



















Traditional Views...

- A future rate is based on the output from a catastrophe model run on an insurer's current exposures adjusted for trend, plus some flat profit load discounted for investment income.
- Marginal Cost = Average Cost.
- Every similar risk written by an insurer should receive the same price.
- Prices should be adjusted periodically and based on filed rate tables calculated using formula based actuarial methodologies.



Description of the second standard actuarial techniques. Initial price reflects assumptions about the market concentration of risk and the insurer's anticipated portfolio. Initial insureds pay less than average price, as insurer has "excess" capacity. Once insurer's capacity is "full", insurer can only accept more risks at a higher price. Eventually, market will reach an equilibrium.







Risk Load Alternative

- Allow insurers to file for a "profit factor" for hurricane based on the standard deviation of their <u>net</u> losses times a scaling factor (k) that could be based on their actual reinsurance.
- Similar to method used by some reinsurers.
- System would self-correct for level of reinsurance.
 - More reinsurance, lower μ and σ , lower load.
 - Less reinsurance, higher μ and σ , higher load.
 - Fully reinsured would equal current load.



<list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>







Conclusions

- Traditional actuarial primary ratemaking practices and rate regulation paradigms are fundamentally at odds with economic reality in catastrophe prone lines.
- The market has developed a system of rationing to respond to these constraints.
- There are opportunities for regulators to lower prices and increase availability by modernizing how risk loads are reflected in rates.

Watch the inflation problem!



G'Day, Friends





REI-2: Incorporating Reinsurance Costs and Risk Loads into Personal Lines Rates

Rade T. Musulin Vice President – Operations, Reinsurance, & Public Affairs Florida Farm Bureau Insurance Companies

> CAS Ratemaking Seminar Salt Lake City, March 13, 2006





Hurricane Pam Exercise Concludes

Release Date: July 23, 2004 Release Number: R5-04-093

BATON ROUCE, La. – Hemricane Pam brought sustained winds of 120 mph, up to 20 inches of nain in parts of southeast Louis and storm surger that topped tweets in the New Orkens area. More than one million residents evacuated and Hurricane Pam destroyed 500,000-600,000 buildings. Emergency officials from 50 pairs, state, tederal and volumeter organizations faced this scenario sturing a New-day exercise their this state. Emergency Operations Center in Bulan rouge.

The exercise used realistic weather and damage information developed by the National Weather Service, the U.S. Army Corps Engineers, the LSU Hwircance Cherter and other state and federal agencies to help officials develop joint response plans for a catastrophic hurricane in Louisiana.

we make great progress into week in our preparedness entors, saio roor calentain, r cliver regional unecod. Unaliant respo learns developed action plans in circulal areas such as seacht and result, medical care, shellering, temporary housing, school restoration and debris management. These plans are essential for quick response to a humcane but will also help in other emergencies."

"Hurricane planning in Louisiana will continue," said Colonel Michael L. Brown, Deputy Director for Emergency Preparednes Louisiana Office of Homeland Security and Emergency Preparedness. "Over the next 60 days, we will polish the action plan seveloped during the Hurricane Pam exercise. We have also determined where to focus our efforts in the future."



The Post Katrina World

- Significant "improvements" in models.
- Confused situation with demand surge and flood/wind disputes.
- Reinsurance: Upward demand pressure and downward supply pressure.
- Primary company and public capital depleted.
- Significant cost volatility for capital.
- Rating agencies exacerbating problems.

Questions to Consider

- Is it possible to <u>calculate</u> a rate that will "clear" the market and assure that all risks desiring coverage can obtain it?
- If the cost of capital plays a large role in the price of hurricane insurance and if the price associated with that capital is set through a market process, rather than an actuarial calculation, can such prices be regulated effectively at all?

Current Modeling Problems

- Addressing the lessons of 2004/2005:
 - Multi-event demand surge.
 - House price inflation (bubble).
 - Tree damage.
 - Flood vs. wind.
- · How to incorporate cycle?
- Whether to incorporate climate change?
- Can model output be used to develop "indicated" risk loads to regulators?

What Does a Risk Load Do?

- Reflects the needed return on the level of capital required to support the risk assumed.
- Compensates the insurer for variance in results.
- Increases the price of the insurance product such that the supply of and demand for capital are in balance.
- Compensates for the economic inefficiency of risk concentration.

The Problem

- The risk may have change as the insurer's portfolio changes.
- Rates should account for:
 - Market concentration (cost of reinsurance).
 - Insurer concentration (capital needed).
 - Insurer risk tolerance (risk of ruin).
 - Expected loss cost (modeled losses).
 - Expense (financial data).
- Prices for "identical" risks will differ!

Concentration Costs \$\$\$

- Concentration is inefficient as to the cost of rebuilding after catastrophes.
- Markets will tend to drive up insurance prices in areas of concentration due to economic inefficiency.
- Additional growth in concentrated areas increases PML; growth in non-concentrated areas does not. The marginal cost of an additional house to the system differs due to more than loss costs!

Traditional Views...

- A future rate is based on the output from a catastrophe model run on an insurer's current exposures adjusted for trend, plus some flat profit load discounted for investment income.
- Marginal Cost = Average Cost.
- Every similar risk written by an insurer should receive the same price.
- Prices should be adjusted periodically and based on filed rate tables calculated using formula based actuarial methodologies.

But In Cat Prone Lines...

- A future rate should be based on the insurer's future distribution of risks.
- Marginal Cost ≠ Average Cost.
- Risks should be charged based on their marginal cost of capital, <u>which will differ for</u> <u>every risk based on when they enter the</u> <u>portfolio</u>. Similar risks may pay different prices.
- Rates should be adjusted continuously, based on actuarially indicated rates adjusted for capacity charges.

In An Unregulated World...

- Base price based on "standard" actuarial techniques.
- Initial price reflects assumptions about the market concentration of risk and the insurer's anticipated portfolio.
- Initial insureds pay less than average price, as insurer has "excess" capacity.
- Once insurer's capacity is "full", insurer can only accept more risks at a higher price.
- Eventually, market will reach an equilibrium.

Regulation

- Rate regulated rates tend to be:
 - Uniform for similar risks.
 - Set over the period of the rate filing.
 - Formula, rather than auction, driven.
 - Difficult to change.
- Reinsurance costs are sometimes allowed, but are out of sync with approved rates.
- Generally, regulators lack clear standards for addressing needed risk load on internal capital.

Regulated Insurer Behavior

- Filed rates reflect past levels of loss exposure.
- Overall growth must be slow.
- Overuse of reinsurance; underuse of internal capital.
- Since price is fixed, quantity is the variable that can be adjusted. Strict concentration controls are necessary to fit within pricing constraints.

Bond Market Analogy

- "Junk" bonds pay higher yields because they represent a greater risk of default.
- Suppose a regulator forced all bonds to yield the "T-Bill" rate.
 - No one would buy high risk bonds.
 - Regulator might form a "residual bond fund" that would buy bonds unable to secure coverage in the voluntary market and assess (tax) holders of T-Bills to cover deficits.
 - Risky behavior would be encouraged.

Risk Load Alternative

- Allow insurers to file for a "profit factor" for hurricane based on the standard deviation of their <u>net</u> losses times a scaling factor (k) that could be based on their actual reinsurance.
- Similar to method used by some reinsurers.
- System would self-correct for level of reinsurance.
 - More reinsurance, lower μ and σ , lower load.
 - Less reinsurance, higher μ and $\sigma,$ higher load.
 - Fully reinsured would equal current load.

Calculating the Rate

- Run 10,000 year storm set.
 - Calculate reinsurance recoveries for each event.
 Calculate net loss after reinsurance for each event.
 - Calculate μ and σ for net and ceded losses.
- Adjust for differences in layers between retained and ceded. • Compare σ of ceded losses to reinsurance
- cost in excess of μ for the same ceded losses, est. k, then apply a tempering factor for k'.
- Hurricane rate = $(\mu + k'\sigma)_{retained}$ + expense + cost of reinsurance.
- Same dataset could be used to allocate risk adjusted rates to territory.

Advantages

- Provides regulators with a tool to test insurer risk loads:
 - Accounts for reinsurance and FHCF.
 Is mechanical, as is discounting for investment income.
 - Can be audited.
- One parameter needs to be estimated, (k); could be adjusted to k' to yield a proportion of the market risk load for internal capital.
- Provides a way to test for a "reasonable" profit factor for internally generated capital.
- Provides an incentive for insurers to expose capital.

Limitations

- Standard deviation is not "state of the art".
- k has to be estimated, then tempered to determine the correct relationship for internal vs. reinsurer capital.
- Calculations must be done by layer.
- Does not address the MC \neq AC issue.
- Risk load still must be allocated to classification, territory, etc.
- Does not address the problem of supply and demand effects on needed price.

A Comment on Inflation

- We have had a very favorable situation: – Rapidly rising home prices.
 - Moderate Materials inflation.
 - High premium trend, low loss trend.
- What is likely to happen:
 - Economy is entering an inflationary cycle.
 - House prices are stagnant (bubble???).
 - Trends flip, but do actuaries react properly?
 - Traditional trending annoyed by inflection.

What We Face

- \$80 a barrel insurance capital and regulated rates at the pump at \$1.49⁹.
- Significant model changes on the horizon.
- Instability and volatility.
- Lack of consensus on appropriate methods for regulation of risk load.
- Lurking inflation.
- Exploding demand for and limited supply of capital.

Conclusions

- Traditional actuarial primary ratemaking practices and rate regulation paradigms are fundamentally at odds with economic reality in catastrophe prone lines.
- The market has developed a system of rationing to respond to these constraints.
- There are opportunities for regulators to lower prices and increase availability by modernizing how risk loads are reflected in rates.
- Watch the inflation problem!

sted on Thu, Feb. 02, 2006

Homeowners decry Citizens rate hike

South Florida homeowners gave officials from Citizens Properly Insurance an earful, wherearity volcing their concerns about its rate hike that could double their insurance rates.

The state-run insurer of last resort is requesting a premium increase averaging 44.9 percent statewide. It's the second part of a 60 B percent statewide rate hike, but in South Florids more rates could go higher. The higher rates will reflect the first time the insurer attempting to set its rates based on expected losses and risk.

However, Sowe Burges, me store in instance consumer advocate, save at the public peaking in Marini Workenskip that preliminary network of Ottobers's the request shows insure doean't need the second part of the increase. The first installment, a 15.5 perc wareage stateware hits: should be sufficient to bring rates to where should be based o expected losses and costs, he said.

"Citizens should be transparent and accountable for its financial and ethical perform and that includes the rates that it charges," said Florida's CFO Tom Gallagher in a stitlement.

cott Stahmer, who lives in the Imperial Point section of Fort Lauderdale, said his home surance costs would likely double if Citizens' second rate increase is approved.

It's basically very upsetting to be living beyond our means through no fault of our own."



of Citizens Property Insurance at a hearing at the Manuel Artime Theater at 900 SW First St, in Maini on Wednesday. The state insurer of last resort is proposing a massive rate increase.

MORE NEWS FROM TOPIX.NET



Speaker Contact Information

Rade T. Musulin Vice President – Operations, Public Affairs, & Reinsurance

Florida Farm Bureau Insurance Companies P.O. Box 147030 Gainesville, Florida 32614-7030

Phone (352) 374-1539 Fax (352) 374-1514 E-mail: rmusulin@sfbcic.com Web Site: http://www.ffbic.com/actuary/