# Common Pitfalls and Practical Considerations in Risk Transfer Analysis

Call paper by
Derek Freihaut, FCAS, MAAA
Paul Vendetti, FCAS, MAAA

CAS 2009 Seminar on Reinsurance

Paul A. Vendetti, FCAS, MAAA May 18, 2009



# Risk Transfer

- Principle based
- No bright-line indicator
  - □ 10/10 Rule
  - ERD at 1.0%
- It is an accounting decision
  - CEO and CFO attest to the risk transfer in contracts



# Key Risk Transfer Documents

#### FASB 113/SSAP 62

- Define risk transfer:
  - Reinsurer assumes significant <u>insurance</u> risk.
  - Reasonably possible that the reinsurer may realize a significant loss.
- Exempt for FASB 113 and SSAP 62 are treaties that assume <u>substantially</u> all of the insurance risk related to the underlying insurance contracts.

#### Reinsurance Attestation (Statutory Requirement)

- CEO and CFO attestation that reinsurance treaties have been accounted for corrected,
  - No separate written or oral side agreements,
  - Documentation for every contract exists for which risk transfer is not <u>reasonably</u> <u>self-evident</u> that details the economic intent and that documentation evidencing risk transfer is available for review.
  - Reporting entity complies with SSAP 62
  - Appropriate controls are in place to monitor the use of reinsurance.



- Profit Commissions
- Reinsurers Expense
- Interest Rates and Discount Factors
- Premiums
- Evaluation Date
- Commutations and Timing Payments



#### Profit Commissions

- True profit commission does not affect risk transfer.
  - Profit commission is paid if and only if the reinsurer is in a profit position.
  - Note: profit commission impacts potential profitability of the contract but not risk transfer.
  - This is not a swing rate.
- Important to understand how experience based cash flows are triggered and when they are due.
  - Carryforwards on multi-year contracts.



### Reinsurer Expenses

- SSAP 62 states:
  - "The evaluation is based on the present value of all cash flows between the ceding and assuming enterprises under reasonably possible outcomes."
- Reinsurer expense are not considered.
  - Only cash flows between ceding and assuming enterprises are considered.
  - All cash flows between ceding and assuming enterprises are considered.



- Interest Rates and Discount Factors
  - SSAP 62 states:
    - "Generally reflect the expected timing of payments to the reinsurer and the duration over which those cash flows are expected to be invested by the reinsurer."
  - Risk free rate generally the lower the rate used the easier it is to demonstrate risk transfer
  - Must be constant
  - Needs to be "reasonable and appropriate" this means the rate can be selected



#### Premium

- SSAP 62 states:
  - Q: "...should the reasonably possible loss be compared to gross or net premiums?"

A: "Gross Premium should be used."

- What does this mean in practice?
  - Deposit premium
  - Expected premium
  - Actual premiums developed from each scenario (should be used)
- Remember to include all cash flows no matter what they are called.



- Evaluation Date
  - SSAP 62 states:
    - Risk Transfer assessments are made "at the inception date based on facts and circumstances known at the time."
      - Interest rates
      - Loss development patterns
      - Other factors
  - It is not necessary to retest the contract at renewal unless there are significant amendments to the contract.



- Commutations and Timing of Payments
  - Timing risk is important aspect of risk transfer
    - No fixed loss payment schedule
    - "Timely reimbursement payments"
    - Any commutation fees need to be incorporated in the analysis (similar to a premium)
- Incorporate commutation clause using economically rational decision-making



- Parameter Selection
- Interest Rate
- Payment Pattern
- Loss Distribution
- Parameter Risk
- Use of Pricing Assumptions
- Commutation Clauses



- Parameter Selection
  - Interest rate
  - Payment pattern
  - Loss distribution
    - Frequency/Severity typically for excess of loss
    - Loss Ratio typically for quota share
  - Company specific vs. industry data



- Interest Rate
  - Interest rate risk is not considered in risk transfer analysis
  - Alternative rates
    - Reinsurer's return on invested assets
      - Different reinsurers have different return
    - Yield curve
      - Not a constant rate as required by SSAP and FASB
    - Risk free rate or some approximation
      - Most reasonable



- Loss Distribution
  - Tail of the loss distribution is most important
    - Variance
  - This is difficult to quantify based upon company data especially for
    - Quota share contracts
    - High layer excess of loss contracts
  - Pricing assumptions (more on this later)



- Parameter Risk
  - Loss distribution
  - Payment patterns
  - Size of the company (including captive insurers)
  - Type of company (Start-up vs. established)
  - Industry benchmark vs. individual company



- Pricing Assumptions
  - Need expected loss and variance
  - Premiums charged can be helpful but you need to be cautious since:
    - Premium can be market driven
    - May include risk loads, expenses or other variables not easily recognized.
  - Pricing vs. Risk Transfer
    - Pricing is more concerned with the expected loss
    - Risk transfer is concerned with the right tail of the distribution.



- Commutation Clauses
  - There is a need to understand the commutation clauses in any contract.
  - Commutation clause may reduce the risk being transferred, especially if commutation dates and terms are predefined.



# Questions

Paul Vendetti
Consulting Actuary
Pinnacle Actuarial Resources, Inc
(309) 807-2312
pvendetti@pinnacleactuaries.com

