

Introduction

**Instructional Approach to
Mack and ODP Bootstrap
Models**

**Panelists: Mr. Mark Shapland
Mr. Michael Henk**

Milliman Casualty Loss Reserve Seminar
Anaheim, CA
September 6-7, 2018 CAS

Overview

- Introductions
- Notation
- Ranges vs. Distributions
- Mack Model
- ODP Bootstrap Model

Milliman Page 1.2 CAS

Logistics Overview

- Facilities
- Time / Breaks
- Language, Terminology & Currency
- Your view blocked? / Can't See?
- Feedback forms
- Don't Skip Ahead
- Have Fun / Ask Questions
- Examples NOT Commercial Software

Milliman Page 1.3 CAS

Introduction

Notation

- w – denotes an Accident (or Policy) period (“when”).
 - d – denotes a development age (“delay”).
 - k – denotes a diagonal or constant $w + d$.
 - $c(w,d)$ – denotes cumulative losses for accident (or policy) period w , and development age d .
 - $c(w,n)$ – denotes ultimate losses for accident (or policy) period w [also denoted as $U(w)$].
 - $R(w,d)$ – denotes future development for accident (or policy) period w , and development age d .
- Note: $R(w,d) = U(w) - c(w,d)$



Page 1.4



Notation

- $q(w,d)$ – denotes incremental losses for accident (or policy) period w , and development age d .
- $f(d)$ – denotes the factor applied to $c(w,d)$ to estimate $q(w,d+1)$.
- $F(d)$ – denotes the factor applied to $c(w,d)$ to estimate $c(w,d+1)$. For example, the d to $d+1$ age-to-age factor.

[Note: WP Report uses $c(w,n)$]



Page 1.5



Notation

- $G(w)$ – denotes a factor relating to accident (or policy) year w .
- $h(w+d)$ – denotes a factor relating to diagonal k (or calendar year) in which $w+d$ is constant.
- $e(w,d)$ – denotes the mean of zero random fluctuation which occurs in cell w, d .





Page 1.6



Introduction

Notation

- $E(x)$ – denotes the expectation of the random variable x . (Also μ_x)
- $Var(x)$ – denotes the variance of the random variable x . (Also σ_x^2 or $Sigma_x^2$)
- W – Weight
- N – Total number of Accident (Policy) periods
- n – Total number of Development periods
- \hat{x} – Estimate of x .

Page 1.7

Notation Example

Cumulative Losses

AY	0	1	2
1981	5,012	8,269	10,907
1982	106	4,285	
1983	3,410		



Can be Year (i.e., 1981) or Index (i.e., 1)

w

Notation

AY	0	1	2
1981	$c(1981,0)$	$c(1981,1)$	$c(1981,2)$
1982	$c(1982,0)$	$c(1982,1)$	
1983	$c(1983,0)$		

d

Page 1.8

Notation Example



Incremental Losses

AY	0	1	2
1981	5,012	3,257	2,638
1982	106	4,179	
1983	3,410		

Calendar Effect denoted $h(1982+1)$ or $h(1983)$ or $h(3)$

Notation

AY	0	1	2
1981	$q(1981,0)$	$q(1981,1)$	$q(1981,2)$
1982	$q(1982,0)$	$q(1982,1)$	
1983	$q(1983,0)$		

Page 1.9

Introduction

Notation Example

Age-to-Age Factors

AY	1	2
1981	1.650	1.319
1982	40.425	
Mean	21.037	1.319

Notation

AY	1	2
1981	$F(1981,1)$	$F(1981,2)$
1982	$F(1982,1)$	
Mean	$F(1)$	$F(2)$



Page I.10



Ranges vs. Distributions

- A **Range** is not the same as a **Distribution**
- A *Range of Reasonable Estimates* is a range of estimates that could be produced by appropriate actuarial methods or alternative sets of assumptions that the actuary judges to be reasonable.
- A *Distribution* is a statistical function that attempts to quantify probabilities of all possible outcomes.



Page I.11



Ranges vs. Distributions

- A **Range** is generally considered to be either a subset of "*central estimates*" or a subset of the "*possible outcomes*".
- For a "*central estimate*" the incremental values will essentially have the random movements "*averaged*" or "*smoothed*" out.
- A "*possible outcome*" will generally include random movements in the incremental values (e.g., calendar period payments within each accident period).



Page I.12



Introduction

Ranges vs. Distributions

Range of Reasonable Estimates

Range of Possible Estimates

"Best" Estimate

Milliman CAS

Page I.13

Ranges vs. Distributions

Distribution of Statistical Outcomes

"Best" Estimate

Milliman CAS

Page I.14

Ranges vs. Distributions

Distributions of Possible Outcomes

Estimated Unpaid Claims

With multiple models:
You can evaluate the relative strengths of each model!

Milliman CAS

Page I.15

Introduction

Ranges vs. Distributions

"Best Estimate" of a Distribution of Possible Outcomes

Range of Mean Estimates

"Best Estimate" of the Mean

Estimated Unpaid Claims

With multiple models:
You can use credibility weights to get your "best estimate"!

Milliman CAS

Page I.16

Ranges vs. Distributions

"Best Estimate" of a Distribution of Possible Outcomes

Confidence Interval

"Best Estimate" of the Mean

Estimated Unpaid Claims

With multiple models:
You can calculate confidence intervals.

Milliman CAS

Page I.17

Basic Models


- And Now for Something Completely Different...
- Mack Model
- ODP Bootstrap Model



Milliman CAS

Page I.18

Introduction

Final Questions?





Page I.19

Suggested Bibliography

- [13] [England, Peter D. and Richard J. Verrall. 1999. *Analytic and Bootstrap Estimates of Prediction Errors in Claims Reserving*. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 25, no. 3: 281-293.](#)
- [14] [England, Peter D. and Richard J. Verrall. 2001. *A Flexible Framework for Stochastic Claims Reserving*. *PCAS LXXXVIII*: 1-38.](#)
- [17] *Foundations of Casualty Actuarial Science*, 4th ed. 2001. Arlington, Va.: Casualty Actuarial Society.
- [26] [Mack, Thomas. 1993. *Distribution-Free Calculation of the Standard Error of Chain Ladder Reserve Estimates*. *ASTIN Bulletin* 23, no. 2: 213-25.](#)
- [27] [Mack, Thomas. 1994. *Measuring the Variability of Chain Ladder Reserve Estimates*. *CAS Forum* 1 \(Spring\): 101-82.](#)
- [41] [Shapland, Mark R. 2016. *Using the ODP Bootstrap Model: A Practitioner's Guide*. *CAS Monograph* No. 4.](#)

Page I.20
