Antitrust Notice

- The Casualty Actuarial Society is committed to adhering strictly to the letter and spirit of the antitrust laws. Seminars conducted under the auspices of the CAS are designed solely to provide a forum for the expression of various points of view on topics described in the programs or agendas for such meetings.
- Under no circumstances shall CAS seminars be used as a means for competing companies or firms to reach any understanding – expressed or implied – that restricts competition or in any way impairs the ability of members to exercise independent business judgment regarding matters affecting competition.
- It is the responsibility of all seminar participants to be aware of antitrust regulations, to prevent any written or verbal discussions that appear to violate these laws, and to adhere in every respect to the CAS antitrust compliance policy.

The U.S. Solvency Modernization Initiative (SMI)

CAS Seminar on Reinsurance June 4, 2012

Joseph B. Sieverling Reinsurance Association of America



Presentation Overview

- SMI Purpose and Scope
- Holding Company Act Changes
- ORSA Project
- Risk-Based Capital Improvements
- Other Elements
- FIO Impact
- Additional background on CAS presentation website
- NAIC SMI Roadmap updated 03/29/12
- NAIC White Paper on U.S. Solvency Regulation dated 03/16/12



		ı	
ı	•		

SMI - Purpose & Scope

- Third Country Equivalence is a major catalyst for change in the U.S. System
 - Reinsurance Collateral Issue a leading indicator
- State Insurance Regulators/NAIC Protect their relevance
- · The Dodd Frank Act (DFA) is the other main driver
 - FSOC Systemic Risk and Groups
 - Federal Insurance Office (FIO)
 - · Non-Admitted and Reinsurance Act Provisions in DFA

4



SMI - Purpose & Scope

- Two main elements of Equivalence
 - Solvency II
- Int'l Association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS) Insurance Core Principles (ICP's)
- How will the U.S. be evaluated?
- EIOPA standards / EU Commission Third Country Equivalence decisions
- IMF/World Bank Financial Sector Assessment Program (FSAP)

5



FSAP Review = SMI Scope

- $\bullet\,$ U.S. State System Completed 1^{st} FSAP review in 2010
- Based on old ICP's Revised ICP's adopted in October 2011
- NAIC Scored well on all areas except
- Supervisory authority, group supervision, anti-money laundering
- Next FSAP review will include revised ICP's including:
 - Supervisory Cooperation and Info Exchange ICP 3
 - Corporate governance ICP 7
 - Valuation (assets & liabilities) ICP 14
 - $\bullet~$ ERM and Capital Adequacy/Internal Models ICP's 16 & 17
- Group-wide Supervision ICP's 23, 25 & 26
- Next FSAP Review in 2014



Insurance Core Principles (ICP's)

- · ICP 1 Objectives, powers and responsibilities of the supervisor
- ICP 2 Supervisor
- · ICP 3 Information exchange
- ICP 4 Licensing
- · ICP 5 Suitability of persons
- · ICP 6 Changes in control and portfolio transfers
- ICP 7 Corporate governance
- · ICP 8 Risk management and internal controls
- ICP 9 Supervisory review and reporting
- · ICP 10 Preventive and corrective measures
- · ICP 11 Enforcement and sanctions
- ICP 12 Winding-up and exit from the market
- ICP 13 Reinsurance and other forms of risk transfer



Insurance Core Principles (ICP's)

- ICP 14 Valuation for solvency purposes
- ICP 15 Investments
- ICP 16 Enterprise risk management
- · ICP 17 Capital adequacy
- · ICP 18 Intermediaries
- ICP 19 Conduct of business
- ICP 20 Disclosure
- ICP 21 Countering fraud in insurance
- * ICP 22 Anti-money laundering, combating the financing of terrorism
- ICP 23 Standard on scope of group, supervisory power and legal authority
- ICP 24 Macro-prudential supervision and market analysis
- ICP 25 Supervisory cooperation and coordination
 ICP 26 Cross-border cooperation on arisis management.
- ICP 26 Cross-border cooperation on crisis management



Overview of the NAIC SMI Project

- Group Supervision Holding Company Act
- Own Risk & Solvency Assessment (ORSA)
- · Risk-Based Capital Improvement
- Other Elements
 - Corporate Governance Working Group
 - International and Accounting Standards
- Principles-Based Reserving (life)
- Reinsurance Regulatory Reform



Group Supervision

Revised NAIC Holding Company Act in 2010

- Annual Form F filing Annual report identifying material risk within the holding co. system that could pose material risk of financial contagion to the insurer
- Requires filing of consolidated group financial statements with the insurance regulator and with the NAIC
- Establishes the NAIC as a repository for consolidated group financial information
- Increases requirements for and reporting of affiliate transactions and management and service agreements
- Authorizes states to participate in supervisory colleges at the insurance group's expense

10



Group Supervision

Revised NAIC Holding Company Act in 2010 (Cont'd)

- Provides the US insurer's domestic state with examination authority for its holding company and affiliates (regardless of national jurisdiction)
- Developed Holding Co. Regulation best practices guidance
- Holding Company Act changes are under consideration in several state legislatures this year
- Expect adoption and implementation in most states by 2013 with effective date of January 1, 2014.

11



ORSA

ORSA Project Elements:

- Guidance Manual (adopted Nov. 2011)
- Statutory Authority (target Aug. 2012)
- Pilot Program (target Aug. 2012)
- Future (2013):
 - Implementation in Analysis and Exam Processes
 - Inclusion in Accreditation standards
 - Training and NAIC Staff Resources



ORSA Guidance Manual

ORSA Purpose:

- · Assess the adequacy of risk management processes
- · Assess current and likely future solvency position
- · Internally document the processes and results
- · Provide a high-level summary report annually to the domiciliary regulator



ORSA Guidance Manual

ORSA Elements:

- Description of Risk Management Framework
- · Summary of ERM Framework, RM policies, risk appetite statements, and ERM processes
- Assessment of Risk Exposures
- · Quantitative and qualitative assessments of risk exposure in both normal and stressed environments for each material risk category
- · Group Capital and Prospective Solvency Assessment
 - Level of financial resources needed to manage the current business and over a longer term business cycle (2-5 years)
 - · Analysis of an insurer's group risk capital requirements and associated capital adequacy and a description of the approach used in conducting the analysis



ORSA Statutory Authority

- Industry Drafted Model Law (NAIC Amending)
- Stand Alone Model
- Major Provisions:
 - ORSA Requirement and Due Date (Annually by June 30)
 - Alternative for offshore owned
 — File global basis if includes US entities
 - · Exemptions:
 - Insurer = \$500 Million Direct & Assumed Premium Group = \$1 Billion Direct and Assumed Premium

 - Confidentiality
 - ORSA and related documentation is proprietary & trade secret information
 Signed confidentiality agreements with consultants, insurer and supervisor
 Sharing limited to Ins. regulators & others with authority to maintain confidentiality
 - Effective Date = January 1, 2014 (first report due 6/30/2014)



ORSA Other

- Pilot Program
 - Ten industry participants
 - Filed under Texas exam/confidentiality statute
 - Special working group to review filings
 - Goal to ensure compliance with Guidance Manual is practical and that the summary report is useful to regulators
- Result may amend Guidance Manual
- Future (2013):
- Implementation in Analysis and Exam Processes
- · Inclusion in Accreditation standards
- Training and NAIC Staff Resources



ORSA - Key Concerns

- Confidentiality
- Coordination Lead State
- Effective Date $-1/1/15 = 1^{st}$ filing 6/30/15
- \bullet Timing of Filing notification by 1/31, ensure that states coordinate filings and reviews
- · Group definition and Group filings
- Scope of ORSA US Holding Company or Global enterprise?
- Include global enterprise risks affecting the US holding company system or prepare ORSA on Global level?
- · Alternate compliance method for Global groups
- Recent controversy

17



Risk-Based Capital Improvement

- · Solvency Modernization Project
 - Targeted Improvements
 - · Catastrophe RBC Charge



Solvency Modernization RBC

- SMI RBC Subgroup charged in 2010 with Modernizing RBC
- Response to FSAP & concerns about U.S. Equivalence with Solvency II
- NAIC Met With EIOPA in 2011 to compare RBC to S2 standard formula – US concluded RBC → OK
- NAIC dropped work started in 2010 to <u>measure</u> <u>calibration level</u> of RBC
- NAIC still planning <u>targeted improvements</u>



SMI - RBC Targeted Improvements (P&C)

- Revise P&C Risk Correlation/Covariance Methodology
- · New Risks
- Catastrophe risk charge (Ongoing, due 1/1/14)
- Improve DTA risk charge (adopted late 2011)
- Consider other missing risks (AAA 01/2011 Report)
- ${}^{\circ}$ Improve derivation of U/W & Reserve Risk (R4 & R5) factors
- Stochastic/forward looking approach (unlikely soon)
- Improve derivation of reinsurance credit risk (10%)

20



SMI - RBC Targeted Improvements (P&C)

- Continued
- Improve RBC for reinsurers (existing R4 & R5 factors)
- Introduce RBC for Captives, RRG's (underway)
- Introduce RBC for title and financial and mortgage guaranty insurers - (under consideration)

_	
4	4



Catastrophe RBC Charge

- Project has been underway since 2006
- Cat RBC charge replaced statutory cat reserve as preferred approach
- · Industry supports the proposal
- Moving rather slowly though priority of NAIC SMI project is likely to accelerate completion

22



Catastrophe RBC Charge - Description

- Charge based on 1 of 3 commercially available models
- · Will require a confidential report to regulators
- 1 in 100 loss level but disclose 1-250, 1-500 & 1-1000
- Hurricane and Earthquake perils only—the two separate computations subject to covariance
- Charge computed gross but will receive negative charge for reingurance – Net
- Separate contingent credit risk charge for reinsurance = 10%
- No prescribed assumptions/switches, but mgmt attest to use test in confidential RBC report

23



Catastrophe RBC Charge - Description Cont'd

- NAIC will remove Cat losses included in the existing RBC U/W factors (US Losses using PCS data + reinsurers' non-US losses)
- New confidential filing will be due with 2012 Annual Statement
- Insurers will remove cat losses from their own individual RBC calculation
- Confidential RBC Report
 - Attest Co's cat risk charges used in their RBC model are the same as used to manage risk for the company
 - Commentary & rationale for modeling options/switches (time dependency, demand surge, fire following, etc.)
- Process for ensuring completeness and accuracy of underlying data including the extent it is geo-coded to GPS or zip code locations
- Exam processes will be added to test cat exposure input data
- Commissioner empowered to reject insurers' models or data and require remedial action



Catastrophe RBC Charge - Description Cont'd

- · Insurers Exempt from Cat RBC Charge
 - Any insurer with property values insured in states bordering Atlantic or Gulf that are less than 5% of surplus
 - Any insurer with property values insured for EQ or fire following (in states subject to EQ risk) that are less than 5% of surplus
- Plan is for reporting on confidential basis for two years before full implementation in RBC formula
- * This now may slip to one year earliest effective date 12/31/2014 filing due in March 1, 2015

25



Catastrophe RBC Charge - Industry Reaction

- Industry Supports
- ${\, \cdot \, \, }$ RAA Prefers 1-250 for all perils vs 1-100 for each
- RBC should consider offset for reinstatement premium and effect of income taxes
- 10% credit risk charge on modeled reinsurance recoveries too high
- Primary industry should not require short-term models for solvency, while requiring LT models for ratemaking
- Reinsurers aggregate risk and don't often have access to direct insurers' geo-coded risk exposure (=alternative compliance mode for reinsurers)

26



Other SMI Elements

- Group Supervision for ComFrame
- IFRS/GAAP Convergence and impact on Statutory accounting
- Corporate Governance
- · Reinsurance Modernization
- Life Principles-Based Reserving



Group Supervision/ComFrame

- Common Framework for group supervision of IAIG's
- Controversial Issues:
 - Definition of IAIG
 - Selection of global group supervisor
- IFRS / Valuation/ "common language"
- Group Capital Requirement vs. Group Capital Assessment
- Overall prescriptive nature of proposal
- Next Consultation document ~ 6/30/2012

28



Int'l Accounting Standards

- NAIC Objectives
- Evaluate IASB Insurance Accounting standards and determine if they should form the basis of the future regulatory accounting model
- Evaluate other ICP's as appropriate
- Current Focus
 - ICP 14 Valuation Does "economic valuation" mean that the NAIC will be forced to adopt IASB model?
 - Decision on whether to adopt IASB as the basis for SAP accounting depends on GAAP and SEC convergence

29



Corporate Governance Working Group

- NAIC Objectives
- Review IAIS ICP's regarding corporate governance, ERM and internal controls
- Inventory best practices for CG in the states
- Develop a Whitepaper including high-level standards and best practices recommendations
- Controversial Issues
- Suitability of persons (preapproval of BOD & mgmt)
- Prescriptive requirements
- Overlap with other NAIC/state requirements
- Board legal duties to policyholders
- General over-reach



Reinsurance Task Force

- Reinsurance regulatory modernization (a.k.a. collateral reform
- 2011 NAIC adopted Revisions to NAIC Model Credit For Reinsurance Model Law and Regulation
- Provides states with the option of requiring less than 100% collateral for certain unauthorized or "certified" reinsurers
- Integrated with requirements of DFA (NRRA provisions)
 - · CFR determined by cedent's domestic regulator
 - Preempts host states from requiring collateral
- Several states have adopted similar legislation (FL, NY, NJ, IN)

· Many other states considering legislation



Principles-Based Reserving

- · Life Principles-Based Reserving project
- Near completion of NAIC Valuation Manual and reserving methodologies for major product lines
- Using consultant to test the impact on the industry of the completed sections of the VM (Impact Study/Field Test)
- Exploring NAIC's possible role as statistical agent to compile industry PBR data for use by smaller less sophisticated life insurers
- Plan is to finalize guidance and give states a few years to implement the new economic based reserving methodology
- · Industry concerns about the FIT impact

32



Federal Information Office (FIO)

- Established by Title V of Dodd Frank Act
- Michael McRaith Director Since June 2011
- Delayed report on Modernizing Insurance Regulation (due 1/2012 & still not issued)
- 2nd report due in October 2012 on Modernizing Reinsurance Regulation
- · FIO Director is Member of FSOC
- FIO playing an important role in international regulation and ultimately US equivalence

٠			c	
	ċ	5	ċ	



FIO - International Role

- Can recognize other jurisdictions through "covered agreements"
- As member of FSOC and FSB, coordinating global approach to SIFI's and GSIFI's
- Represents the US at IAIS and is on IAIS Executive Committee
- Working together with NAIC, EU Commission on the International Steering Committee to reach a decision/compromise on US Equivalence with Solvency 2 (by 12/31/2012)

