

2014 CAS Seminar on Reinsurance C-4: Surety – How Do They Do That?

May 22, 2014

Shawn Lynch, Vice President Underwriting Liza Sheker, FCAS, Vice President and Associate Actuary



Antitrust Notice

The Casualty Actuarial Society is committed to adhering strictly to the letter and spirit of the antitrust laws. Seminars conducted under the auspices of the CAS are designed solely *to provide a forum for the expression of various points of view on topics* described in the programs or agendas for such meetings.

Under no circumstances shall CAS seminars be used as a means for competing companies or firms to reach any understanding – expressed or implied – that restricts competition or in any way impairs the ability of members to exercise independent business judgment regarding matters affecting competition.

It is the responsibility of all seminar participants to be aware of antitrust regulations, to prevent any written or verbal discussions that appear to violate these laws, and to adhere in every respect to the CAS antitrust compliance policy.



Legal Disclaimer



Cautionary Statement

The information contained in this presentation and any discussions or statements made during its presentation are general in nature and intended to be used for informational purposes relating to this Seminar only and are <u>not</u> intended for any particular purpose.

The views expressed do <u>not</u> necessarily represent the views of Transatlantic Re, its affiliates, subsidiaries, management and/or shareholders, who along with the authors shall not be held responsible in any way for use or reliance on any of the information contained in or referenced during the presentation of this outline.

The information contained in this outline is <u>not</u> intended to constitute and should <u>not</u> be considered legal or professional advice, nor shall it serve as a substitute for obtaining legal or professional advice specific to any particular needs that may be presented.



Surety Product



The Miller Act (1935)

Insurance:

- The law of large numbers applies...
- Losses of a few are paid by the premium of many
- Players Insurance Company & Insured

Surety:

- 3rd Party Indemnity Contract...
- Surety agrees to perform on behalf of the principal in event of default
- In theory, the business is underwritten to 'zero' loss ratio
- Premium is fee for prequalification

Players – Surety (Insurer), Obligee (Owner) and Principal (Contractor / Corporation or Individual)





Types of Bonds...

Contract Surety:

- * Bid Bonds
- * Performance Bonds
- * Payment Bonds (Labor & Material)

Commercial Surety (Miscellaneous):

- * License & Permit
- * Court & Fiduciary
- * Custom
- * Workers Compensation

Principal Contractors: General, Subcontractors and Subdivision





- Financial wherewithal Balance Sheet
- Credit and Access to Capital
- Indemnification (Corporate & Personal)
- Work in Progress (Bonded and Unbonded)
- Management Continuity
- Experience and Proven Track Record
- Risk Appetite capital vs. capacity
- Job Selection type and location

Surety Premium and Loss Ratios



Period	DWP	DPE	Direct Loss	L/R
12/31/2013*	5,245,677,500	5,174,838,728	841,022,489	16.3%
12/31/2012	5,045,924,312	5,148,725,322	1,111,588,615	21.6%
12/31/2011	5,171,227,326	5,187,250,043	708,596,124	13.7%
12/31/2010	5,191,252,965	5,284,441,288	716,181,096	13.6%
12/31/2009	5,193,473,403	5,325,861,795	1,036,818,875	19.5%
12/31/2008	5,502,077,912	5,407,598,130	685,810,029	12.7%
12/31/2007	5,432,756,400	5,183,048,905	979,285,852	18.9%
12/31/2006	5,030,386,542	4,775,588,679	774,235,125	16.2%
12/31/2005	4,509,415,711	4,379,370,547	1,738,748,653	39.7%
12/31/2004	4,265,934,319	4,081,720,567	2,432,747,953	59.6%
12/31/2003	3,958,212,940	3,910,968,503	1,991,342,543	50.9%
12/31/2002	3,932,564,731	3,650,358,905	2,470,005,294	67.6%
12/31/2001	3,613,926,916	3,461,896,608	2,856,149,852	82.5%

(Source - The Surety and Fidelity Association of America / 2013 – Preliminary)





Top 10 Writers Calendar Year – 2013 (Preliminary)

Group	Direct WP	Direct L/R	Share
Travelers Bond Liberty Mutual Zurich Insurance (F&D) C N A Insurance Chubb & Son International Fidelity HCC Hartford ACE LTD Group RLI Insurance	\$778,689,161 \$738,271,612 \$492,737,467 \$408,605,990 \$210,242,628 \$167,316,158 \$166,419,402 \$160,693,912 \$143,061,872 \$110,594,591	-10.4% 34.2% 24.3% 18.2% 2.4% 11.1% 4.9% 23.9% 19.3% 11.4%	$14.8\% \\ 14.1\% \\ 9.4\% \\ 7.8\% \\ 4.0\% \\ 3.2\% \\ 3.2\% \\ 3.2\% \\ 3.1\% \\ 2.7\% \\ 2.1\% $
Results – Top 10	\$3,376,632,793	13.9%	64.4%
Industry	\$5,245,677,500	16.3%	

(The Surety & Fidelity Association of America)





Principal (Contractor) – Premier Contracting, NY

- •3rd Generation
- •Steel fabricator / erector
- •Tri-state area

Obligee (Owner) – various

Surety – Indemnity Surety

Claim Scenario:

Expanded outside normal territory
Under estimated project costs
Upgraded facilities / increased debt burden
Competitive bid

Contract Surety...Case Study (Premier Contracting Backlog)



	Estimated	Cost to	Billings	Estimated	Cost to		Excess B/C	Percent	Gross	
Job	Contract Price	Date	to Date	Gross Profit	Complete	Total Cost		complete	Margin	Backlog
Times Square One, NY	25,000,000	23,000,000	24,500,000	1,250,000	750,000	23,750,000	1,500,000	96.84%	5.00%	789,474
Gramercy Park, NY	15,000,000	7,500,000	7,500,000	375,000	7,125,000	14,625,000	0	51.28%	2.50%	7,307,692
South Beach Tower II, FL	40,000,000	10,000,000	15,000,000	(10,000,000)	40,000,000	50,000,000	5,000,000	20.00%	-25.00%	32,000,000
Key Largo Tower, FL	7,500,000	6,000,000	7,000,000	(2,500,000)	4,000,000	10,000,000	1,000,000	60.00%	-33.33%	3,000,000
LA Towers, CA	12,500,000	0	0	500,000	12,000,000	12,000,000	0	0.00%	4.00%	12,500,000
Total	100,000,000	46,500,000	54,000,000	(10,375,000)	63,875,000	110,375,000	7,500,000			55,597,166





Remedies:

- •Step in the shoes of the principal
- •Bring in a completion contractor
- •Tender the penal sum of the bond

Indemnity Package:

Corporate
Affiliates
Personal & Trusts
Secured (ILOC / Indemnity Deed of Trust)

Surety Reinsurance Pricing Challenges



Evaluating the loss experience – Gross and Excess Losses

- Years available
- Large National program vs. Regional Program
- Catastrophic claims
- The Economic Cycle

Pricing start-up Programs – there have been a lot lately!

- Proxy exposure portfolioCollaboration with the UW

Commercial Surety

- Commercial and "Commercial low" category
- Credit ratings and Frequency Adjustments
- No industry loss severity study available

The XOL Pricing Model

- Gross Loss Distribution
- Tail Risk

Principal: Premier Construction, NY

Project	Bond Year	Bond	Expected Margin	Loss	Outcome
Times Square One, NY	2010	25,000,000	1,250,000	-	Financed to finish - no loss
Gramercy Park, NY	2011	15,000,000	375,000		Financed to finish - no loss
South Beach Tower II, FL	2010	40,000,000	(10,000,000)	(37,000,000)	Step into shoes, major cost o∨errun problems
Key Largo Tower, FL	2012	7,500,000	(2,500,000)	(2,500,000)	Step into shoes - loss as expected based on profit margin
LA Towers, CA	2013	12,500,000	500,000	(500,000)	Step into shoes - small loss as costs > expected
2 bonds expired last 12 mo:	2010	25,000,000	2,000,000		Satisfied obligations - completed and closed - no loss

Total In-force:	100,000,000	(10,375,000) (40,000,000)	40.0% Loss as % In-force only
Total In-force + Expired last 12:	125,000,000	(8,375,000) (40,000,000)	32.0% Loss as % In-force + Exp Last 12

*SFAA Uses In-force + expired last 12 as exposure base for construction loss severity study and the PEL and PML curves

Loss Year:	2013	Year in which establish first reser∨e - bond basis
Discovery Year:	2013	Year in which reserve exceeds 50% of net retention - principal basis
Discovery Threshold:	5,000,000	50% of Attachment Point of \$10M

Where would this case fit on the SFAA Severity curve (PEL, PML)?





Information from SFAA 2012 CONSTRUCTION LOSS SEVERITY MODEL

PEL% = (BASE PEL% + CONC FACTOR%) x (1 + REGION FACTOR%) WHERE BASE PEL% = F(TOTAL LIMIT, TYPE CONTRACTOR)

		De	escription	
Contractor:		Premie	er Construc	tion
Type of Contractor:	Type - 5	Sub-Cor	ntractor - Bu	ilding
Total Bond Limit:	125,000,000	In-forc	e + Exp las	t 12
Max Bond Limit:	40,000,000	Large	est open bo	nd
Concentration:	32.0%	Max b	ond as % to	otal
Region Factor:	NY	Regio	on of operati	ion
	<u>Base</u>	Conc Factor	<u>Region</u>	Factor
PEL factor:	14.2%	0.0%	3.0%	14.6%
PML Factor:	28.4%	0.0%	0.0%	28.4%
95th %ile (new in 2012):				35.6%
Observed Loss as % Expos	sure:			32.0%
Point on cumulative distibut	ion curve (est.):			93.0%
Questions:				
Does this claim fit into the aggreg	ate distribution implied	I by the SFAA stud	y?	
Credit rating implication?				
How does this fit into the context	of a surety program?			
Was this claim in a large national	portfolio with 500M in	premium or a regio	nal with 50M?	•





Is this a National Surety Program with \$500M in premium or a Regional with \$50M?

- National: Points on loss ratio = 8%
- Regional: Points on loss ratio = 80% (!)

Was the probability of such a claim reflected in in the pricing model?

- Check the model output!
- 1 in 50? 1 in 500?

Experience – how credible is it?

- For a large national program...
- For a smaller regional surety...

How is the cat experience addressed if have 10-15 years of data?

Case Study - Premier Construction, NY

Allocation of \$40M principal cat loss to various reinsurance structures

Gross Incurred	BY	12	24	36	48	60	TOTAL as of 2014
(assume no payments yet)	2010	-	-	-	10,000,000	37,000,000	37,000,000
	2011	-	-	-	-		-
	2012		2,500,000	2,500,000		_	2,500,000
	2013	-	500,000				500,000
	TOTAL:						40,000,000

Quota Share	BY	12	24	36	48	60	TOTAL as of 2014
50% all bonds	2010	-	-	-	5,000,000	18,500,000	18,500,000
	2011	-	-	-	-		-
	2012	-	1,250,000	1,250,000			1,250,000
	2013	-	250,000				250,000
	TOTAL:						20,000,000

Surplus Share	BY	12	24	36	48	60	TOTAL as of 2014
Retains 100% of	2010	-	-	-	8,000,000	29,600,000	29,600,000
bonds <=10M; 20%	2011	-	-	-	-		-
of bonds >10M	2012		-	-		_	-
	2013	-	400,000				400,000
	TOTAL:						30,000,000

Excess of Loss	DY	12	24
Ground-up Losses:	2013	12,500,000	40,000,000
30x10 Losses:	2013	2,500,000	30,000,000

Notes:

Not indicative of an entire portfolio; Does not show future impacts of recoveries for simplicity For pro rata structures - a catastrophic loss can impact multiple bond years For XOL structures - the discovery year trigger shortens the tail and impacts a single year



- Treatment of losses within first 250K has changed
- Where have we encountered problems with relating experience to the exposure pricing model?
- How have we dealt with this situation?
 - Allocate...
 - Adjust...
 - Rebalance...