



# Introduction

**Instructional Approach to  
Mack and ODP Bootstrap  
Models**

**Panelists: Mr. Mark Shapland  
Ms. Louise Francis**

Milliman  CAS Annual Meeting  
Honolulu, HI  
November 10-13, 2019 

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

**Overview**

- Introductions
- Notation
- Ranges vs. Distributions
- Mack Model
- ODP Bootstrap Model

Milliman  CAS Page 1.2

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**Logistics Overview**

- Facilities
- Time / Breaks
- Language, Terminology & Currency
- Your view blocked? / Can't See?
- Feedback forms
- Don't Skip Ahead
- Have Fun / Ask Questions
- Examples NOT Commercial Software

Milliman  CAS Page 1.3

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

# Introduction

**Notation**

$w$  – denotes an Accident (or Policy) period (“when”).

$d$  – denotes a development age (“delay”).




$k$  – denotes a diagonal or constant  $w + d$ .

$c(w,d)$  – denotes cumulative losses for accident (or policy) period  $w$ , and development age  $d$ .

$c(w,n)$  – denotes ultimate losses for accident (or policy) period  $w$  [also denoted as  $U(w)$ ].

$R(w,d)$  – denotes future development for accident (or policy) period  $w$ , and development age  $d$ .

Note:  $R(w,d) = U(w) - c(w,d)$



Page 1.4

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---




**Notation**

$q(w,d)$  – denotes incremental losses for accident (or policy) period  $w$ , and development age  $d$ .

$f(d)$  – denotes the factor applied to  $c(w,d)$  to estimate  $q(w,d+1)$ .

$F(d)$  – denotes the factor applied to  $c(w,d)$  to estimate  $c(w,d+1)$ . For example, the  $d$  to  $d+1$  age-to-age factor.

[Note: WP Report uses  $c(w,n)$ ]



Page 1.5

---

---

---

---

---

---

---




---

**Notation**

$G(w)$  – denotes a factor relating to accident (or policy) year  $w$ .

$h(w+d)$  – denotes a factor relating to diagonal  $k$  (or calendar year) in which  $w+d$  is constant.

$e(w,d)$  – denotes the mean of zero random fluctuation which occurs in cell  $w, d$ .



Page 1.6

---

---

---

---

---

---



---

---

# Introduction

## Notation

- $E(x)$  – denotes the expectation of the random variable  $x$ . (Also  $\mu_x$ )
- $Var(x)$  – denotes the variance of the random variable  $x$ . (Also  $\sigma_x^2$  or  $Sigma_x^2$ )
- $W$  – Weight
- $N$  – Total number of Accident (Policy) periods
- $n$  – Total number of Development periods
- $\hat{x}$  – Estimate of  $x$ .

Page 1.7

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Notation Example

**Cumulative Losses**

AY	0	1	2
1981	5,012	8,269	10,907
1982	106	4,285	
1983	3,410		



Can be Year (i.e., 1981) or Index (i.e., 1)

**Notation**

AY	0	1	2
1981	$c(1981,0)$	$c(1981,1)$	$c(1981,2)$
1982	$c(1982,0)$	$c(1982,1)$	
1983	$c(1983,0)$		

$w$  (points to 2 in both tables)

$d$  (points to 1 in both tables)

Page 1.8

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Notation Example



**Incremental Losses**

AY	0	1	2
1981	5,012	3,257	2,638
1982	106	4,179	
1983	3,410		

Calendar Effect denoted  $h(1982+1)$  or  $h(1983)$  or  $h(3)$

**Notation**

AY	0	1	2
1981	$q(1981,0)$	$q(1981,1)$	$q(1981,2)$
1982	$q(1982,0)$	$q(1982,1)$	
1983	$q(1983,0)$		

Page 1.9

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

# Introduction

## Notation Example

### Age-to-Age Factors

AY	1	2
1981	1.650	1.319
1982	40.425	
Mean	21.037	1.319

### Notation

AY	1	2
1981	$F(1981,1)$	$F(1981,2)$
1982	$F(1982,1)$	
Mean	$F(1)$	$F(2)$



Page I.10



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Ranges vs. Distributions

- A **Range** is not the same as a **Distribution**
- A *Range of Reasonable Estimates* is a range of estimates that could be produced by appropriate actuarial methods or alternative sets of assumptions that the actuary judges to be reasonable.
- A *Distribution* is a statistical function that attempts to quantify probabilities of all possible outcomes.



Page I.11



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Ranges vs. Distributions

- A **Range** is generally considered to be either a subset of "*central estimates*" or a subset of the "*possible outcomes*".
- For a "*central estimate*" the incremental values will essentially have the random movements "*averaged*" or "*smoothed*" out.
- A "*possible outcome*" will generally include random movements in the incremental values (e.g., calendar period payments within each accident period).



Page I.12



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

# Introduction

## Ranges vs. Distributions

Range of Reasonable Estimates

Range of Possible Estimates

"Best" Estimate

Milliman

CAS

Page I.13

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Ranges vs. Distributions

Distribution of Statistical Outcomes

"Best" Estimate

Milliman

CAS

Page I.14

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Ranges vs. Distributions

Distributions of Possible Outcomes

Estimated Unpaid Claims

With multiple models:  
You can evaluate the relative strengths of each model!

Milliman

CAS

Page I.15

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

# Introduction

## Ranges vs. Distributions

"Best Estimate" of a Distribution of Possible Outcomes

Range of Mean Estimates

"Best Estimate" of the Mean

Estimated Unpaid Claims

With multiple models:  
You can use credibility weights to get your "best estimate"!

Milliman CAS

Page I.16

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Ranges vs. Distributions

"Best Estimate" of a Distribution of Possible Outcomes

Confidence Interval

"Best Estimate" of the Mean

Estimated Unpaid Claims

With multiple models:  
You can calculate confidence intervals.

Milliman CAS

Page I.17

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Basic Models

- And Now for Something Completely Different...
- Mack Model
- ODP Bootstrap Model

Milliman CAS

Page I.18

---

---

---

---

---


---


---

---

# Introduction

**Final Questions?**



Milliman  CAS

Page 1.19

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

**Suggested Bibliography**

- [13] [England, Peter D. and Richard J. Verrall. 1999. Analytic and Bootstrap Estimates of Prediction Errors in Claims Reserving. \*Insurance: Mathematics and Economics\* 25, no. 3: 281-293.](#)
- [14] [England, Peter D. and Richard J. Verrall. 2001. A Flexible Framework for Stochastic Claims Reserving. \*PCAS LXXXVIII\*: 1-38.](#)
- [17] *Foundations of Casualty Actuarial Science*, 4th ed. 2001. Arlington, Va.: Casualty Actuarial Society.
- [26] [Mack, Thomas. 1993. Distribution-Free Calculation of the Standard Error of Chain Ladder Reserve Estimates. \*ASTIN Bulletin\* 23, no. 2: 213-25.](#)
- [27] [Mack, Thomas. 1994. Measuring the Variability of Chain Ladder Reserve Estimates. \*CAS Forum\* 1 \(Spring\): 101-82.](#)
- [41] [Shapland, Mark R. 2016. Using the ODP Bootstrap Model: A Practitioner's Guide. \*CAS Monograph\* No. 4.](#)

Milliman  CAS

Page 1.20

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---