2011 Special Interest Seminar

Homeowners Multivariate Trend Analysis – An Example

Hernan L. Medina, CPCU Insurance Services Office, Inc. A Verisk Analytics Company

Antitrust Notice

- The Casualty Actuarial Society is committed to adhering strictly to the letter and spirit of the antitrust laws. Seminars conducted under the auspices of the CAS are designed solely to provide a forum for the expression of various points of view on topics described in the programs or agendas for such meetings.
- Under no circumstances shall CAS seminars be used as a means for competing companies or firms to reach any understanding – expressed or implied – that restricts competition or in any way impairs the ability of members to exercise independent business judgment regarding matters affecting competition.
- It is the responsibility of all seminar participants to be aware of antitrust regulations, to prevent any written or verbal discussions that appear to violate these laws, and to adhere in every respect to the CAS antitrust compliance policy.

Objective and Outline

Objective

 To illustrate how multivariate trend analysis can lead to questions of interest in ratemaking and product management

Outline

- Overview of a commonly used homeowners loss trend procedure
- Multivariate loss trend analysis example s

Commonly Used Loss Trend Procedure

- Homeowners loss trend analysis usually includes consideration of:
 - economic data
 - construction cost and consumer price indices
 - Insurance data
 - state or regional pure premium trend
 - changes in the company's environment
 - product design, underwriting guidelines, claims practices

Pure Premium Trend Estimates

- Based on univariate regression
 - $Ln(P) = a + b \times t + \epsilon$ $P = e^{a} (e^{b})^{t} e^{\epsilon}$
- pure premium
 - excludes wind and hail
 - based on 4-quarter rolling averages
- range of estimates obtained by including different numbers of points

Pure Premium* Trend Univariate Analysis Example

Year	Pure	Year	Pure		
Ended	Premium	Ended	Premium		
200J.Q1	267.68	200L.Q3	251.01		
200J.Q2	252.85	200L.Q4	253.87		
200J.Q3	230.07	200M.Q1	263.10	20 pt	9.8%
200J.Q4	224.31	200M.Q2	275.09	16 Pt	14.1%
200K.Q1	223.97	200M.Q3	272.43	12 Pt	19.3%
200K.Q2	240.49	200M.Q4	285.76	8 Pt	29.5%
200K.Q3	255.66	200N.Q1	352.16	6 Pt	35.5%
200K.Q4	256.50	200N.Q2	366.91	4 Pt	14.0%
200L.Q1	262.88	200N.Q3	378.53		
200L.Q2	248.02	200N.Q4	388.63		

Does the Trend Vary by Peril?



7

Univariate Regression On Each Peril Separately

Combined

20 pt	9.8%
16 Pt	14.1%
12 Pt	19.3%
8 Pt	29.5%
6 Pt	35.5%
4 Pt	14.0%

		Fire and			Other	
Data	Points	Lightning	Water	Theft	Property*	Liability
Annual Trend	100 pt	6.9%	8.6%	1.7%	28.4%	4.9%
	80 pt	7.9%	13.4%	6.6%	56.3%	0.7%
	48 pt	6.6%	25.6%	16.1%	86.7%	-0.7%
	40 pt	0.6%	60.2%	23.2%	220.2%	-6.9%
	30 pt	1.6%	73.2%	29.5%	242.4%	-4.2%
	20 pt	8.5%	21.8%	25.3%	15.4%	13.4%
R Squared	100 pt	0.73	0.32	0.04	0.38	0.40
	80 pt	0.75	0.40	0.36	0.65	0.02
	48 pt	0.59	0.58	0.90	0.67	0.01
	40 pt	0.02	0.88	0.93	<mark>0.86</mark>	0.41
	30 pt	0.08	0.84	0.96	0.76	0.10
	20 pt	0.67	0.79	0.90	0.78	0.70

Multivariate Regression Using Peril Pure Premium Information

Peril	Pure Premium	Ln Pure Premium	У	W	т	D	L
Fire and Lightning	169.05	5.13019	1.00	0	0	0	0
Water Damage	87.92	4.47643	1.00	1	0	0	0
Theft	14.02	2.64048	1.00	0	1	0	0
Other Direct Phys. Loss*	68.46	4.22625	1.00	0	0	1	0
Liability	12.71	2.54239	1.00	0	0	0	1
Fire and Lightning	167.01	5.11805	1.25	0	0	0	0
Water Damage	99.05	4.59562	1.25	1	0	0	0
Theft	15.70	2.75366	1.25	0	1	0	0
Other Direct Phys.							
Loss*	72.74	4.28689	1.25	0	0	1	0
Liability	12.40	2.51770	1.25	0	0	0	1

Multivariate Regression Using Peril* Pure Premium Information

$$\ln(P) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y + \alpha_2 W + \alpha_3 T + \alpha_4 D + \alpha_5 L + \alpha_6 y W + \alpha_7 y T + \alpha_8 y D + \alpha_9 y L + \varepsilon$$

P = pure premium for a peril at time t

y = time index corresponding to year ended quarter

- W = water damage indicator
- T = theft indicator
- D = other direct physical loss indicator
- L = liability indicator

* Perils other than Wind and Hail

Multivariate Stepwise Regression Using Peril Pure Premium Information

$\ln(P) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y + \alpha_2 W + \alpha_3 T + \alpha_4 D + \alpha_5 L$ $+ \alpha_6 y W + \alpha_7 y T + \alpha_8 y D + \alpha_9 y L + \varepsilon$

- Full model ←→ Regression on each peril
- Stepwise regression
 - Use test statistics to select model
 - Interactions terms that make it correspond to perils more likely to have significantly different trend

Known Stepwise Regression Issues And Trend Indications by Peril

Predictive Modeling

- Goal is to build a model that will perform well in other data sets
- Danger of letting method automatically select model without a priori hypotheses
- A parameter is more likely to be selected if it is above its expected value than if it is below its expected value

Trend Indications

- Goal is to obtain a range of estimates that will inform the actuary's judgment
- Actuary takes into account changes in company policy and external environment
- Parameters identify perils whose trend is potentially different from the overall trend

R Squared Versus	n	100	60	30				
Adjusted D Seusened	R							
Aujusteu K Squareu	Sq	0.90	0.90	0.90				
		Adj R	Adj R	Adj R				
	k	Sq	Sq	Sq				
	0	0.90	0.90	0.90				
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (v_i - \hat{v}_i)^2$	1	0.90	0.90	0.90				
$R^2 = 1 - \frac{2i=1(j_i - j_i)}{2}$	2	0.90	0.90	0.89				
$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (v_i - \bar{v}_j)^2$	3	0.90	0.89	0.89				
$\Delta_{l=1}$ (J_{l} J_{l})	4	0.90	0.89	0.88				
	5	0.89	0.89	0.88				
	6	0.89	0.89	0.87				
	7	0.89	0.89	0.87				
$(n-1)(1-R^2)$	8	0.89	0.88	0.86				
$adjR^{2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}$	9	0.89	0.88	0.86				
(n-k-1)	10	0.89	0.88	0.85				
k = number of independent variables								

Multivariate Stepwise Regression Using Peril Pure Premium Information

 $\ln(P) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 y + \alpha_2 W + \alpha_3 T + \alpha_4 D + \alpha_5 L$ $+ \alpha_6 y W + \alpha_7 y T + \alpha_8 y D + \alpha_9 y L + \varepsilon$

PROC GLMSELECT DATA=modeling_data; MODEL Ln_PurePremium = y W T D L y*W y*T y*D y*L / SELECTION=STEPWISE CHOOSE=ADJRSQ SELECT=ADJRSQ SHOWPVALUES; OUTPUT OUT=predicted_data PREDICTED=predicted_Ln_PurePremium; RUN;

Multivariate Stepwise Regression Using Peril Pure Premium Information

Stepwise Selection Summary							
Step	Effect Entered	Effect Removed	Number Effects In	Adjusted R-Square			
0	Intercept		1	0.0000			
1	L		2	0.2166			
2	т		3	0.5737			
3	D		4	0.8398			
4	W		5	0.9126			
5	y*D		6	0.9388			
6	У		7	0.9431			
7	y*T		8	0.9433*			

* Optimal Value Of Criterion

Multivariate Stepwise Regression Using Peril Pure Premium Information

Parameter Estimates							
Parameter	DF	Estimate	Error	t Value	Pr > t		
Intercept	1	5.023392	0.053846	93.29	<.0001		
У	1	0.065871	0.021538	3.06	0.0029		
W	1	-0.849798	0.076055	-11.17	<.0001		
Т	1	-2.441222	0.076245	-32.02	<.0001		
D	1	-1.857960	0.076245	-24.37	<.0001		
L	1	-2.473611	0.076055	-32.52	<.0001		
у*Т	1	-0.049202	0.043077	-1.14	0.2563		
y*D	1	0.184050	0.043077	4.27	<.0001		

Multivariate Stepwise Regression Using Peril Pure Premium Information

Ln(P) = 5.023 + 0.066y - 0.850W - 1.858D - 2.441T - 2.474L + 0.184yD - 0.049yT

Peril	Variables	Ln(P)	Trend
Fire and Lightning	W=T=D=L=0	5.023+0.066y	6.8%
Water	W=1, T=D=L=0	5.023-0.850+0.066y	6.8%
Theft	T=1, W=D=L=0	5.023-2.441+(0.066-0.049)y	1.7%
Other Direct Loss	D=1, W=T=L=0	5.023-1.858+(0.066+0.184)y	28.4%
Liability	L=1, W=T=D=0	5.023-2.474+0.066y	6.8%

Comparison of Regression Results

		Fire			Other		
		and			Property		Combined
Data	Points	Lightning	Water	Theft	Losses*	Liability	Univariate
Univariate	20 pt	6.9%	8.6%	1.7%	28.4%	4.9%	9.8%
	16 pt	7.9%	13.4%	6.6%	56.3%	0.7%	14.1%
	12 pt	6.6%	25.6%	16.1%	86.7%	-0.7%	19.3%
	08 pt	0.6%	60.2%	23.2%	220.2%	-6.9%	29.5%
	06 pt	1.6%	73.2%	29.5%	242.4%	-4.2%	35.5%
	04 pt	8.5%	21.8%	25.3%	15.4%	13.4%	14.0%
Multivariate	20 pt×5	6.8%	<mark>6.8%</mark>	1.7%	28.4%	6.8%	9.8%
	16 pt×5	<mark>7.2%</mark>	13.4%	7.2%	56.3%	0.7%	14.1%
	12 pt×5	0.0%	25.6%	16.1%	86.7%	0.0%	19.3%
	08 pt×5	0.0%	60.2%	23.2%	220.2%	0.0%	29.5%
	06 pt×5	0.0%	73.2%	29.5%	242.4%	0.0%	35.5%
	04 pt×5	12.4%	21.8%	25.3%	12.4%	12.4%	14.0%

Conclusion

- Multivariate tend analysis can lead to questions of interest in:
 - Ratemaking
 - How much weight should be given to
 - □ perils that have a significant marginal trend?
 - □ perils that are grouped in combined trend?
 - Has the company taken actions regarding any perils?
 - Product management
 - Is it necessary to update underwriting guidelines?
 - Is a change in product design necessary?

References

- SAS Institute Inc. 2008. SAS/STAT® 9.2 User's Guide. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- Werner, Geoff, and Claudine Modlin, <u>Basic Ratemaking</u>, Version 4, October 2010, Casualty Actuarial Society
- Dickmann, Kurt S., and James R. Merz, Considerations in Estimating Loss Cost Trends, 2001 Winter Forum, Casualty Actuarial Society
- Hoffmann, John P., Generalized Linear Models: an applied approach, 2004, Pearson Education, Inc.
- Beal, Dennis J., Information Criteria Methods in SAS® for Multiple Linear Regression Models, SESUG Proceedings, 2007

http://analytics.ncsu.edu/sesug/2007/SA05.pdf