CP4: Fitting and Bootstrapping GLMs for Incremental Development Triangles

Thomas Hartl, PwC LLP



Antitrust Notice

- The Casualty Actuarial Society is committed to adhering strictly to the letter and spirit of the antitrust laws. Seminars conducted under the auspices of the CAS are designed solely to provide a forum for the expression of various points of view on topics described in the programs or agendas for such meetings.
- Under no circumstances shall CAS seminars be used as a means for competing companies or firms to reach any understanding – expressed or implied – that restricts competition or in any way impairs the ability of members to exercise independent business judgment regarding matters affecting competition.
- It is the responsibility of all seminar participants to be aware of antitrust regulations, to prevent any written or verbal discussions that appear to violate these laws, and to adhere in every respect to the CAS antitrust compliance policy.

Overview

- Session is based on two call papers
 - Fitting a GLM to Incomplete Development triangles
 Detailed description of model and how to go about fitting it in MS Excel using Visual Basic
 - Bootstrapping GLMs for Development Triangles using Deviance Residuals
 - Algorithm for rescaling deviance residuals and case study of bootstrapping with Pearson residuals vs bootstrapping with deviance residuals

Objectives

- Understand issues encountered when fitting a regression model to an incomplete development triangle
- Understand nature of bootstrapping
- Understand some practical limitations encountered when bootstrap based on residual resampling is employed

Fitting a GLM to Incomplete Development Triangles

- Outline of presentation
- Description of the model
- Issues encountered when dealing with incomplete triangles
- Quick introduction to graph theory
- What can be learned about the model for a particular development triangle

Description of the model

- Multiplicative factorial GLM for incremental development amounts (using exposure and development period parameters)
- Reserve projection based on out-of-sample projection of future incremental development amounts
- Fit is accomplished using pseudo-likelihood framework i.e. model is specified by choice of variance function

Description of the model

- Multiplicative GLM ⇒ log link function
- Factorial model ⇒ discrete parameters
- Out-of-sample projection ⇒ we fit a regression model to past development amounts
- Pseudo-likelihood ⇒ fitting procedure only depends on second moment assumptions

Description of model

• Model is linear on log scale:

γ	$\gamma + \beta_2$	$\gamma + \beta_3$	$\gamma + \beta_4$	γ+β
$\gamma + \alpha_2$	$\gamma + \alpha_2 + \beta_2$	$\gamma + \alpha_2 + \beta_3$	$\gamma + \alpha_2 + \beta_4$	
$\gamma + \alpha_3$	$\gamma + \alpha_3 + \beta_2$	$\gamma + \alpha_3 + \beta_3$		
$\gamma + \alpha_4$	$\gamma + \alpha_4 + \beta_2$			
$\gamma + \alpha_5$				

Issues with incomplete triangles

• Not enough data points for all parameters

γ	$\gamma + \beta_2$	$\gamma + \beta_3$	Х	$\gamma + \beta_5$
$\gamma + \alpha_2$	$\gamma + \alpha_2 + \beta_2$	$\gamma + \alpha_2 + \beta_3$	Х	
$\gamma + \alpha_3$	$\gamma + \alpha_3 + \beta_2$	$\gamma + \alpha_3 + \beta_3$		
$\gamma + \alpha_4$	$\gamma + \alpha_4 + \beta_2$			
$\gamma + \alpha_5$				

Choice	e of referen	ce cell mat	ters after all	
Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
$\gamma + \alpha_2$	$\gamma + \alpha_2 + \beta_2$	$\gamma + \alpha_2 + \beta_3$	$\gamma + \alpha_2 + \beta_4$	
γ+α ₃	$\gamma + \alpha_3 + \beta_2$	$\gamma + \alpha_3 + \beta_3$		
$\gamma + \alpha_4$	$\gamma + \alpha_4 + \beta_2$			
$\gamma + \alpha_5$				

Issues with incomplete triangles

• Data splits into unrelated regions

^	^	γ+p ₃	$\gamma + p_4$	$\gamma + p_5$
Х	Х	$\gamma + \alpha_2 + \beta_3$	$\gamma + \alpha_2 + \beta_4$	
Х	Х	$\gamma + \alpha_3 + \beta_3$		
$_{\gamma+\alpha_4}$	$\gamma + \alpha_4 + \beta_2$			
$\gamma + \alpha_5$				

Issues with incomplete triangles • Exact fit cells Х Х $\gamma + \beta_3$ $\gamma + \beta_4$ <u>γ+β</u>₅ Х $\gamma + \alpha_2 + \beta_3 \quad \gamma + \alpha_2 + \beta_4$ Х $\gamma + \alpha_3 + \beta_2$ <u>γ+α₃+β₃</u> $\gamma + \alpha_3$ $\gamma + \alpha_4$ $\gamma + \alpha_4 + \beta_2$ <u>γ+α</u>5











• Breadth first search for triangles (step 3) Mark all cells in column of first untested cell with component counter and column tested flag \oplus ۲ Ο 0 ۲ \bigcirc ۲ \oplus 0 \bigcirc Ο ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲





• Breadth first search for triangles (step 5) Loop over column tested cells: mark cell as done and mark other cells in row as row tested Ο 0 \oplus ۲ ۲ \bigcirc ⊕ Ð 0 \bigcirc Ο ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲





• Breadth first search for triangles (step 6) Loop over row tested cells: mark cell as done and mark other cells in column as column tested Ο \oplus ⊕ \oplus Ο \bigcirc \bigcirc € Ð \bigcirc Ο ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲





• Breadth first search for triangles (step 6) Loop over row tested cells: mark cell as done and mark other cells in column as column tested Ο \oplus ⊕ \oplus Ο 0 \bigcirc \oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc Ο ۲ ۲ ۲





• Breadth first search for triangles (step 5) Loop over column tested cells: mark cell as done and mark other cells in row as row tested Ο Ο \oplus \oplus \oplus 0 \bigcirc Ð Ð \oplus \bigcirc Ο ۲ ۲ ٢





• Breadth first search for triangles (step 6) Loop over row tested cells: mark cell as done and mark other cells in column as column tested 0 \oplus Ð \oplus Ο \bigcirc \bigcirc Ð Ð ⊕ 0 Ο ⊕ ۲ ⊕

What do we learn?

- We can use the Breadth First algorithm to find the maximal connected components of an incomplete development triangle ⇒ <u>Projecting</u> future development amounts is only possible within the row and column range of each maximal connected component
- For each connected component we can also analyze what each cell contributes to our knowledge of the inherent variability





Ο

 \oplus



What do we learn?

- Single parameter cells and critical connector cells are exact fit cells ⇒ <u>no information about</u> <u>variability</u> for these cells
- Fit for connected components of regression cells is independent of what is going on in rest of triangle ⇒ can be used to <u>split regression fit</u> <u>into isolated subcomponents</u> (if there are any critical connector cells)

What else is in the call paper?

- Section 3 covers how to fit a GLM using MS Excel based Visual Basic code
- Section 4 covers how to calculate and plot standardized residuals
- Spreadsheet with illustrative implementation of algorithms discussed in call paper is available from author at request

Illustrative spreadsheet

- Input 10 x 10 triangle
- Select data points to include in model
- Analyze graph topology of incomplete triangle
- Choose variance function
- Fit GLM to incomplete triangle
- Study standardized residual plots
- Bootstrap range of reserve outcomes using Pearson residuals of Deviance residuals

Bootstrapping GLMs for Development Triangles using Deviance Residuals

- Not covered in presentation: Newton-Raphson algorithm for rescaling deviance residuals based on identity variance function
- Covered in presentation: case study of bootstrapping with Pearson residuals vs bootstrapping with deviance residuals

Bootstrapping GLMs for Development Triangles using Deviance Residuals

- Outline of presentation
 - What is bootstrapping?
 - Linear rescaling with Pearson residuals
 - Non-linear rescaling with Deviance residuals
 - Demonstration I: negative resampling values
 - Demonstration II: non-linear rescaling not possible
 - What do we learn?

What is bootstrapping?

- <u>Approximates</u> the distribution of a function that depends on sampled data
- Assumes that data is randomly distributed according to specified stochastic model
- Uses observed error structure to approximate random distributions of model

Any distributions derived are <u>conditional</u> on specified stochastic model being correct

Bootstrapping and Stochastic Reserving

- Reserves are a function of development triangle
- Get bootstrap distribution of reserve estimates by repeatedly resampling triangle
- Above only gives parameter uncertainty
- To approximate distribution of reserve outcomes we also need process error
- Can approximate process error using the same resampling procedure used for triangle

Bootstrapping and Heteroscedasticity

- Use resampling of standardized residuals to adjust for non-constant error structure
- Multiple definitions for residuals available
- Residual rescaling is the inverse process of residual standardization
- Want to approximate distributions of data points

 resampling distributions should be consistent with stochastic model assumptions

ta sei	t Tayl	or &	Ashe	(1983	6)			
765,940	610,542	482,940	527,326	574,398	146,342	139,950	227,229	67,94
864,021	903,894	1,103,289	445,745	320,996	527,804	266,172	425,046	
1,001,799	926,219	1,016,654	750,816	146,923	495,992	280,405		
1,108,250	776,189	1,562,400	272,482	352,053	206,286			
693,190	991,983	769,488	504,851	470,639				
937,085	847,498	805,037	705,960					
847,631	1,131,398	1,063,269						
1,061,648	1,443,370							
986,608								
1	2 SC 766,940 864,021 (001,799 937,085 847,631 1,061,648 986,608	2a Sett 1 ayy 766,940 610,542 864,021 864,021 933,894 933,894 (101,799 926,219 91,983 937,085 847,631 1,131,398 447,651 1,131,398 1,443,370 986,668 1,443,370	760;940 610;542 412;940 884;021 955;984 1,115;289 903;079 920;429 1,116;389 903;079 920;429 1,116;389 903;079 920;429 1,116;389 903;059 91;483 7,6488 970;265 877;648 1,103;398 867;631 1,131;398 1,063;209 906;648 1,443;370 1	23. Set: 1 Aylor: Assire 760,940 640,542 4429,440 527,026 884,021 552,969 1,032,999 445,745 (100,779 762,199 1,562,549 22,482 (101,799 702,919 1,562,480 22,482 (101,799 701,983 706,488 865,917 705,960 887,613 1,131,988 1,663,269 706,468 1,663,269 986,668 443,370 706,269 706,468	A Set 1 Aylor Ashe (1 2 0 3) 760,940 640,542 442,940 527,326 571,998 8841/21 953,994 1,103,299 445,745 320,996 961/270 962,979 1,106,545 730,496 1,403,270 91/385 874,984 91,984 70,488 544,814 101,059 91/385 876,948 865,917 70,580 575,960 575,960 91/385 876,941 1,131,398 1,063,249 575,960 575,960 966,468 1,131,398 1,063,249 1,014,391 1,063,249 1,014,391 1,014,391 966,468 1,013,798 1,014,329 1,014,391 1,01	A SEC TAYIOT & ASIC (1993) 765,940 630,542 412,940 527,326 574,998 146,342 884,021 953,994 131,329 445,745 330,996 527,946 963,979 922,971 1016,643 700,816 14,023 945,992 1,037,99 126,240 272,482 352,995 26,286 051,900 919,985 70,488 94,845 474,059 973,985 845,914 715,590 116 116,954 974,845 1,433,70 106,524 116,954 116,954 986,648 143,970 126,954 126,954 126,954	A SEC 1 AVIOT & ASINC (1993) 760.940 610.952 402.940 527.956 571.998 146,342 130.959 880.021 953.994 1,102.999 445,745 320.996 27.944 264,772 900.790 92.019 1,502.490 272.482 352.953 296.926 91.085 7.764.190 1,502.490 272.482 352.953 296.296 91.098 87.048 1,313.998 166.529 96.500 1 1 986.048 1.913.998 166.529 165.299	A SEC I AVIOT & ASINC (1993) 765,940 610,542 442,940 527,526 571,998 146,342 109,950 227,229 884,021 932,994 131,259 445,745 330,996 527,984 264,712 425,946 1007,79 922,919 1946,544 501,996 599,922 206,472 425,946 1013,790 91,938 706,488 94,8431 410,929 91,938 706,488 856,947 705,960 1 <td< td=""></td<>

Rescaling Example • Data set Taylor & Ashe (1983) - Fitted Values 338,807 431,201 358,694 242,579 197,553 185,516 116,383 211,622 705,487 897,876 746,898 505,115 411,330 386,295 242,341 440,653 <u>67,948</u> 141,486 140,801 293,186 103,000 103,000 103,000 100,000 <t 191,382 396,579 214,098 103,319 307,853 740,778 942,791 784,261 530,383 431,937 405,619 254,464 462,697 148,564 <u>343,763</u> <u>827,188</u> <u>1,052,766</u> <u>875,744</u> <u>592,251</u> *482,321 452,933 284,146* 516,669 165,893 BADD SL(1) IDECOM EXCURT Parket watch <















Demonstration I

- Negative resampling values
 - Top right cell is only cell for which we get a negative resampling value
 - Can directly compare bootstrapping results with Pearson and deviance residuals for model excluding top right cell
 - Bootstrapping with deviance residuals is also possible for model including top right cell

Demonstration I

• Bootstrapping results excluding top right corner - Pearson residuals (10,000 iterations)

Accident	Modeled	Bootstrap	Sim. Future	Standard	5%-ile Sim.	95%-ile Sir
Period	Reserve	Projection	Development	Pred. Error	Outcome	Outcome
1	-	-		-		-
2	-	-		-	-	-
3	596,051	603,398	595,127	166,522	(254,940)	288,03
4	498,753	504,064	498,789	135,273	(214,047)	231,75
5	1,122,779	1,134,746	1,125,780	224,917	(345,901)	394,65
6	1,736,070	1,751,181	1,734,825	302,852	(467,485)	522,68
7	2,616,534	2,640,194	2,612,849	407,758	(613,245)	724,63
8	4,127,340	4,164,901	4,132,367	586,633	(892,087)	1,040,07
9	4,956,065	4,990,267	4,959,138	801,618	(1,232,452)	1,417,92
10	5,087,731	5,161,854	5,082,052	1,393,141	(2,030,612)	2,510,11
Total	20.741.324	20.950.606	20,740,927	2.504.915	(3.645.668)	4,603,58

Demonstration	I
----------------------	---

• Bootstrapping results excluding top right corner - Deviance residuals (10,000 iterations)

Total	20,741,324	20,948,135	20,750,465	2,530,813	(3,764,693)	4,599,894
10	5,087,731	5,169,300	5,088,560	1,404,841	(2,048,259)	2,501,894
9	4,956,065	5,002,022	4,962,549	802,422	(1,215,009)	1,405,855
8	4,127,340	4,156,304	4,128,423	582,196	(885,958)	1,016,114
7	2,616,534	2,636,940	2,619,302	409,205	(630,112)	709,919
6	1,736,070	1,748,560	1,735,691	300,235	(460,344)	514,240
5	1,122,779	1,130,897	1,122,776	225,374	(348,761)	388,058
4	498,753	502,686	497,483	135,937	(213,619)	233,681
3	596,051	601,425	595,682	165,133	(254,824)	283,543
2	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Period	Reserve	Projection	Development	Pred. Error	Outcome	Outcome
Accident	Modeled	Bootstrap	Sim. Future	Standard	5%-ile Sim.	95%-ile Sim

Demonstration I

• Bootstrapping results <u>including</u> top right corner – Deviance residuals (10,000 iterations)

Accident	Modeled	Bootstrap	Sim. Future	Standard	5%-ile Sim.	95%-ile Sim
Period	Reserve	Projection	Development	Pred. Error	Outcome	Outcome
1	-			-		-
2	141,486	148,558	141,810	99,435	(142,027)	181,427
3	787,433	802,512	786,345	227,758	(332,132)	415,547
4	602,073	612,774	600,459	168,556	(252, 157)	302,197
5	1,271,343	1,290,547	1,271,004	266,900	(394,291)	476,089
6	1,901,963	1,926,750	1,906,391	343,783	(513,444)	607,984
7	2,802,963	2,834,990	2,804,315	448,446	(679,871)	795,858
8	4,341,037	4,384,089	4,338,730	639,559	(958,332)	1,144,621
9	5,149,209	5,209,231	5,145,549	844,468	(1,259,637)	1,509,566
10	5,253,745	5,354,869	5,249,988	1,444,013	(2,074,331)	2,567,191
Total	22,251,251	22,564,319	22,244,592	2,868,629	(4,054,094)	5,235,817

Demonstration II

• Data set Taylor & Ashe (1983) – Fitted Values

Demonstration II

- Difference to previous example: the two data points in column 6 excluded for demo I
- Minimum value for fitted values is 67,948
- Lower bound for deviance residuals is therefore -368.64 = (2*67,948)^{0.5} [derived in paper]
- Unscaled deviance residual of -530.16 for cell (3,6) is below this bound [equation 3.7 in paper]
- Unable to rescale residual

What do we learn?

- Limited scope of "distribution free" resampling
- Reconsider parametric bootstrapping
- Makes distributional assumptions
 Avoids inconsistencies with model
- Still captures correlations among parameter estimates that are difficult to calculate explicitly
- Further research into "robust" resampling schemes is required

Contact Information

- Spreadsheet with illustrative implementation of algorithms discussed in call papers is available from author at request
- thomas.hartl@us.pwc.com
- 617-530-7524

Selected References

- Anderson, D., et al., "A Practitioner's Guide to Generalized Linear Models—A CAS Study Note"
- Davison, A.C, and D.V. Hinkley, "Bootstrap Methods and Their Application"
- England, P.D., and R.J. Verrall, "Predictive Distributions of Outstanding Liabilities in General Insurance"
- McCullagh, P., and J.A. Nelder, "Generalized Linear Models"
- Pinheiro, Paulo J R, et al., "Bootstrap Methodology in Claim Reserving"
- PLEASE REFER TO FULL BIBLIOGRAPHIES IN CALL PAPERS