



- Under no circumstances shall CAS seminars be used as a means for competing companies or firms to reach any understanding expressed or implied that restricts competition or in any way impairs the ability of members to exercise independent business judgment regarding matters affecting competition.
- It is the responsibility of all seminar participants to be aware of antitrust regulations, to prevent any written or verbal discussions that appear to violate these laws, and to adhere in every respect to the CAS antifrust compliance policy.



OVERVIEW OF NAIC ORSA 🔇 CONNING –







CASE STUDY: STRATEGIC ASSET ALLOCATION	
CONNING	

г





Strategic Asset Allocation

Investment Strategy based on company's:

- Liabilities
 Business operations
- Competitive environment
- Accounting, regulatory and tax constraints
- Strategic goals
- Risk tolerance

Based on enterprise financial modeling

- Assets, liabilities, future underwriting and investment results
- 3-5 year horizon typical for p/c companies
- Recommendations and implementation plans incorporate current and expected market conditions

Stochastic modeling provides insights into tail risks

- Consistent with evolving regulatory environment, such as ORSA and Solvency II
- Consistent with evolving rating agency approach, such as Best's new BCAR

🔇 CONNING —

















Efficient Frontier Analysis – Strategic Indications

- Key strategic factors from SAA
- Duration
- Corporate vs government bonds
- Risky asset classes

Indications from efficient frontier analysis

- Optimal duration of fixed income investments ranges from 4-7 years
- Optimal allocation to government bonds and cash: 10%-50%
- Optimal allocation to IG corporate bonds: 35%-70%
- Optimal allocation to risky asset classes (equities etc.): 10%-20%
- Higher risk/higher reward strategies have:
- Longer duration
- Lower allocations to governments and cash
- Higher allocations to corporates
- Higher allocations to risky assets

CONNING -

Alternative Portfolio Analysis

Evaluating the impact on key financial metrics of varying the main strategic factors driving investment risk and reward

- The strategic factors:
- Duration
- Equity Allocation
- Alternative Investment (Riskier Assets) Allocation
- Corporate/Credit Bond Allocation
- The key financial metrics:
- Operating Performance: Investment Income
- Financial Strength: IFRS Shareholder Equity
 Regulatory Capital Adequacy: Solvency II Capital Adequacy Ratio

(CONNING













Asset Glass	Current Portfolio	Recommended Portfolio
Cash	38%	15%
Sovernment Bonds	10%	30%
Corporate Bonds (Investment Grade)	45%	40%
arge Cap Equity	3%	5%
Alternative Investment	4%	10%
ixed Income Duration (years)	2.0	4.0
uity Allocation: Higher investment income		
 Improve risk/reward outlook of Solvency II Cap 	pital Adequacy Ratio	
 Improve risk/reward outlook of Solvency II Cap Improve downside risk of IFRS Shareholder E 	pital Adequacy Ratio	

6

Recommended Strategic Benchmark Asset Allocation 2/3

Alternative Investment Allocation:

- Improve risk/reward outlook of Economic Value
- Improve risk/reward outlook of Capital Adequacy Ratio
- Strengthen average IFRA Shareholder Equity with higher volatility

Corporate Bond Allocation:

Indicated by EV Efficient Frontier

- Improve risk/reward outlook of Capital Adequacy Ratio
- Maintain average IFRS Shareholder Equity with lower risk

Fixed Income Duration:

- Indicated by EV Efficient Frontier
- Higher investment income
- Optimize risk/reward tradeoff of Capital Adequacy Ratio in a long term

🔇 CONNING –



SCR Components in € m	Current	Recommended
	Full Charge	Full Charge
Interest Rate	0.3	11.:
Equity	31.4	49.5
Property	7.9	11.
Spread	30.2	23.
Currency	5.3	9.
Concentration	3.4	0.1
Market SCR	65.0	87.
Counterparty Default	14.1	10.
Non-Life Underwriting	200.0	200.0
Non SLT Health	4.0	4.0
Basic SCR	233.2	243.
SCR	241.1	251.
Own Funds	264.1	264.
Solvency Ratio	110%	105%











sset Class Allocation and Key N	letrics:	$\langle - \rangle$	Alternative Strateg	gies
	Current Allocation	First Step Allocation	Strategic Target Allocation	High Risk, High Capital Allocation
Required Solvency Capital (Time 0)				
Div. Effect in Market Risk € M	€13 M	€14 M	€19 M	€33 M
Market Risk, Diversified €M	€65 M	€69 M	€87 M	€147 M
Market Risk, Div. as % of Total, Div.	27%	29%	35%	51%
Div. Effect in Total Risk Capital € M	€63 M	€64 M	€71 M	€94 M
Total Risk Capital, Diversified €M	€241 M	€241 M	€251 M	€290 M
Solvency Ratio	110%	110%	105%	93%
Solvency Ratio	110%	110%	105%	93%



Image: Name of the state of the st					
FFSS Standballer public (fail of Projection Notion) ip Signature Value (Average) (64 202 201 211 211 i) Signature Value (Average) (64 96 97 100 1104 1124 1125	Selected Asset Allocation	Current Allocation	First Step Allocation	Strategic Benchmark Allocation	High Risk High Capital Allocation
a) Expected Value (Average) (M. 202 201 211 217 b) Vokality (Standed Deviation) (M. 96 97 100 115 c) Vokality (Standed Deviation) (M. 96 97 100 115 c) Ownide Deviation (LSK level) as for (a) 120 1215 1215 1205 a) Expected Value (Average) (M. 8.1 8.3 7.1 138 b) Vokality (Standed Deviation) (M. 0.6 1.9 125 1355 1355 c) Downisk Deviation (LSK level) as for (a) 176 106 585 265 255 1355 1376 c) Downisk Deviation (LSK level) as for (a) 176 108 356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376 1356 1376	IFRS Shareholder Equity (End of Projection Horizon)				
b) Volatility (Standard Deviation) (M 96 97 0.00 116 c) Volatility (Standard Deviation) (M 96 97 0.00 116 117 <td>a) Expected Value (Average) CM</td> <td>202</td> <td>201</td> <td>211</td> <td>217</td>	a) Expected Value (Average) CM	202	201	211	217
() Volumity as % of (a) 479 48% 47% 55% () Downside Deviation" (1.5% lavel) as % of (a) 11% 112% 111% 10% Investment tracticone (B**0) 1 10% 11% 11% 11% 11% a) Operated Vision (Average) (OA 8.1 8.3 7.1 13.8 10% 11% <td< td=""><td>b) Volatility (Standard Deviation) CM</td><td>96</td><td>97</td><td>100</td><td>116</td></td<>	b) Volatility (Standard Deviation) CM	96	97	100	116
(1) Downske Devision* (1.5 Kievel) as Nof (a) 113% 112% 113% 113% 100% (a) Sported Value (Verage) (A) 4.1 8.3 7.1 13.8 (a) Sported Value (Verage) (A) 0.2 0.6 1.9 1.7 (a) Volatinity (Standard Deviation) (A) 0.9 7.6 25% 13% (a) Downske Deviation (1.5 Kievel) as Nof (a) 10% 10% 10% (b) Volatility as Nof (a) 10% 13% 13% 13% (b) Sported Value (Verage) in Percentage Points 13% 13% 13% 13% (b) Volatility Standard (Oscilon) Percentage Points 13% 43% 43% 43% (c) Oscilatity Standard (Oscilation) Percentage Points 13% 13% 12% 13% (a) Downside Deviation* (1.5 Kilevel) as Nof (a) 33% 89% 89% 12%	c) Volatility as % of (a)	47%	48%	47%	53%
mixedment locane (9 ⁻ Yap) 8.1 8.3 7.1 13.8 b) Volatility (Standard Deviation) (M 0.7 0.6 1.9 1.7.1 c) Volatility (Standard Deviation) (M 0.7 0.6 1.9 1.7.1 c) Volatility (Standard Deviation) (M 956 7.6 2.95 1.355 d) Domaids Deviation* (1.55 level as K of (a) 1.76 1.06 5.05 3.05 d) Domaids Deviation* (1.55 level as K of (a) 1.396 1.396 1.395 1.174 d) Volatility (Standard Deviation) (1.55 level) as K of (a) 9.05 9.05 3.05 3.05 d) Volatility (Standard Deviation) (1.25 level) as K of (a) 9.18 8.95 1.025 d) Downside Deviation* (1.25 level) as K of (a) 9.18 8.95 1.025	d) Downside Deviation* (1.5% level) as % of (a)	113%	112%	113%	130%
a) Expected Yake (Average) (M 8.1 8.3 7.1 0.13.1 b) (Valatility Standard Deviation) (M 0.7 0.6 1.9 9.7.7 c) (Valatility as K of (a) 9% 7% 2.7% 1.3% d) Downside Deviation' (1.5 K level) as K of (a) 1.7% 1.0% 5.8% 3.0% Downside Deviation' (1.5 K level) as K of (a) 1.7% 1.0% 5.8% 3.0% Downside Deviation' (1.5 K level) as K of (a) 1.7% 1.0% 5.8% 3.0% d) Downside Deviation (Precentage Points 1.3% 1.1% d) Valatility as K of (a) 4.3% 4.5% 4.2% 4.3% d) Downside Deviation (1.5 K level) as K of (a) 3.3% 8.9% 8.9% 1.02%	Investment Income (3 rd Year)				
b) Volatiling (Standard Deviation) (M. 4.7 0.6 19 17.7 (Volatiling Standard Deviation) (M. 56 (a) 196 197 197 197 197 197 197 197 197 197 197	a) Expected Value (Average) CM	8.1	8.3	7.1	13.8
() Volatiling as Kor(a) 9% 7% 27% 13% () Downside Devisition" (1.5% livel) as Kor(a) 17% 16% 58% 30% (a) Expected Value (Krange) in Percentage Points 13% 119% 155% 157% 15% <td>b) Volatility (Standard Deviation) €M</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>1.7</td>	b) Volatility (Standard Deviation) €M	0.7	0.6	1.9	1.7
i) Downske Devision (*) 1.5% kevel) as Kor (a) 17% 16% 58% 30% Silvener, Hank (find a Princips Instrum) a) Opported Value (Average) in Precentager Points 139% 139% 139% 130%	c) Volatility as % of (a)	9%	7%	27%	13%
Solvency, Ratio (End d'indycetice Hostices) a) Opported Varia (Variang) Recentage Points 139% 139% 137%	d) Downside Deviation* (1.5% level) as % of (a)	17%	16%	58%	30%
a) Opported Value (Averange) in Percentage Points 139% <t< td=""><td>Solvency Ratio (End of Projection Horizon)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Solvency Ratio (End of Projection Horizon)				
b) Volatiling (Standard Deviation) in Percentage Points 59% 59% 59% 59% 59% c) Volatiling vas Ko (Fa) 43% 43% 42% 43% d) Downside Deviation* (1.5% level) as N of (a) 93% 89% 89% 102%	a) Expected Value (Average) in Percentage Points	139%	139%	135%	117%
(a) Vobality as % of (a) 43% 43% 43% 43% (a) Downside Deviation* (1.5% level) as % of (a) 93% 89% 89% 102%	b) Volatility (Standard Deviation) in Percentage Points	59%	59%	57%	50%
d) Downside Deviation* (1.5% level) as % of (a) 93% 89% 89% 102% spansby Corring Inc. excells/Corring Inc.	c) Volatility as % of (a)	43%	43%	42%	43%
spandly Coving Inc.	d) Downside Deviation* (1.5% level) as % of (a)	93%	89%	89%	102%
	Prepared by Conning, Inc.				







9























STUDY OF MANAGEMENT RULES

CONNING -

Investment Rules

Duration Rule:

- Increase when interest rate goes up over the past 12 months
- Decrease when interest rate goes down over the past 12 months
- Capital Adequacy Rule for risky asset allocation:
- Increase allocation to risky assets when there is extra capital redundancy
- Maintain current allocation otherwise
- Inflation Protective Asset Allocation Rule

 Increase allocation to inflation protective assets when actual inflation is higher than expected inflation
- Maintain current allocation otherwise

🔇 CONNING



_				
_				























Stress Testing – Methodology

Capital Metric: GAAP Equity

- Three Risk Factors: CAT Losses, US Consumer Price Inflation, US Interest Rate
- Criteria of Selecting Adverse Scenarios: 25 worst scenarios out of 10000 scenarios
- Capital: 0.25 percentile
- CAT Loss: 99.75 percentile
- Inflation: 99.75 percentile
- Interest rate: 99.75 percentile
- Severity Measures of Adverse Scenarios:
- TVaR from Mean
- Likelihood of worse than TVaR
- Time horizon: 5 year and 1 year
- For 5 year: Use 5-year cumulated CAT loss and cumulated Inflation and End-of-5th-Year Interest Rate

CONNING -









Contribution to Risk over One Year				
Difference between Simulation Average and Average of High Interest Scenarios (highest 0.25%), After Tax				
Source	Average	Average of Worst 1%	Amount	%
UnderwritingIncome	15,921,650	(5,178,055)	(21,099,705)	23%
Investment Income	19,774,423	19,270,459	(503,965)	1%
Investment Realized Losses	341,733	(532,183)	(873,916)	1%
Foreign Exchange Effect	(4,231,264)	(3,054,283)	1,176,981	-1%
Tax Incurred	(8,318,033)	(2,661,916)	5,656,118	-6%
Dividends	(8,836,195)	(8,836,195)	-	0%
Change in Investment Unrealized Gains/Losses	766,480	(77,175,392)	(77,941,872)	83%
Change in GAAP Shareholders Equity	13,652,131	(79 934 228)	(03 586 350)	100%
		(10)00 (120)	(33,330,333)	10070
Contribution to Risk over Five Years Difference between Simulation Average and Average of High Interest Scenarios (highest 0.25%), After Tax		()	(30,00,003)	10075
Contribution to Risk over Five Years Difference between Simulation Average and Average of High Interest Sciencific (Science) (Although the Tax Source		(1111)	(30,00,003)	%
Contribution to Risk over Five Years Difference between Simulation Average and Average of High Interest Scenarios (highest 0.25%), After Tax Source Underwriting Income	85,246,017	(265,612,556)	(350,858,573)	%
Contribution to Risk over Five Years Difference between Simulator Average and Average of High ministed Services Types of Single Alex Tax Source Underwriting Income Investment Income	85,246,017 100,188,655	(265,612,556) 163,550,957	(350,858,573) 63,362,302	% 98% -18%
Contribution to Risk over Five Years Difference betwein Simulation Average and Average of High Interest Scenarice (Highest 0.25%), Alex Tax Source UnderwritingIncome Investment Realized Losses	85,246,017 100,188,655 13,123,960	(265,612,556) 163,550,957 (23,526,794)	(350,858,573) 63,362,302 (36,650,754)	% 98% -18% 10%
Contribution to Risk over Five Years Different learner limited Average at Average of High tenest Science (March 2015), that Tax Source UnderwritingIncome Investment Realized Losses Foreign Exchange Effect	85,246,017 100,188,655 13,123,960 (10,639,167)	(265,612,556) 163,550,957 (23,526,794) (5,514,029)	(350,858,573) 63,362,302 (36,650,754) 5,125,138	% 98% -18% 10% -1%
Contribution to Risk over Five Years Difference between Emandian Average and Average of High treases Brownie Pytyset 0.25%, After Tex Source Underwriting Income Investment Realized Losses Foreign Exchange Effect Tax Incourced	85,246,017 100,188,655 13,123,960 (10,639,167) (56,223,962)	(265,612,556) 163,550,957 (23,528,794) (5,514,029) (2,979,039)	(350,858,573) 63,362,302 (36,650,754) 5,125,138 53,244,923	% 98% -18% 10% -1% -15%
Contribution to Risk over Five Years Difference between binuition Average and Average of High stream Scientes (Medical 25%), fair fair Source UnderwritingIncome Investment Realized Losses Foreign Exchange Effect Tax Incurred Dividends	85,246,017 100,188,655 13,123,960 (10,639,167) (56,223,962) (31,528,157)	(265,612,556) 163,550,957 (23,522,794) (5,514,029) (10,744,035)	(350,858,573) 63,362,302 (36,650,754) 5,125,138 53,244,923 20,784,122	% 98% -18% 10% -1% -15% -6%
Contribution to Risk over Five Years Difference between Streamics Aureage and Aureage of High Interest Bowenie Bytest 2355, Afer Tex Source Underwriting Income Investment Journe Promign Exchange Effect Tax Incurred Dividends Change in Investment Unrealized Gains/Losses	85,246,017 100,188,655 13,123,960 (10,639,167) (56,223,962) (31,528,157) 22,807,754	(265,612,556) 163,550,957 (23,526,794) (5,514,029) (2,979,039) (10,744,035) (91,299,063)	(350,858,573) (336,850,754) 5,125,138 53,244,923 20,764,122 (114,106,817)	% 98% -18% 10% -1% -15% -6% 32%



ALTERNATIVE BUSINESS/OPERATIONAL STRATEGIES/SCENARIOS ANALYSIS

🔇 CONNING

Alternative Shareholder Dividend Policy

First year USD \$25 million, second year up to USD \$25 million subject to rules B and C, and third year up to USD \$50 million minus dividend paid in the second year subject to rules B and C below, and then revert Dividend Rules

A. The current rule will be modified to be 30% (changed from 25%) of net Statutory income;

B. The company must maintain USD \$50 million of redundant capital at the S&P AAA level; and

C. The dividend paid cannot be more than 10% of the previous year end statutory surplus.

🔇 CONNING –













Danial Finn, Director Edward Yao, Director Risk Solutions Risk Solutions Conning Conning +1-860-299-2353 +1-860-299-2367 daniel.finn@conning.com edward.yao@conning.com

Disclosures

CONNING -

Contrig, No., Gooden Capital Advances, No., Controly measurement Phodotes, Inc., a PIRA's registered havine dealer: Controlip Asset Management Linited. Control photomers and the Control photomers and

Composition of the data is a data material of the Outers Section 2000 and the Outers Section 2000 and the outer Section 2000 and the data of the data of the Outers Section 2000 and the data of the d

For complete details regarding Conning and its services, you should refer to our Form ADV Part 2, which may be obtained by calling us. Legal Disclaimer

-construction of the document and the advance docubed within an cognizipate with all right meansed. We prove that document may be defaulted in equivalent document that we construct the document that the extension of the advance of the document that the extension of the advance of the document that the extension of the advance of the document terms where the provide that the provident of the document terms where the provident terms and the document terms and terms and terms and the document terms and ter

This material is for informational purposes only and should not be interpreted as an offer to sell, or a solicitation or recommendation of an offer to buy any security, product or service, or relain Conning for investment advices. This information is not intended to be nor should it be used as investment advice.

C#:5024318

CONNING -