

D&O Securities Class Action Claims in a Changing Environment

Changing Landscape for D&O Claims starting mid-year 2007

>S&P Stock Market Volatility Index (VIX) increasing dramatically (3X normal)
 >Securities Class Action claim counts increasing
 >Market capitalization losses for defendant firms increasing
 >GDP growth slowing
 >Recession

How did these Changes Affect Current D&O Loss Estimates?

>Traditional Actuarial Methods (on-leveling of premiums and losses) does not perform well in this changing environment

>Class Action Claims Study develops a different methodology - studies the relationship between class action claims and financial and economic variables. Uses the results to predict current securities class action market loss and loss ratio estimates.

> D&O Class Action Claim Study













# MDL and DDL-What are they?

### Basically 2 different measures of investors' market losses

## MDL – Maximum Dollar Disclosure Loss

 "Dollar value change in the market capitalization of the defendant firm from the trading day during the class period when its market capitalization was the highest to the trading day immediately following the end of the class period. We use the term "maximum dollar loss" as shorthand for this number". (*Cornerstone Research*)

#### DDL- Dollar Disclosure Loss

•

\* "Dollar value change in the market capitalization of the defendant firm between the trading day immediately preceding the end of the class period and the trading day immediately following the end of the class period. We use the term "disclosure dollar loss" as shorthand for this number". (Cornerstone Research)

D&O Class Action Claim
 Study



D&O Class Action Claim



D&O Class Action Claim

Study

















# Multivariate Regression Analysis Results- Model 1A

- Disclosure Dollar Loss (DDL) and S&P 500 Volatility Index have statistically significant impact on aggregate settlement dollars
- For every 10% increase in DDL, settlement losses increase by 3%.
- If S&P 500 Volatility Index increases by 10%, settlement losses will increase by 11%. This variable is significantly correlated with claim filing activity.
   Model explains 45% of the variation in class action settlement dollars between 1996 2H and 2005.

#### LN ULTIMATE CLAIM COST MODEL 1A PREDICTOR VARIABLE <u>I</u> 0.3162 1.1174 COEFFICIENT <u>P</u> VIF 2.93 0.0061 1.4 2.5 2.81 0.0082 TREND 2.58 0.0144 2 CONSTANT N=38 ADJUSTED R-SQUARED 45.0% 11.08 0.0000 D&O Class Action Claim Study





	ariables				
<ul> <li>LAWYER dummy variable represents period Weiss/Milberg/Lerach</li> </ul>	during indict	ment	of		
<ul> <li>Model explains 52% of the variation in class a 2H and 2006.</li> </ul>	ction settlen	nent d	lollars b	etween I	996
MODEL 1C LN ULTIMATE CLAIM COST					
PREDICTOR VARIABLE	с	OEFF	т	Р	VIF
LN VIX	-	1.1477	3.18	0.0036	2.
LN NUMBER OF COMMERCIAL BANKRUPTCY FILINGS-LAG 2 QTRS		2.7420	3.44	0.0018	3.
LN LEVEL OF S&P 500-LAG 2 QTRS		1.7625	3.1	0.0043	1.
PERCENTAGE CHANGE IN GDP (ANNUALIZED)		6.5744	-1.89	0.0698	1.
		1.2424	-3.28	0.0028	2
LAWYER					
LAWYER TREND					
LAWYER TREND CONSTANT					
LAWYER TREND CONSTANT					
LUWYER TREND CONSTANT N-38 ADJUSTED R-SQUARED	52.3%				
LAWYER TREND CONSTANT N-38 ADJUSTED R-SQUARED F	52.3% 7.26				



Multivariate Regression Analysis - Model 2: Claims Filed in QTR

Number of claims filed is important predictor of ultimate settlement costs.
 Use additional model to look at variables affecting claim filings.
 Advantage: Can use longer period to fit model (1997 to 2009) since no development in number of claims filed during the filing period.

Model Number 2:

•	Respo	nse Variabl	e				Some Possible Predictor Variables	-
•	•Aggreg	ate Number of	SCA Clai	ms Filed E	ach QTR		S&P 500 Volatility Index (VIX)	
							-Return on S&P 500 ·	
							Level of S&P 500	
							•GDP Growth Rate	
							Claims filed in Prior Period	
							Bankruptcy Filings	
							<ul> <li>Value of Leading Indicators</li> </ul>	-
							<ul> <li>Law Firm Indicator (SEC law firm indictments)</li> </ul>	
							D&O Class Ac     Study	ction (

S&P 50 Model : betwee	0 Volatility strongly sig	Index (	VIX) pred	lictor				
Model :	strongly sig	nificant	in the pred		riahle also si	gnifican	ŕ	
	n 1996 and	2009.	and expla	1115 43 /6	or the varia	uonin	ciass actio	n ciain
·M	ODEL 2A		-LN CLAIMS	S FILED	•			
·Pi	REDICTOR VAR	IABLE			COEF	·I	۰ <u>₽</u>	<u>•VIE</u>
-L1	N CLAIMS FILED	- LAG 1 0	QTR.		•0.5025	•4.83	-0.0000	•1.3
ى. ب	N CLAIMS FILED	- LAG 1 (	atr.		+0.5025 +0.1880	•4.83 •2.00	-0.0000 -0.0505	-1.3 -1.3
-11 -12 -01	N CLAIMS FILEE N VIX DNSTANT	) - LAG 1 (	OTR •		•0.5025 •0.1880	•4.83 •2.00	-0.0000 -0.0505	-13 -13 -
-L1 -L1 -C1 -N	N CLAIMS FILEE N VIX DNSTANT #52	) - LAG 1 (	TR		•0.5025 •0.1880 •	•4.83 •2.00 •	-0.0000 -0.0505 -	-13 -13 -
-11 -11 -01 -N -AI	N CLAIMS FILEE N VIX ONSTANT #52 DJUSTED R-SQ	UARED	2TR • •		-0.5025 -0.1880 - -42.6%	-4.83 -2.00	-0.0000 -0.0505 -	-13 -13 -
-L1 -L1 -C1 -N -A1 -F	N CLAIMS FILEE N VIX ONSTANT #52 DJUSTED R-SQ	UARED	2TR - -		-0.5025 -0.1880 - - 42.6% -20.27	-4.83 -2.00 -	-0.0000 -0.0505 -	-13 -13 - -



luitiv	variate Re	egress	sion Ana	alysis	Results	s- Mod	el 2b	
▶ "L: ▶	awyer" predic Refers to hyp on claim filing	ctor vari oothesis gs	able also si that indict	ignificant ment of	Milberg and	d Lerach	had an im	ipact
<ul> <li>Mo</li> </ul>	odel strongly	significa 1996 ar	nt and expl id 2009.	ains 52%	of the vari	iation in d	class actio	n
Cia								
Cia	•MODEL 2B		IN CLAIMS FIL	LED	•	•	•	•
Cla	•MODEL 2B	IABLE	•LN CLAIMS FIL	LED	• COEF	• •I	• •£	• • <u>VIE</u>
Cia	•MODEL 2B •PREDICTOR VAR •LN CLAIMS FILED	IABLE - LAG 1 QTI	+LN CLAIMS FIL	LED	• • <u>COEF</u> •0.44373	•L •4.55	• • <u>P</u> •0.0000	• •VIE •1.3
cia	•MODEL 2B •PREDICTOR VAR •LN CLAIMS FILED •CHANGE IN S&P 9	IABLE - LAG 1 QTI 500 INDEX	+LN CLAIMS FIL	LED •	• • <u>COEF</u> •0.44373 •-0.6731	·L •4.55 •1.7	• • <u>P</u> •0.0000 •0.0953	• •¥IE •1.3 •1.0
Cla	-MODEL 2B -PREDICTOR VAR -LN CLAIMS FILED -CHANGE IN S&P 1 -LAWYER	IABLE - LAG 1 QTI 500 INDEX	•LN CLAIMS FIL	LED •	• • <u>COEF</u> •0.44373 •-0.6731 •-0.27036	• •I •4.55 •-1.7 •-2.89	• • <u>P</u> •0.0000 •0.0953 •0.0057	• •VIE •1.3 •1.0 •1.2
	•MODEL 2B •PREDICTOR VAR •LN CLAIMS FILED •CHANGE IN S&P : •LAWYER •CONSTANT	IABLE - LAG 1 QTI 500 INDEX	•LN CLAIMS FIL	LED • •	• • <u>COEF</u> •0.44373 •-0.6731 •-0.27036	• <b>I</b> •4.55 •-1.7 •-2.89	• <u>P</u> •0.0000 •0.0953 •0.0057	• •VIE •1.3 •1.0 •1.2
Cia	•MODEL 2B •PREDICTOR VAR •LN CLAIMS FILED •CHANGE IN S&P : •LAWYER •CONSTANT •N=53	IABLE - LAG 1 QTI 500 INDEX	IN CLAIMS FIL	LED • •	• <u>COEF</u> •0.44373 •-0.6731 •-0.27036	•I •4.55 •1.7 •-2.89	• •0.0000 •0.0953 •0.0057 •	• <b>VIE</b> •1.3 •1.0 •1.2
Cla	-MODEL 28 -PREDICTOR VAR -LN CLAIMS FILED -CHANGE IN S&P // -LAWYER -CONSTANT -N=53 -ADJUSTED R-SQ	IABLE - LAG 1 QTI 500 INDEX • •	•LN CLAIMS Fil	- ED 	• <u>COEF</u> • 0.44373 • -0.6731 • -0.27036 •	•L •4.55 •-1.7 •-2.89 •	• •0.0000 •0.0953 •0.0057 •	• <b>YIE</b> -1.3 -1.0 -1.2
Cla	-MODEL 28 -MEDICTOR VAR -LN CLAIMS FILED -CHANGE IN S&P !- LAWYER -CONSTANT -N=53 -ADJUSTED R-SQ -F	IABLE - LAG 1 QTI 500 INDEX UARED	•LN CLAIMS Fil	LED • • • •	• <u>COEF</u> • 0.44373 • -0.6731 • 0.27036 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·I •4.55 •1.7 •-2.89	• •0.0000 •0.0953 •0.0057 • •	• <b>VIE</b> -1.3 -1.0 -1.2



Multivariate Regression Analysis - Model 3: DDL/claim filed

- > Disclosure Dollar Loss is important predictor of ultimate settlement costs.
- Use additional model to look at variables affecting claim filings.
   Advantage: Can use longer period to fit model (1997 to 2009) since no development in dollar disclosure loss during the filing period.

### Model Number 3:

<ul> <li>Predictor Variables</li> </ul>	Response Variable				Res	•	
•S&P 500 Volatility Index (VIX)	QTR	led Each	er Claim Fi	ire Loss pe	r Disclosu	•Dolla	•
Return on S&P 500							
Level of S&P 500							
GDP Growth Rate							
Claims filed in Prior Period							
Bankruptcy Filings							
<ul> <li>Value of Leading Indicators</li> </ul>							•
Law Firm Indicator							

Multivariate Regression Analysis Results- Model 3: DDL/claim filed

- Change in S&P 500 has statistically significant impact on DDL.
- > Lawyer predictor variable also significant.
- Model explains 61% of the variation in DDL per claim between 1997 and 2009.

PREDICTOR VARIABLE			COEF		٠T	۰P		•VIF
-CHANGE IN S&P 500 INDEX				-4.8574	-4.87	-	•0.0000	•1.3
-CHANGE IN S&P 500 INDEX	- LAG 1 (	TR		-2.06778	-1.98		•0.0054	•1.4
+LAWYER				-0.8707	-5.64		•0.0000	•1.2
+LEVEL OF S&P 500				-0.00212	-4.26		•0.0001	-1.0
-CONSTANT								
•N=48								
ADJUSTED R-SQUARED				•61.4%				
۰F				•20.08				
۰P				0.0000				

















- New data source available through Bloomberg may provide additional information
- Look at more precise ways to predict future losses: + Estimate future model parameters: DDL, VIX, claim counts, GDP growth
- Look for additional lagged variables to improve model
- Scenario testing of different sets of parameters
- Use for other lines of business impacted by economic variables Surety?

D&O Class Action Claim
 Study

# The End

The views, information and content expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of anyone else at either Arch Re or Torus Re.

D&O Class Action Claim
 Study