PL-3 GLM Practical Applications 2006 CAS Seminar on Ratemaking Claudine Modlin, FCAS Watson Wyatt Worldwide



WWW.WATSONWYATT.COM



Famous modeling quotes

- "Prediction is very difficult, especially if it's about the future."
 - Nils Bohr, Nobel laureate in Physics
- "I have seen the future and it is very much like the present, only longer."
 - Kehlog Albran, The Profit
- "A good forecaster is not smarter than everyone else, he merely has his ignorance better organized."
 - Anonymous



If I knew then what I know now....

- Define application; link initiative to success
- Prepare the culture
- Know thy data
- Fit models and interpret
- Translate models to decisions





If I knew then what I know now....

- Define application; link to success
- Prepare the culture
- Know thy data
- Fit models and interpret
- Translate models to decisions





- Ratemaking
- Underwriting
- Marketing
- Retention
- Expense analysis
- Claims management
- Other risk management
- Reserving



- Ratemaking
 - revise existing rating factor relativities with multivariate analysis
 - introduce new rating variables or tier structure
 - re-define territorial boundaries or vehicle classification
 - define rating plan that optimizes profit while retaining required volume



- Underwriting
 - provide guidelines on debits/credits
 - produce scorecards to automate some elements of risk selection
- Marketing
 - improve direct mail conversion rate for most profitable risks by X%



Retention

- understand effect of capping rate changes at renewal
- develop lifetime customer value model
- Expense analysis
 - vary acquisition costs by other criteria
- Claims management
 - develop fraud scorecard
 - advise how TPAs affect claim costs



- Other risk management
 - determine which risks to cede
- Sales channel
 - align compensation with expected profitability
- Reserving
 - provide additional method to assist reserving actuaries with ultimate projections



If I knew then what I know now....

- Define application; link to success
- Prepare the culture
- Know thy data
- Fit models and interpret
- Translate models to decisions





Prepare the culture

Discuss early on with other functional areas

- pricing, underwriting
- product development
- IT
- sales/dist channel
- marketing
- legal
- statistical reporting
- Engage in effective communication





Prepare the culture

- Determine what's in scope to change and the effect on operations
 - change to existing product or develop new product?
 - acceptance of new variables esp external
 - tolerance for differences (to current rates or to competitors)
 - in consideration of timelines and operational costs (eg IT)
- Plan to share interim results



Prepare the culture

- Determine how staffing needs to change
 skills
 - organizational structure
- Assess hardware/software needs (incl backup)
- Plan new procedures for checking, peer reviewing and documenting
- Enlist support from upper management





If I knew then what I know now....

- Define application; link to success
- Prepare the culture
- Know thy data
- Fit models and interpret
- Translate models to decisions





Know thy data

- Data preparation
 - to be covered in detail in Rick's presentation
 - see my notes in appendix to this presentation
- Preliminary analysis



Preliminary analyses

- Size of loss distribution
- One-way results
- Correlation statistics
- Two-way results



Claim type 1 - Third party property damage Vehicle type (Type)

	Demonstration job Claimtype 2 - Tiled pany meterial dumige - Where tigmt-0 and Norm-0	Level	Number of records	Exposure	Premium	Number of claims	Incurred losses	Claim frequency	Average cost per claim	Pure premium	Loss ratio
2500		A	27,661	24,757	10,584,626	1,807	8,457,208	7.3%	4,681	342	79.9%
		В	22,089	19,777	9,623,698	1,598	6,957,135	8.1%	4,354	352	72.3%
2000 -		С	13,768	12,334	6,305,906	1,011	4,245,902	8.2%	4,200	344	67.3%
		D	19,662	17,592	9,382,767	1,584	6,070,943	9.0%	3,832	345	64.7%
g 1500 -		E	11,235	10,076	5,676,363	982	3,262,384	9.7%	3,321	324	57.5%
ber of c		F	5,607	5,037	3,118,064	550	1,858,753	10.9%	3,379	369	59.6%
전 1000 -			100,022	89,572	44,691,424	7,532	30,852,324	8.4%	4,096	344.44	69.0%
500 - 0 - 0 -	- 20 - 40 - 80 - 100 120 140 170 90 20 20 20 240 20 20 320 30 320 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 40 30 30 40 30 30 40 30 30 40 30 30 30 40 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	400 420 440 1100 4300 4500								V	

Copyright © Watson Wyatt Worldwide. All rights reserved.

Preparing variables

- Categorical most common in insurance
 - sufficient volume needed for model convergence
 - consider several alternative categorizations
- Splines may be useful for continuous risk





If I knew then what I know now....

- Define application; link to success
- Prepare the culture
- Know thy data
- Fit models and interpret
- Translate models to decisions



Non-convergence problems

- Caused by categorization
 - insufficient volume in categorized levels
 - near perfect correlation between variables
- Working with large # of highly correlated factors
 - apply principal components analysis first
 - test families of factors one at a time to find most predictive member (eg # of late pays in 60 days may be most predictive of "late pay" family)



Censoring large losses

- Sensitivity test
 - super-impose graphical results of same severity model with various large loss thresholds
 - identify where relativities may become volatile
- Consider modeling separately the propensity to have a large claim (must have lots of large claims)





Model iteration





Counter-intuitive effects

- Customer behavior in selection (eg deductible, limits)
- Discounts (airbags, fire alarm, defensive driver)
- Traditional effect changes when new variables added (eg territory when add geodemographics)
- Significant effect on a claim type that seems unrelated



Treatment of counter-intuitive effects

• Live with it

- Investigate with and without factor
- Restrict (offset) model and allow other correlated factors to compensate
- Make judgmental selections



Missing values

- Address before modeling
 - try to populate (eg can class code identify gender?)
 - remove if negligible



- limit data to alleviate problem
- re-categorize if near perfect correlation will cause convergence problems
- Model with and without factor to understand possible distortion on other correlated factors



Interactions

- Factor effect that varies according to the levels of another factor (eg gender differential varies by age)
- Worth investigating but in practice not always obvious

Run 5 Model 3 - Small interaction - Third party material damage, Numbers

 Consider volume per cell and re-categorize accordingly





Interactions

Group	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Factor	0.54	0.65	0.73	0.85	0.92	0.96	1.00	1.08	1.19	1.26	1.36	1.43	1.56





Scoring in ratemaking

- Derive product of several (multiplicative) factors
- Remove log link function and transform to score range such as (0, 100), thereby preserving risk order
- Categorize this score and re-introduce to GLM



Profitability scoring

- Construct profitability score based on expected loss ratio
 - risk premium model offset by current premiums



- banded into discrete bands if desired
- Profitability score can be used to target sections of a portfolio





If I knew then what I know now....

- Define application; link to success
- Prepare the culture
- Know thy data
- Fit models and interpret
- Translate models to decisions





Translate models to decisions

- Validate model
- Compare to current rates
- Consider competitor rates and profitability
- Consider retention/conversion and price optimization





Compare to current rates (by factor)

Demonstration job

Run 10 Model 2 - Third party material, standard risk premium run - Unsmoothed standard risk premium model



Compare to current rates (by factor)

Demonstration job

Run 10 Model 2 - Third party material, standard risk premium run - Unsmoothed standard risk premium model



Compare to current rates (by factor)

- Difficult if factors (or categorization of factors) differ greatly between current and theoretical model
- Difficult if current rates and theoretical model are not both multiplicative
 - can fit proxy model to non-multiplicative piece
 - can fit separate "sub-models", offset each subsequent model by previous model results and iterate











Consider the competitive position

Example of competitor analysis

Third party cover





Comparing model results with existing rates and the market

Our premium vs market

Below Above







Theoretically desired change in premium

Comparing model results with existing rates and the market

Our premium vs market Below Above





Copyright © Watson Wyatt Worldwide. All rights reserved.

Theoretically desired change in premium



PL-3 GLM Practical Applications

Appendix on data preparation for ratemaking

WWW.WATSONWYATT.COM



Link policy/claims information

- Identify sources of data (may be various formats)
- Develop plan to link/merge
- Consider volume of data



- aim for at least 50K earned exposures (depends on # of variables to be analyzed)
- combine multiple years, states
- Identify claim types to be modeled (sufficient claims volume in each?)



Define record

- Record: a risk for a policy period or portion of policy period for which risk has not changed
 - risk: item, policy, account?
 - method of organization (calendar accident yr, policy yr)
 - treatment of mid-term changes and cancellations
 - treatment of multiple claims for an exposure period



Gather statistics by claim type

- Key statistics
 - earned exposure
 - incurred claim count
 - incurred losses at most recent reserve estimate (with lag for IBNR)
- Preferred: premium @ current rate level
- Other considerations: ALAE? developed losses? how riders are considered in both premium and loss?



Gather explanatory variables

- Characteristics at time risk was exposed, categorized according to today's definitions
- Dummy variables (eg time, geography)
- Considerations
 - consistent coding
 - integrity in data collection
 - sufficient claims volume





Calendar-accident or Policy year?

Policy #	Start	End	Pol year	Gender	Age	
16853165	01/21/03	01/20/04	2003	М	45	
16853166	06/15/03	06/14/04	2003	F	37	
16853167	11/19/03	11/18/04	2003	F	24	

Policy #	Start	End	Pol year	Cal year	Gender	Age	
16853165	01/21/03	12/31/03	2003	2003	М	45	
16853165	01/01/04	01/20/04	2003	2004	М	45	
16853166	06/15/03	12/31/03	2003	2003	F	37	
16853166	01/01/04	06/14/04	2003	2004	F	37	
16853167	11/19/03	12/31/03	2003	2003	F	24	
16853167	01/01/04	11/18/04	2003	2004	F	24	



Copyright © Watson Wyatt Worldwide. All rights reserved.

Other considerations

- Changes during experience period (u/w, legal)
- Splitting records into separate datasets
 - randomly for model validation
 - for modeling separately (eg owners/renters)





Data cleaning

- Missing values
- Negative or zero statistics
- Illogical combinations
 - claim count with no loss
 - loss with no claim count
 - claim count with no exposure





PL-3 GLM Practical Applications 2006 CAS Seminar on Ratemaking Claudine Modlin, FCAS Watson Wyatt Worldwide



WWW.WATSONWYATT.COM

