS cost
					NPV	(bps): annual	years need		Factor from		(bps) as %
			NPV	Incremental	Incremental	price for cover	to hold	Interest	time (t) to	Total NPV	of total
Time	% Paid	Expected Loss	Expected Loss	VaR (t)	VaR(t)	through time t	CDS	rate	t=0	CDS cost	VaR
1	5%	1,250,000	1,245,268	5,000,000	4,981,072	73.50	1	0.38%	99.62%	36,611	3.66
2	10%	1,250,000	1,227,800	5,000,000	4,911,201	87.00	2	0.90%	98.22%	85,455	8.55
3	25%	3,750,000	3,602,491	15,000,000	14,409,963	101.00	3	1.35%	96.07%	436,622	43.66
4	45%	5,000,000	4,656,854	20,000,000	18,627,418	109.50	4	1.79%	93.14%	815,881	81.59
5	70%	6,250,000	5,594,687	25,000,000	22,378,749	123.50	5	2.24%	89.51%	1,381,888	138.19
6	85%	3,750,000	3,244,233	15,000,000	12,976,934	125.55	6	2.44%	86.51%	977,552	97.76
7	90%	1,250,000	1,041,014	5,000,000	4,164,056	127.60	7	2.65%	83.28%	371,933	37.19
8	95%	1,250,000	998,178	5,000,000	3,992,713	129.23	8	2.85%	79.85%	412,793	41.28
9	99%	1,000,000	762,677	4,000,000	3,050,707	130.87	9	3.06%	76.27%	359,312	35.93
10	100%	250,000	181,392	1,000,000	725,569	132.50	10	3.26%	72.56%	96,138	9.61
									1		
Total		25,000,000	22,554,595	100,000,000	90,218,380					4,974,186	497.42
							%	of NPV I	Expected Loss	22.1%	
<u>Notes</u>											
1	Column	11 = Column of	5 * Column 7 / 1	0k * Column 8							
2	Column	12 = Column 1	1 / (Column 5	total / 10k)							



Hedge

- Proposal (until now)
 - Do quantify the cost of reinsurance credit risk
 - Do include the cost of risk when comparing prices
 - Do use market instruments like CDS to quantify
- But should you actually buy CDS and hedge the risk of reinsurance credit default?
 - Yes, no, or maybe so?



Hedge: 4 (or 5) strategies

- Rely on modeling and capital
 - Don't hedge

- Focus on the tail event
 - Hedge tail risk
 - Otherwise: don't hedge

- Be wary of parameter uncertainty
 - Hedge

- Add value based on theory of the firm
 - Hedge



Hedge: 4 (or 5) strategies

- Rely on modeling and capital
 - Don't hedge

- Focus on the tail event
 - Hedge tail risk
 - Otherwise: don't hedge

- Be wary of parameter uncertainty
 - Hedge


- Add value based on theory of the firm
 - Hedge

Combine long-term strategy from choices above together with a short-term tactics



Hedge

- Also consider "drift"
 - Even if reinsurance credit risk is acceptable now, what if something changes?
 - What if reinsurers sustain downgrades?
- Reinsurance credit risk could drift from low to high
 - If you're hedged then you're OK
 - If not, then … ?






Discussion: pros and cons

Critiques

- Still have counterparty credit risk via the provider of the credit risk protection
- Basis risk: bond default vs. reinsurance default and holding company versus operating company
- Basis risk: priority of payments and recovery rates
- Reinsurance credit risk emanates not just from ability to pay but also form willingness to pay
- Market prices of credit instruments show volatility and noise
- Not all reinsurers have actively traded credit instruments





Conclusion

- Quantify
 - Use market instruments such as CDS to quantify the cost of risk
- Decide
 - Incorporate the cost of risk into decision making
- Hedge
 - Consider using CDS instruments to actively hedge the risk of reinsurance credit default or other "credit events"



Questions & comments?

neil.bodoff@willis.com



Legal disclaimer

 The statements and opinions included in this presentation are those of the individual speakers and do not necessarily represent the views of Willis Limited and/or Willis Re Inc ("Willis Re"), its parent or sister companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, or its management.



MANAGING EXTREMES

Legal disclaimer

- This analysis has been prepared by Willis Limited and/or Willis Re Inc. ("Willis Re") on condition that it shall be treated as strictly confidential and shall not be communicated in whole, in part, or in summary to any third party without written consent from Willis Re.
- Willis Re has relied upon data from public and/or other sources when preparing this analysis. No attempt has been made to verify independently the accuracy of this data. Willis Re does not represent or otherwise guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such data nor assume responsibility for the result of any error or omission in the data or other materials gathered from any source in the preparation of this analysis. Willis Re, its parent companies, sister companies, subsidiaries and affiliates (hereinafter "Willis") shall have no liability in connection with any results, including, without limitation, those arising from based upon or in connection with errors, omissions, inaccuracies, or inadequacies associated with the data or arising from, based upon or in connection with any methodologies used or applied by Willis Re in producing this analysis or any results contained herein. Willis expressly disclaims any and all liability arising from, based upon or in connection with this analysis. Willis assumes no duty in contract, tort or otherwise to any party arising from, based upon or in connection with this analysis, and no party should expect Willis to owe it any such duty.
- There are many uncertainties inherent in this analysis including, but not limited to, issues such as limitations in the available data, reliance on client data and outside data sources, the underlying volatility of loss and other random processes, uncertainties that characterize the application of professional judgment in estimates and assumptions, etc. Ultimate losses, liabilities and claims depend upon future contingent events, including but not limited to unanticipated changes in inflation, laws, and regulations. As a result of these uncertainties, the actual outcomes could vary significantly from Willis Re's estimates in either direction. Willis makes no representation about and does not guarantee the outcome, results, success, or profitability of any insurance or reinsurance program or venture, whether or not the analyses or conclusions contained herein apply to such program or venture.
- Willis does not recommend making decisions based solely on the information contained in this analysis. Rather, this analysis should be viewed as a supplement to
 other information, including specific business practice, claims experience, and financial situation. Independent professional advisors should be consulted with respect
 to the issues and conclusions presented herein and their possible application. Willis makes no representation or warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of this
 document and its contents.
- This analysis is not intended to be a complete actuarial communication, and as such is not intended to be relied upon. A complete communication can be provided upon request. Willis Re actuaries are available to answer questions about this analysis.
- Willis does not provide legal, accounting, or tax advice. This analysis does not constitute, is not intended to provide, and should not be construed as such advice.
 Qualified advisers should be consulted in these areas.
- Willis makes no representation, does not guarantee and assumes no liability for the accuracy or completeness of, or any results obtained by application of, this
 analysis and conclusions provided herein.
- Where data is supplied by way of CD or other electronic format, Willis accepts no liability for any loss or damage caused to the Recipient directly or indirectly through
 use of any such CD or other electronic format, even where caused by negligence. Without limitation, Willis shall not be liable for: loss or corruption of data, damage
 to any computer or communications system, indirect or consequential losses. The Recipient should take proper precautions to prevent loss or damage including
 the use of a virus checker.
- This limitation of liability does not apply to losses or damage caused by death, personal injury, dishonesty or any other liability which cannot be excluded by law.
- Acceptance of this document shall be deemed agreement to the above.