#### **Asbestos: The Next Wave?**

Thomas Toce, FCAS 15 September 2014



lπē

► The next wave of asbestos is likely to be....

#### Mesothelioma

... the same as the last couple of waves



But first.... The poor track record of estimating asbestos



Manville Trust – Asbestos Claims filing

Perhaps unfair...

Let's take a look at epidemiological studies



Stallard and Nicholson imply that decay in 2014 should be 4% (0.96) with a constant second order decay of 0.9972



Not per CDC 

Not for some later-tiered defendants seeing upward trends





## **Consider Exposure & Demographic Factors**



# **Consider Population Occupation**

Percentage of the US Population employed in occupation exposed to Asbestos



#### **Consider Exposure Factors**



# **Modeling Assumptions**

- Asbestos consumption
- OSHA measures
- Population occupation

| Year of<br>birth | Exposure Factors |      |      |  |
|------------------|------------------|------|------|--|
|                  | Low              | Base | High |  |
| 1900             | 0.20             | 0.20 | 0.20 |  |
| 1910             | 0.50             | 0.50 | 0.50 |  |
| 1920             | 0.80             | 0.80 | 0.80 |  |
| 1930             | 1.00             | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| 1940             | 0.75             | 0.80 | 0.90 |  |
| 1950             | 0.50             | 0.60 | 0.75 |  |
| 1960             | 0.25             | 0.30 | 0.50 |  |
| 1970             | 0.05             | 0.10 | 0.20 |  |
| 1980             | 0.01             | 0.05 | 0.10 |  |
| 1990             | 0.00             | 0.01 | 0.05 |  |

#### So... what's the answer? Demographic Model results



# Year of birth of current deaths

Because age distribution has a 10 year standard deviation we can't observe decline yet



| Age   | Number of deaths in 2010 |  |
|-------|--------------------------|--|
| <25   | 0                        |  |
| 2534  | 11                       |  |
| 3544  | 26                       |  |
| 4554  | 104                      |  |
| 5564  | 345                      |  |
| 6574  | 805                      |  |
| 7584  | 1042                     |  |
| ≥85   | 412                      |  |
| Total | 2745                     |  |

- Difficulty to parameterize and test the models:
  - Current deaths born in average in 1936
  - Only 5% of current deaths born after 1952

#### So... what's the answer? Range

- High uncertainty leads to wide range of answers
- Demographic models results:

|                              | Low    | Mid    | High   |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Future number of meso deaths | 43,000 | 60,000 | 77,000 |

Maybe the best way to look at it is through probability distribution:



# Conclusion

- Demographic factors may explain part of the surge in mesothelioma claims filing
- Other factors include:
  - More later-tiered defendants brought into litigation
  - Longevity of asbestos-containing products
- Final liability also depends on:
  - Closed With Indemnity Payment ratios ("CWIP")
  - Severity
- Defendants expect a decrease in CWIP ratio
- Impact of Garlock decision

