

C-30: Wheels – Commercial Auto is Getting Personal

CARe Seminar, June 4, 2019 Bermuda

Elliot Burn, FCAS, MAAA, Head of Actuarial and Data Products-CL, Verisk/ISO

Marni Wasserman, ACAS, MAAA, Senior Actuarial Analyst, Verisk/ISO Jennifer Stevens, Head of Regional Casualty Treaty Underwriting, Swiss Re

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

Antitrust Notice

- The Casualty Actuarial Society is committed to adhering strictly to the letter and spirit of the antitrust laws. Seminars conducted under the auspices of the CAS are designed solely to provide a forum for the expression of various points of view on topics described in the programs or agendas for such meetings.
- Under no circumstances shall CAS seminars be used as a means for competing companies or firms to reach any understanding – expressed or implied – that restricts competition or in any way impairs the ability of members to exercise independent business judgment regarding matters affecting competition.
- It is the responsibility of all seminar participants to be aware of antitrust regulations, to prevent any written or verbal discussions that appear to violate these laws, and to adhere in every respect to the CAS antitrust compliance policy.

Concurrent Session C-30: Wheels – Commercial Auto is Getting Personal

- This session will provide an update to the Commercial Auto industry experience, most recently presented at last year's CARe and CLRS sessions.
- Although there has been significant rate improvements in the past few years, recent results have still been rather challenging.
- This session will investigate why, including an analysis of lengthening LDFs. Additional claim drivers and litigation trends will be reviewed, including a diagnosis of the past and potential remedies for the future from a product manager's perspective.
- A comparison of commercial and personal auto trends, and drivers, will also be explored.
- An underwriter / commercial auto product manager who has lived through the wheels ups and downs over the last decade will give their experience from a ground level perspective, including measures to help underwriters improve their insights in this continually challenging line of business.

C-30: Wheels Commercial Auto is Getting Personal

Introduction – Elliot 5 mins

- Overall industry results through 12/31/2018

Commercial Auto Update – Marni 25 mins

- Review of industry experience from 2010 to 2018, view at 2019
- Review frequency and severity trends, lengthening loss development, profit vs LDF speed, IELRs groundup and excess, market segment review, rate changes, umbrella
- Review of personal auto vs. commercial auto trends
- Claim drivers / trends

An underwriting managers perspective – Jen 25 mins

- State of the market including environmental factors, jury impact, etc.
- What is impact on portfolio loss ratios, reserving?
- What is potential underwriting response? Rating factors and benefits? Umbrella component?
- What's next with future auto trends for actuaries and other professionals?

• A product manager perspective – Elliot 10 mins

- Common underwriting challenges and trends to improve profitability
- Focus on data and improved risk verification and selection

• Further discussion and Q&A - Panel 10 mins



 $\sqrt{}$

5

Commercial Auto Insurance is tough business!

- 105.7 Combined ratio in 2018 (AMB), >102 since 2012
- Pricing challenges present hurdles to profitability
- Lack of risk insight and data accuracy impact underwriting and rating



SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

Trends driving higher losses



More than 16 million commercial vehicles are on the road today



Miles driven were up 6.5% between February 2015 and February 2016



The need for as many as **50,000 drivers** is producing a glut of inexperienced drivers



27% of crashes involved drivers distracted by mobile devices



Loss severity per claim was up over 30% between 2012 and 2018



^{© 2019} Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved.

Update of Commercial Auto Views from 2010 - 2018



Frequency

Looking back at Trend at 2010: •Frequencies steadily reducing from early 2000s • Recent severities overall flat

alysis Method:	Premium (MW ra Apriori Trend = 0.03 INCURRED	te change)		
AY	Ultimate OCCURRENCE	Freq per 1M UOP	YTY Change	Ultimate Pren
2001	287,739	54.8940		5,241,730,84
2002	233,162	45.8150	-16.54%	5,089,250,6
2003	212,072	44.7750	-2.27%	4,736,394,7
2004	205,497	46.4050	3.64%	4,428,370,4
2005	207,560	45.4080	-2.15%	4,571,045,1
2006	197,104	39.1880	-13.70%	5,029,644,3
2007	200,826	39.3190	0.33%	5,107,664,5
2008	177,153	36.6460	-6.80%	4,834,202,2
2009	159,060	34.2520	-6.53%	4,643,800,8
Total/Average	3,139,584	71.8735	-2.84%	43,682,104,0
	Trend 7 year		-5.07%	-
	Trend - all year		-4.98%	
••			-37.6%	



Severity

Analysis Method: Premium (MW rate change)

	Apriori Trend = 0.03 INCURRED			
	Ultimate Severity ((Indemnity+ALAE)/ (()	Severity		
AY	count))/count)	YTY Change	Ultimate Prem
2001	9,334	9,334	9.11%	5,241,730,845
2002	10,383	10,383	11.24%	5,089,250,680
2003	11,585	11,585	11.58%	4,736,394,774
2004	12,336	12,336	6.48%	4,428,370,494
2005	12,406	12,406	0.57%	4,571,045,142
2006	12,988	12,988	4.69%	5,029,644,311
2007	12,832	12,832	-1.20%	5,107,664,575
2008	13,190	13,190	2.79%	4,834,202,298
2009	12,725	12,725	-3.53%	4,643,800,894
Total/Average	140,980	140,980	3.62%	43,682,104,012
	Trend 7 year		1.60%	
	Trend - all year		3.69%	T S



Source: ISO Slides from CAS Casualty Loss Reserve Seminar – September 12, 2017 (LOB-3 Wheels Down – J. Buchanan) SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved.

9

Holistic view at 2010:

• On level Loss ratios going down since 2004

- Frequencies steadily reducing from early 2000s
- Severities overall recently flat
- Relatively quick LDF duration
- avg GU reported loss = 1.2 yrs
- avg paid = 2.4 yrs
- Moderate reductions in rates since 2005
- Mostly BI claims but their trends ok as well
- The interconnected on-level line graphs show what various IELRs would be at current rate levels (useful for residual trend analysis)

• Overall, the current on-level loss ratio compared to long term is 8 pts better (60.0% long-term vs. 51.9% current)

ISO Size-of-Loss Matrix Loss Ratio Analytics: View At 2010 - TTT Illustrative © Insurance Services Office, Inc., 2017 SOLM 2017 v0.4.2 Market Segment: Commercial Auto Est All Yr/Curr Yr LR: 60.0% / 51.9% Total Premium 12/2009: 36.899.761.019 **Trucks Tractors and Trailers - All Companies** 7 Year Severity Trend: 1.60% Total Incurred Loss & Alae: 31.174.002.891 All Causes of Loss All Year Trend: 3.69% Total Occurrences: 3,129,183 Unlimited xs 0 Avg Rep / Pay Duration: 1.2 / 2.4 Years Total Exposure (Power Units): 260,470,867 70.00 14 80.0% **On Level Loss Ratio On Level Frequency** Severity 60.00 12 60.0% 50.00 10 8 40.00 40.0% 30.00 6 20.00 4 20.0% 10.00 2 0.00 0 0.0% 2001 2003 2005 2007 2009 2001 2003 2005 2007 2009 2003 2001 2005 2007 2009 0-2yrs 1.20 Rate Index (Base = 2009) 81.5% BI 1.00 70.3% 2-5yr 0.80 PD 28.3% 0.60 2-5vrs 0.40 Paid 60.0% 2.4 Rpt **Cause of Loss Distr** 0.20 1.2 **LDF** Duration 0.00

Note: Loss development factors and durations use 5-year VWA and 3% detrending. Rate changes from MarketWatch - Trucks Tractors and Trailers - Liability - 12/31/2016

2001

2003

2005

2007

2009

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 10

T

TTT Actual vs. Expected (ERLI Warning) – Excess Layer 900x100k Illustrative

Check to see if any early warning development signs in various layers and components.

Overall ok, except AY 2009 indicates a bit of a blip up – 252M expected, but 290M actual, or 15.2% adverse development.



6.20	AY	Actual n-6	Actual n-5	5-Yr ATA	Expected n-5	AY	Actual increase	Expected increase	Actual - Expected	9/
1003 Car Hal	2005	1,097,265,890	1,112,068,639	1.0135	1,112,059,126	2005	14,802,749.0	14,793,235.6	9,513.4	0.1%
the alassi	2006	1,066,637,325	1,112,815,458	1.0403	1,109,570,434	2006	46,178,133.0	42,933,109.1	3,245,023.9	7.6%
For a	2007	991,509,745	1,088,630,104	1.1063	1,096,882,077	2007	97,120,359.0	105,372,332.4	(8,251,973.4)	-7.8%
\sim	2008	722,271,219	888,533,303	1.2391	894,986,382	2008	166,262,084.0	172,715,163.5	(6,453,079.5)	-3.7%
	2009	334,768,535	624,898,496	1.7525	586,678,587	2009	290,129,961.0	251,910,051.5	38,219,909.5	15.2%
	2010		372,698,496		88 - 89	2010				
	Sum x2010	12,419,753,463	13,029,933,029		13,010,201,530	Sum x2015	610,179,566	590,448,067	19,731,499	3.3%
	1996-1999	3,028,045,461	3,027,332,760		3,027,933,529	2001-2004	(712,701)	(111,932)	(600,769)	-536.7%
	2000-2004	5,179,255,288	5,175,654,269		5,182,091,395	2005-2009	(3,601,019)	2,836,107	(6,437,126)	-227.0%
	2005-2009	4,212,452,714	4,826,946,000		4,800,176,606	2010-2014	614,493,286	587,723,892	26,769,394	4.6%
1	Red as 100	AY 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 Sum x2010 1996-1999 2000-2004 2005-2009	AY Actual n=6 2005 1,097,265,890 2006 1,066,637,325 2007 991,509,745 2008 722,271,219 2009 334,768,535 2010 2010 Sum x2010 12,419,753,463 1996-1999 3,028,045,461 2000-2004 5,179,255,288 2005-2009 4,212,452,714	AY Actual n-6 Actual n-5 2005 1,097,265,890 1,112,068,639 2006 1,066,637,325 1,112,068,639 2007 991,509,745 1,088,630,104 2008 722,271,219 888,533,303 2009 334,768,535 624,898,496 2010 372,698,496 Sum x2010 12,419,753,463 13,029,933,029 1996-1999 3,028,045,461 3,027,332,760 2000-2004 5,179,255,288 5,175,654,269 2005-2009 4,212,452,714 4,826,946,000	AY Actual n-6 Actual n-5 5-Yr ATA 2005 1,097,265,890 1,112,068,639 1.0135 2006 1,066,637,325 1,112,815,458 1.0403 2007 991,509,745 1,088,630,104 1.1063 2008 722,271,219 888,533,303 1.2391 2009 334,768,535 624,898,496 1.7525 2010 372,698,496 1.7525 2000 12,419,753,463 13,029,933,029 1996-1999 3,028,045,461 3,027,332,760 2000-2004 5,179,255,288 5,175,654,269 2005-2009 4,212,452,714 4,826,946,000	AY Actual n-6 Actual n-5 5-Yr ATA Expected n-5 2005 1,097,265,890 1,112,068,639 1.0135 1,112,059,126 2006 1,066,637,325 1,112,815,458 1.0403 1,109,570,434 2007 991,509,745 1,088,630,104 1.1063 1,096,882,077 2008 722,271,219 888,533,303 1.2391 894,986,382 2009 334,768,535 624,898,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2010 372,698,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2010 372,698,496 Sum x2010 12,419,753,463 13,029,933,029 13,010,201,530 3,027,933,529 2000-2004 5,179,255,288 5,175,654,269 5,182,091,395 2005-2009 4,212,452,714 4,826,946,000 4,800,176,606	AY Actual n-6 Actual n-5 5-Yr ATA Expected n-5 AY 2005 1,097,265,890 1,112,068,639 1.0135 1,112,059,126 2005 2006 1,066,637,325 1,112,815,458 1.0403 1,109,570,434 2006 2007 991,509,745 1,088,630,104 1.1063 1,096,882,077 2007 2008 722,271,219 888,533,303 1.2391 894,986,382 2008 2009 334,768,535 624,898,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 2010 372,698,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 2010 372,698,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 2010 3027,933,029 13,010,201,530 Sum x2010 12,419,753,463 13,029,933,029 13,010,201,530 Sum x2015 1996-1999 3,028,045,461 3,027,332,760 3,027,933,529 2001-2004 2000-2004 5,179,255,288 5,175,654,269 5,182,091,395 2005-2009 2005-2009 4,212,452,714 4,826,946,000 4,	AY Actual n-6 Actual n-5 5-Yr ATA Expected n-5 AY Actual increase 2005 1,097,265,890 1,112,068,639 1.0135 1,112,059,126 2005 14,802,749.0 2006 1,066,637,325 1,112,815,458 1.0403 1,109,570,434 2006 46,178,133.0 2007 991,509,745 1,088,630,104 1.1063 1,096,882,077 2007 97,120,359.0 2008 722,271,219 888,533,303 1.2391 894,986,382 2008 166,262,084.0 2010 372,698,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 290,129,961.0 2010 372,698,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 290,129,961.0 2010 372,698,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 290,129,961.0 2010 372,698,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 2010 72,071 2010 3027,932,760 3,027,933,529 201-2004 (712,701) 2000-2004 5,179,255,288 5,175,654,269 5,182,091,395 2005-2009 </th <th>AY Actual n-6 Actual n-5 5-Yr ATA Expected n-5 AY Actual increase Expected increase 2005 1,097,265,890 1,112,068,639 1.0135 1,112,059,126 2005 14,802,749.0 14,793,235.6 2006 1,066,637,325 1,112,815,458 1.0403 1,109,570,434 2006 46,178,133.0 42,933,109.1 2007 991,509,745 1,088,630,104 1.1063 1,096,882,077 2007 97,120,359.0 105,372,332.4 2008 722,271,219 888,533,303 1.2391 894,986,382 2008 166,262,084.0 172,715,163.5 2009 334,768,535 624,898,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 290,129,961.0 251,910,051.5 2010 372,698,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 290,129,961.0 251,910,051.5 2010 372,698,496 1.3,021,933,529 2001-2004 (712,701) (111,932) 2000-2004 5,179,255,288 5,175,654,269 5,182,091,395 2001-2004 (712,701) (111,932)</th> <th>AY Actual n-6 Actual n-5 5-Yr ATA Expected n-5 AY Actual increase Expected increase Actual - Expected 2005 1,097,265,890 1,112,068,639 1.0135 1,112,059,126 2005 14,802,749.0 14,793,235.6 9,513.4 2006 1,066,637,325 1,112,815,458 1.0403 1,109,570,434 2006 46,178,133.0 42,933,109.1 3,245,023.9 2007 991,509,745 1,088,630,104 1.1063 1,096,882,077 2007 97,120,359.0 105,372,332.4 (8,251,973.4) 2008 722,271,219 888,533,303 1.2391 894,986,382 2008 166,262,084.0 172,715,163.5 (6,453,079.5) 2009 334,768,535 624,898,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 290,129,961.0 251,910,051.5 38,219,909.5 2010 372,698,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 290,129,961.0 251,910,051.5 38,219,909.5 2010 372,698,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2010 2010 2014,074 (</th>	AY Actual n-6 Actual n-5 5-Yr ATA Expected n-5 AY Actual increase Expected increase 2005 1,097,265,890 1,112,068,639 1.0135 1,112,059,126 2005 14,802,749.0 14,793,235.6 2006 1,066,637,325 1,112,815,458 1.0403 1,109,570,434 2006 46,178,133.0 42,933,109.1 2007 991,509,745 1,088,630,104 1.1063 1,096,882,077 2007 97,120,359.0 105,372,332.4 2008 722,271,219 888,533,303 1.2391 894,986,382 2008 166,262,084.0 172,715,163.5 2009 334,768,535 624,898,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 290,129,961.0 251,910,051.5 2010 372,698,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 290,129,961.0 251,910,051.5 2010 372,698,496 1.3,021,933,529 2001-2004 (712,701) (111,932) 2000-2004 5,179,255,288 5,175,654,269 5,182,091,395 2001-2004 (712,701) (111,932)	AY Actual n-6 Actual n-5 5-Yr ATA Expected n-5 AY Actual increase Expected increase Actual - Expected 2005 1,097,265,890 1,112,068,639 1.0135 1,112,059,126 2005 14,802,749.0 14,793,235.6 9,513.4 2006 1,066,637,325 1,112,815,458 1.0403 1,109,570,434 2006 46,178,133.0 42,933,109.1 3,245,023.9 2007 991,509,745 1,088,630,104 1.1063 1,096,882,077 2007 97,120,359.0 105,372,332.4 (8,251,973.4) 2008 722,271,219 888,533,303 1.2391 894,986,382 2008 166,262,084.0 172,715,163.5 (6,453,079.5) 2009 334,768,535 624,898,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 290,129,961.0 251,910,051.5 38,219,909.5 2010 372,698,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2009 290,129,961.0 251,910,051.5 38,219,909.5 2010 372,698,496 1.7525 586,678,587 2010 2010 2014,074 (

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 11

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE



Rate changes from MarketWatch - Trucks Tractors and Trailers - Liability - 12/31/2016

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 12

Due to frequencies and severities both ticking up since 2009, overall 2013 TTT IELR went from 51.9% to 62.8%

T

Commercial Auto – CAu (3 markets) - ERLI Warning – Excess Layer 900x100k



SOLM Release 2016 (v4.2) - Development Triangle and Analysis ERLI Warning

		CY=2014	2	Ex Ante							
AY	Actual n-3	Actual n-2	5-Yr ATA	Expected n-2	AY	Actual increase	Expected	Actual - Expected		%	
2009	927,792,337	948,489,796	1.015	941,937,861	2009	20,697,459	14,145,524	6,551,935		46.3%	
2010	969,391,676	1,035,440,722	1.039	1,006,993,685	2010	66,049,046	37,602,009	28,447,037		75.7%	
2011	990,401,529	1,125,681,334	1.112	1,100,985,153	2011	135,279,805	110,583,624	24,696,181		22.3%	
2012	796,632,607	1,042,053,515	1.252	997,232,576	2012	245,420,908	200,599,969	44,820,939		22.3%	
2013	448,845,946	849,647,219	1.820	817,040,649	2013	400,801,273	368,194,703	32,606,570		8.9%	
Sum x2014	14,820,297,316	15,698,714,934		15,555,987,734	Sum x2014	878,417,618	735,690,418	142,727,200		10.4/0	
1999-2002	4,175,976,527	4,176,860,812		4,176,055,046	1999-2002	884,285	78,519	805,766	1	026.2%	
2003-2007	5,484,115,606	5,486,515,086		5,485,827,797	2003-2007	2,399,480	1,712,191	687,289		40.1%	
2008-2012	4,711,359,237	5,185,691,817		5,077,064,243	2008-2012	474,332,580	365,705,006	108,627,574		29.7%	

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

Incremental Rate Changes Through 3/31/2016 - Liability & Physical Damage



Source: ISO MarketWatch – released 6/15/2016; further details in Commercial Actuarial Panel – December 2016

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

Illustrative

Commercial Auto – TTT - ERLI Warning through 2015 – Calendar Year



Source: ISO SOLM 2016 v1 - losses developed to ultimate using 5-year VWA (refresh each year); premiums developed to ultimate using Earned Premium triangle ISO MarketWatch for Rate changes - Auto Commercial Liability - through 12/31/2015 (adjusted policy year to accident year using 6 mo policy term assumption) CY adverse development for AYs 2009-2014: approximately 40% in CY2014 (about 20% each in CY2013 and CY2012)

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

The IELR for 2016 has moved to 73.0%, up from 51.9% at 2009. Rebounded frequency, heightened severity trends, and lengthening development factors, coupled with rates that were still going down through 2012 account for the over 20 point increase.



Source: SOLM 2017v1 pre-release

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 16

T

Overall loss ratios deteriorated a bit for 2016 and prior due to further lengthening tail and adverse loss development. 2017 improved a bit due to continued rate activity, and lessened loss trends.

The current TTT loss ratio of 73.0%, is 12.5 points worse than longer term on-level average of 60.5%.



Rate Changes from MarketWatch - Trucks, Tractors and Trailers - Liability - New and Renewal Policies - 12/31/2017

Source: SOLM 2018v1 pre-release (using expanded MarketWatch method 3-new and renewal including impacts from ILFs)

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 17

V



Overall loss ratios ticked up again in 2018 due to continued higher average severity levels, lengthening tail and continued adverse development in actual vs. expected losses. The recent improvement in rates is not enough to totally reverse the higher loss levels. There has been a steady decline in these on-level results since 2009.

The current TTT loss ratio of 76.7%, is 19.2 points worse than longer term on-level average of 57.5%.

ISO Size-of-Loss Matrix



Source: SOLM 2019v1 pre-release (using expanded MarketWatch method 3-new and renewal including impacts from ILFs)

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

19 © 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved.

V

ISO Size-of-Loss Matrix

Results using power units as base vs. on-level premium produce similar indications.

Continued adverse development in calendar year 2018 across all years, with slight improvement to 2017.



Note: All triangles use 3-year VWA (3.0% detrended)

Loss Costs aer Total Losses / Power Units, Power Units are in months (multiply LC * 12 for annual cost)

Source: SOLM 2019v1 pre-release SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

20 © 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved.

 \checkmark

Commercial Auto Trend – TTT – Comparison On-level premium vs. Power Units

Illustrative

Overall increase in cost per on-level premium up by 63% per power unit, and up by 53% per on-level premium.

Small deterioration in 2018 due to continuing lengthening tails and adverse development.



Source: SOLM 2019v1 pre-release; losses developed using 7-yr VWA; uses ISO MarketWatch 12/31/2018 rate changes – CA-TTT Liability; power units in months

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

Bodily injury is a somewhat larger portion of total (71.8% vs. 70.3% in 2009), and longer average reported loss and payment duration.

BI shows higher frequency trends but lower severity trends than total and PD.



Source: SOLM 2019v1 pre-release using on-level premium as base SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

PD excess of 25k shows somewhat lower frequency trends but somewhat higher overall average severity trends, rising from 21k in 2008 to 40k in 2017 (90% increase)



Source: SOLM 2019v1 pre-release using on-level premium as base SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 23

T

For all of Commercial Auto (TTT is about half of the 8 CAu markets out of 47 total commercial markets we analyze), the current loss ratio is 78.3%, vs. long-term on-level average of 62.2%.

Recent somewhat higher overall severity trends (5.6% vs. 4.3% accounting for some of the difference).

ISO Size-of-Loss Matrix

© Insurance Services Office, Inc., 2019

Market Segment: Commercial Auto Total Commercial Auto Liability All Companies - All Hazard Groups All Causes of Loss Unlimited xs 0 Countrywide



Illustrative

Est All Yr/Curr Yr LR: 62.2% / 78.3%

7 Year Severity Trend: 5.59%

All Year Trend: 4.28%

Avg Rep / Pay Duration: Rpt 1.7 / Paid 2.8

Source: SOLM 2019v1 pre-release using on-level premium as base SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 24

Loss Ratio Analytics: View at 2019 All CAu

 \checkmark

SOLM 2019 v0.2

Total Premium 12/2018: 156,248,734,636

Total Occurrences: 8,366,671

Total Incurred \$ Indemnity+Alae (Prorata): 116,774,857,965

ISO Size-of-Loss Matrix

Regional carriers somewhat worse overall experience at 81.0% for TTT, and 20 points worse than long-term onlevel average 61%. Significant variations between regional, and all, carriers exist.



Source: SOLM 2019v1 pre-release using on-level premium as base SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 25

Loss Ratio Analytics Regional Carriers

Continued significant pressure on increased limits factors for layer 4.9M xs of 100k, going from low 20% in 2009 to above 35% currently, driven by higher frequency and steady severity trend.



Source: SOLM 2019v1 pre-release using on-level premium as base SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 26

 $\mathbf{\nabla}$



SOLM 2019 v0.2

Excess Loss Ratio Analytics

Total Premium 12/2018: 77,083,835,931

Regional carriers have worse experience than superregional or national carriers, with losses less than 100k providing much of the difference.

Faster developing companies having better experience than slower companies.

ISO Size-of-Loss Matrix

© Insurance Services Office, Inc., 2019 Market Segment: Commercial Auto



Est All Yr/Curr Yr LR: 57.5% / 76.7%

Source: SOLM 2019 v1 pre release using top 40 National Companies (market cap > \$1B); regional is <250M market cap) SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

Is There a Connection between Profitability and LDF Speed? Illustrative

Faster and slower companies generally have significantly different average case reserves at comparable maturities, with faster companies putting up reserves much faster.

We have shown a very significant link between faster reporting companies and better overall results in the 54 markets we analyze on a macro basis.







Source: Verisk Monday Webinar – 9/11/2017 (updated 2019) – John Buchanan, Marni Wasserman (recorded) <u>http://webinars.verisk.com/line-of-insurance/profitability-company-loss-development-speed/</u> SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

Commercial Auto Component of Umbrella – View at 2019

Continued significant increase in loss ratios (2.4% to 11.4%) driven by large increase in frequency per \$1M of premium since 2009 (.02 to .06). Frequency increase likely due to increased primary losses piercing umbrella attachment points.

ISO Size-of-Loss Matrix

Market Segment: Commercial Umbrella Commercial Umbrella - Commercial Auto All Companies - All Hazard Groups All Causes of Loss



Note: Loss development factors and durations use 3-year VWA and 3% detrending Rate changes from MarketWatch - Umbrella and Excess - New and Renewal Policies - 12/31/2018

Source: SOLM 2019v1 pre-release using on-level premium as base SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

Loss Ratio Analytics: View at 2019 UXS CA

 \checkmark

Personal vs. Commercial Auto – View at 2019

Personal Auto Paid Severity trends tend to be lower than that of Commercial Auto.





		7 Yr	All Yr
Liability	Personal	3.79%	2.87%
	Commercial	5.69%	4.30%
Physical	Personal	3.91%	2.51%
Damage	Commercial	3.44%	4.24%
Total	Personal	3.83%	2.76%
	Commercial	5.21%	4.29%

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

 $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$

Personal vs. Commercial Auto – View at 2019

Personal Auto Paid Frequency trends tend to be higher than that of Commercial Auto, but both sets negative.







 $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$

		7 Yr	All Yr
Liability	Personal	0.29%	-0.87%
	Commercial	0.39%	-3.01%
Physical	Personal	-0.06%	-1.20%
Damage	Commercial	-1.65%	-2.70%
Total	Personal	0.15%	-1.10%
	Commercial	-0.04%	-2.94%

Personal vs. Commercial Auto – View at 2019

Personal Auto Pure Premium trends tend to be lower than in the more recent years than that of Commercial Auto, but higher over all years.





		7 Yr	All Yr
Liability	Personal	4.08%	2.00%
	Commercial	6.10%	1.16%
Physical	Personal	3.85%	1.32%
Damage	Commercial	1.73%	1.43%
Total	Personal	3.98%	1.66%
	Commercial	5.17%	1.22%

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

 $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$

Personal vs. Commercial Auto Emerging Issues Comparison

			STANDARD CASUALTY										
	Illustrative			PAu	Total		<i>V</i>			CAu	- Total		5-
	ISSUE/TOPIC	2H 1990s	1H 2000s	2H 2000s	1H 2010s	2015-18	FUTURE	2H 1990s	1H 2000s	2H 2000s	1H 2010s	2015-18	FUTURE
	Seat Belt Laws	M					~	1	1	1	1	1	1
_/	Seat Belt Usage		L	L			1						
7	Airbags Laws/Technology	L	м	м	L	L		1	1	1	1	1	
	Automobile Design (Crash Avoidance Tech)	L	L	L	м	м	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Auto Performance		L.	L	L	L							
	Roadway Design	L	L	L				~	*	-	~	~	
	Electronic Stability Control		L	н	L	L		~	-	-	1	*	
	Vehicle Type (Unequal Size)		L	L	L	L	2						5. 5)
e.	Bumper Height		1	1	1	t							
Ę;	Graduated Licensing (Teenagers)	be	м	L	L	L	1						
S	Use of Cell Phones and other electronics		L	м	м	м	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
d	Miles Driven (Price of Gasoline)			L	L	L		1	1	1	1	1	1
L	Driving Under the Influence					м	1						
Pe	Electronic Traffic Surveilance					м	1						
-	Telematics					L	1					1	1
<u>.</u>	Ride Sharing						1					1	1
tor	Autonomous Vehicles						1						1
His	Economy (Unemployment)	1	L	м	L	1	~	~	-	×	~	1	~
	Changing Demographics (Shift to urban)						1				1	1	1
	Tort Reform	 ✓ 	~	1	1	1	1						
	Propensity to sue				L.	L					~	*	-
	Fraud			6		L							
	Climate Change			~	1	~	*	~	~	×	~	×	~

Impact Color Keys:	
Positive (Lower Frequency) (Low / Medium / High)	
Negative (Higher Frequency) (Low / Medium / High)	
No Impact/Unknown	
Blank = N/A	
✓ = Some impact expected (TBD)	

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

Loss Development and Reserve Runoff Testing



Continuing Reported Lengthening Loss Development – 4.9M xs 100k

LDF Factors continue to lengthen in 2018, but a little reduced from 2017. All views at 2018 use 3year averages – if use more recent or trend LDFs, indications would be higher.

Incurred \$ Indemnity+Alae (Prorata) Triangle

	12	24	36	48	60	72	84	96	108
AY 1997	366,271,909	629,259,713	773,865,934	866,648,011	936,800,687	968,369,262	974,701,562	977,431,450	978,621,158
AY 1998	375,354,366	636,637,520	804,314,841	934,657,849	986,336,086	1,007,993,894	1,017,451,625	1,018,677,715	1,020,692,657
AY 1999	382,086,421	650,791,328	876,836,459	1,013,540,855	1,063,679,090	1,089,741,134	1,101,707,233	1,106,121,564	1,107,005,960
AY 2000	384,090,934	694,924,486	927,390,950	1,063,681,468	1,129,598,828	1,144,832,828	1,146,667,597	1,153,557,895	1,153,278,350
AY 2001	376,491,010	669,582,765	891,592,233	1,048,999,886	1,087,254,625	1,096,062,840	1,102,186,160	1,104,970,928	1,102,823,818
AY 2002	384,387,866	673,917,695	875,373,707	970,076,282	997,124,393	1,022,631,079	1,026,986,046	1,028,554,177	1,028,597,897
AY 2003	415,623,273	723,503,548	907,994,887	1.034,112,564	1,074,303,699	1.093.818.177	1,096,480,717	1,095,317,565	1,098,265,531
AY 2004	455,593,140	786,690,773	980,695,177	1,085,326,318	1,136,075,387	1,156,759,387	1,159,294,204	1,162,875,129	1,165,006,856
AY 2005	464.620.762	790,789,101	976,101,567	1.096.675.366	1,141,596,584	1.165.315.917	1.175.241.854	1.178.780.056	1.179.408.655
AY 2006	454.875.727	785.205.740	991,201,649	1.094.043.187	1,147,973,822	1.166.006.174	1,172,234,323	1.174.864.556	1.177.526.351
AY 2007	464,391,060	785,382,389	981,301,778	1,092,746,044	1,143,956,359	1,167,873,066	1,175,399,269	1,175,956,208	1,178,927,190
AY 2008	431,490,202	714.509.258	893.011.485	996.392.925	1.039.881.463	1.057.479.705	1.067.520.984	1.070.579.626	1.070.456.918
AY 2009	323,876,450	609,296,213	772.878.835	890,726,876	937,732,714	958,937,493	961,773,794	970.627.150	974,143,438
AY 2010	357,736,004	643,776,496	842.080.312	952,478,642	1.022.986.960	1.044.946.761	1.058.527.118	1.068,499,468	1.070.530.932
AY 2011	407.872.355	754,233,242	968,743,573	1.119.846.576	1,192,455,976	1,227,671,711	1,240,185,950	1,240,002,330	.,,
AY 2012	401,185,064	764.491.867	1.014.161.145	1,156,719,841	1,251,437,219	1,276,458,076	1,283,604,801		
AY 2013	422,604,578	809.603.603	1.062.304.770	1,273,591,289	1.378.897.215	1,406,032,269			
AY 2014	465.068.242	825,406,535	1,165,767,453	1,395,065,452	1.510.052.010	il region line			
AY 2015	480 974 014	957 940 977	1 292 650 775	1 555 195 568	.in releasing to				
AY 2016	520,855,028	1 052 268 424	1 467 815 439	100011001000					
AY 2017	516 963 559	1 028 496 445	11401101010100						
AY 2019	533 179 358	1,020,400,440							
010217	10 051 005 050	40 004 000 700		00 400 070 440	05 000 000 000				
,2016,2017,2016:	10,001,000,000	19,991,009,765	21,093,042,350	23,400,072,149	20,009,000,092				
	24/12	36/24	48/36	60/48	72/60	84/72	96/84	108/96	120/108
AY 1997	1.718	1.230	1.120	1.081	1.034	1.007	1.003	1.001	1.001
AY 1998	1.696	1.263	1.162	1.055	1.022	1.009	1.001	1.002	1.001
AY 1999	1.703	1.347	1.156	1.049	1.025	1.011	1.004	1.001	1.000
AY 2000	1.809	1.335	1.147	1.062	1.013	1.002	1.006	1.000	0.999
AY 2001	1.778	1.332	1.177	1.036	1.008	1.006	1.003	0.998	1.000
AY 2002	1.753	1.299	1.108	1.028	1.026	1.004	1.002	1.000	0.999
AY 2003	1.741	1.255	1.139	1.039	1.018	1.002	0.999	1.003	1.001
AY 2004	1.727	1.247	1.107	1.047	1.018	1.002	1.003	1.002	1.000
AY 2005	1.702	1.234	1,124	1.041	1.021	1.009	1.003	1.001	1.001
AY 2006	1.726	1.262	1.104	1.049	1.016	1.005	1.002	1.002	0.998
AY 2007	1,691	1,249	1,114	1.047	1.021	1.006	1.000	1.003	1.001
AY 2008	1,656	1.250	1.116	1.044	1.017	1.009	1.003	1.000	1.003
AY 2009	1.881	1.268	1,152	1.053	1.023	1.003	1.009	1.004	1.002
AY 2010	1.800	1,308	1.131	1.074	1.021	1.013	1.009	1.002	
AY 2011	1.849	1,284	1,156	1.065	1.030	1.010	1.000		
AY 2012	1.906	1.327	1.141	1.082	1.020	1.006			
AY 2013	1,916	1.312	1.199	1.083	1.020				
AY 2014	1 775	1 412	1 197	1 082					
	1 992	1 349	1 203						
AY 2015	2 020	1 395	1.203						
AY 2015 AY 2016									
AY 2015 AY 2016 AY 2017	1 989								

35 © 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved.



Illustrative

TTT - Reserve Run-off Test @12/31/2018 - 4.9M xs 100k

30.0% CA-TTT - All Carriers - 4,900,000 xs 100,000 ALAE + sso1 25.0% Irred 20.0% 16.5% 16.0% I5.0% 12.4% 11.9% /t, 10.0% 9.7% 5.4% 4.1% Year's Adverse 5.0% 3.1% -2.7% 0.0% 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 Prior -5.0% Calendar Year (Split Out By Accident Year) AY2008 AY2009 AY2010 AY2011 AY2012 AY2013 AY2013 AY2014 AY2015 AY2016 AY2017

ISO SOLM 2019 v0.2 - Development Triangle and Analysis Ex-ante Reserving Analysis Runoff Tests (through 12/31/2018) Market Analysis: CA-TTT - All Carriers

Comparing to initial selected

excess losses at 12 months using

produces deterioration over 10%

for accident years 2009 to 2015.

All subsequent years continue

the same pattern of deterioration.

a mechanical 7-year average,

Market Analysis: Assumptions:

	Select Plettic fiele.			CIZOIO	GIZOII	CIZOIO	GIZUIS	GIZOIH	GIZOIS	GIZUIZ	CIZOII	CIZOIO	012003	C12000	GIZOUT	CIZOUU	CILOUS	C12004	012003	CILOUL	012001
	Ultimate Est.																				
	INCURRED @12	Adverse (Fav)																			
% Adv (Fav)	mos	Devt	AY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
6.0%	914,672,523	55,004,345	2000	(149,526)	(12,969)	(32,997)	(268,198)	428,556	751,064	(607,756)	802,375	(1,248,338)	(1,738,864)	(1,800,048)	3,788,037	(8,528,913)	(14,752,487)	933,219	363,731	37,287,245	39,790,214
1.9%	1,030,520,935	19,423,691	2001	204,987	44,811	(353,667)	883,153	(257,936)	427,423	(1,056,698)	(838,452)	13,492	(3,136,207)	(1,181,726)	(1,578,881)	(16,157,672)	(26,084,853)	26,631,971	24,387,872	17,476,075	
-5.6%	1,096,950,177	(61,799,427)	2002	29,377	(98,759)	313,757	487,981	910,625	1,060,439	286,885	(1,443,291)	(275,238)	(1,900,583)	(2,526,976)	5,706,522	(27,315,471)	(39,148,821)	(2,453,974)	4,568,099		
-5.5%	1,187,871,265	(64,905,451)	2003	(116,164)	157,291	(216,500)	(864,367)	(691,995)	(1,003,319)	619,789	2,655,488	(4,539,423)	(4,261,782)	(2,806,925)	(12,971,455)	(5,818,594)	(33,973,135)	(1,074,359)			
-6.9%	1,312,665,287	(90,975,121)	2004	354,180	739,903	208,821	206,039	(2,131,805)	(714,802)	1,408,631	716,088	(4,123,857)	(2,482,540)	(3,015,372)	(36,926,814)	(37,827,231)	(7,386,359)				
6 3%	1 313 620 072	(82 010 355)	2005	(397 442)	039 58	(800 944)	261 390	720 368	(231.440)	573 299	3 965 394	2 723 306	(4 767 989)	(17 515 421)	(48 218 206)	(19 315 629)					
-4.6%	1 276 368 489	(58 142 154)	2005	(616 380)	145 709	392 731	(1 997 987)	2 030 150	(614 421)	314 577	(2 961 498)	6 469 934	(32 136 502)	(21 497 773)	(7 670 694)	(13,513,023)					
-4.0%	1 296 167 825	(52 158 111)	2007	(1 362 517)	211 376	1 258 824	2 079 641	(2 401 203)	2 514 893	4 415 721	3 761 524	(14, 779, 587)	(22 584 477)	(25 272 307)	(1,010,001)						
-3 7%	1 169 694 858	(43 279 772)	2008	(1 412 994)	3 029 016	(1.371.196)	1 236 736	4 752 053	(1 263 954)	2 367 386	(7 188 410)	(11 828 489)	(31 599 920)	(20,212,001)							
13 196	851 722 167	111 698 428	2009	1 735 191	2 130 288	7 171 242	(2 463 517)	3 017 390	9 350 505	28 494 379	7 704 480	54 558 469	(31,333,323)								
13.170	031,122,101	111,030,420	2005	1,133,131	2,130,200	1,111,242	(2,403,311)	3,011,330	3,330,303	20,434,313	1,104,400	54,550,405									
12.4%	934,214,652	116,007,280	2010	20,484	7,117,893	7,962,160	2,541,704	27,196,581	8,512,767	36,248,920	26,406,771		Minimum	Maximum	Actual VS Exped	cted Developm	ient: AT X CT				
12.3%	1,055,367,249	129,521,817	2011	(5,321,642)	4,135,007	12,042,194	16,400,437	35,057,529	19,655,255	47,553,038			-3.7%	-1.3%	16 F	avorable developr	nent				
14.4%	1,060,400,343	152,610,021	2012	(3,121,749)	(1,503,602)	33,443,159	13,511,654	47,818,767	62,461,791				-1.3%	-0.1%	40 8	iomewhat favorabl	le				
16.3%	1,144,654,195	186,117,036	2013	(1,907,974)	29,711,290	73,296,020	27,524,627	57,493,073					-0.1%	0.1%	54 V	Vithin +-0.1% of orig	ginal estimate				
13.2%	1,307,956,545	173,239,388	2014	24,590,126	60,168,874	104,304,556	(15,824,168)						0.1%	2.7%	40 9	iomewhat adverse	•				
12.7%	1,379,052,998	174,653,885	2015	57,482,486	35,873,479	81,297,920							2.7%	8.0%	21 A	dverse developm	ent				
9.1%	1,605,890,677	146,562,758	2016	71,540,558	75,022,200																
2.8%	1,666,424,210	46,137,114	2017	46,137,114																	
										· · ·											

Sources: Using pre-release SOLM 2019 v2 – mechanical selections of VWA (100% 7-year)

Incurred \$ Indemnity+Alae (Included); 4,900,000 xs 100,000; 7 yr VWA (100% wt); 3.0% detrended threshold

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 36

Illustrative

 \checkmark

© Insurance Services Office, Inc., 2019 SOLM 2019 v0.2

TTT - ERLI Warning through 12/31/2018

Calendar year 2018 shows adverse development with slight improvement over 2017 for TTT, with 2016 worse and 2015 a small lull.

Adverse development across all AYs.





Sources: Using pre-release SOLM 2019 v1 - mechanical selections of VWA (100% 7-year)

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 37

Illustrative

PPT - ERLI Warning through 12/31/2018

Calendar year 2018 second worse year for adverse development for PPT, continuing lag vs. TTT shown previously.

Adverse development across all AYs.





Sources: Using pre-release SOLM 2019 v1 – mechanical selections of VWA (100% 7-year)

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 38

Illustrative

Commercial Auto – Comparing TTT to PPT – Calendar Year

TTT had its deterioration show up earlier than PPT, with over two thirds appearing by 2016 for TTT, while PPT did not show its first meaningful deterioration until 2013.



Sources: Using pre-release SOLM 2019 v1 – mechanical selections of VWA (100% 7-year)

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

TTT XS - ERLI Warning through 12/31/2018

Excess TTT adverse development is higher than ground-up for 2018, continuing overall pattern of 2016 worse and 2015 a small lull.

Adverse development across all AYs.





Sources: Using pre-release SOLM 2019 v1 - mechanical selections of VWA (100% 7-year)

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 40

Illustrative

 \mathbf{v}

All CAu – Reserve Run-off Test @12/31/2018 – # xs 100k

Comparing to initial selected + ALAE excess loss frequencies at 12 19.7% 20.0% months using a mechanical 7-055 16.8% 16.7% Incurred I year average, produces 15.0% deterioration over 10% for 9.9% 9.6% Prior Year's Adverse Dev't / Initial 10.0% 9.0% accident years 2011 to 2015. 5.0% All years from 2009 have large 1.1% -6.4% loss deterioration -0.2% 0.0% 2012 2009 2010 2011 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 -5.0% ISO SOLM 2019 v0.2 - Development Triangle and Analysis Ex-ante Reserving Analysis Runoff Tests (through 12/31/2018) -10.0% Calendar Year Market Analysis: CA - All Carriers Assumptions: Incurred # Occurrence Indemnity: 4,900,000 xs 100,000; 7 yr VWA (100% wt); 3,0% detrended threshold Select Metric h Ultimate Est. INCURRED @12 6 Adv (Fa 3.9% 1.2% -4.4% 6,34 2000 2001 2002 250 158 m (3) 181 12.861 (6) (92) (265) 12,445 (553) (6) (203) (6) (7) (5) (6) (226 19 -2.9% -5.6% 11,580 12,343 (331) (697) 2003 2004 648 (15) (269) (194 (1) 12,545 -6.6% (830) 2005 (6) (310) (279) ເອງ (4) (12) (34 (154) (914) (489) (349) 235 -7.3% 2006 [12] (4) 11 (171 (197 (523) -4.1% 11,891 2007 (258) 10,035 8,140 -3.5% 2008 2009 (4) (4) 7 68 124 (259) (309) 2.9% 71 (289) 8,251 7,918 8,228 8,407 2010 2011 2012 2013 Actual vs Expected Development: AY x CY 5.4% 447 147 140 107 14.9% 1,181 Favorable development -4.2% -1.5% 1,054 -1.5% -0.1% 12.8% 132 153 -0.1% Somewhat favorable 15.0% 0.1% Within +-0.1% of original estimate 14.6% 13.9% 1,290 0.1% 8,811 9,581 2014 2015 2.5% Somewhat adverse 34 Adverse development 5.4% 11,257 609 2016

25.0%

Sources: Using pre-release SOLM 2019 v2 – mechanical selections of VWA (100% 7-year)

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

11.562

404

2017

3 5%

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 41

Illustrative

 \checkmark



TTT Paid - ERLI Warning through 12/31/2017 - GU

A check of payment patterns, also shows continuing adverse development or a lengthening of the tail. In particular in CY 2016 to CY2018, so not just a case reserve issue. Why?



Paid \$ Indemnity+Alae (Prorata) Triangle

	24/12	36/24	48/36	60/48	72/60	84/72	96/84	108/96
AY 1997	2.028	1.409	1.189	1.101	1.049	1.017	1.008	1.005
AY 1998	1.993	1.430	1.208	1.097	1.038	1.018	1.007	1.004
AY 1999	2.042	1.424	1.216	1.090	1.041	1.020	1.007	1.003
AY 2000	1.993	1.426	1.207	1.106	1.040	1.015	1.007	1.004
AY 2001	1.989	1.440	1.208	1.103	1.040	1.015	1.007	1.003
AY 2002	2.001	1.438	1.212	1.092	1.036	1.019	1.005	1.003
AY 2003	2.085	1.455	1.241	1.109	1.037	1.014	1.005	1.004
AY 2004	2.120	1.454	1.210	1.094	1.043	1.015	1.006	1.004
AY 2005	2.092	1.415	1.223	1.096	1.038	1.015	1.007	1.004
AY 2006	2.026	1.451	1.218	1.100	1.043	1.016	1.007	1.003
AY 2007	2.071	1.398	1.210	1.103	1.039	1.016	1.005	1.004
AY 2008	2.066	1.410	1.222	1.097	1.040	1.017	1.007	1.005
AY 2009	1.991	1.410	1.238	1.107	1.042	1.018	1.010	1.005
AY 2010	2.020	1.451	1.228	1.115	1.043	1.019	1.011	1.004
AY 2011	2.096	1.419	1.227	1.119	1.053	1.022	1.009	
AY 2012	2.097	1.438	1.244	1.121	1.047	1.021		
AY 2013	2.069	1.451	1.277	1.131	1.048			
AY 2014	2.079	1.482	1.267	1.139	a second and a second as			
AY 2015	2.092	1.506	1.288					
AY 2016	2.203	1.517						
AY 2017	2,158	and a second second						

Sources: Using pre-release SOLM 2019 v1 - mechanical selections of VWA (50% all-year, 50% 5-year)

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

Commercial Umbrella – Auto – View at 2019

Comparing to initial selected

mechanical 7-year average, produces significant CY2018

losses at 12 months using a

deterioration after high

deterioration in 2017.

All CAu – Reserve Run-off Test @12/31/2018 – GU

ISO SOLM 2019 v0.2 - Development Triangle and Analysis Ex-ante Reserving Analysis Runoff Tests (through 12/31/2018)

Market Analysis: UXS-Auto - All Carriers Assumptions: Incurred \$ Indemnity+Alae (Prorata); Unlimited xs 0; 7 yr VWA (100% wt); 3.0% detrended threshold

Select Metric here:				CY2018	CY2017	CY2016	CY2015	CY2014	CY2013	CY2012	CY2011	CY2010	
		Estimated											
		INCURRED Ultimate	Adverse (Fav)										
	% Adv (Fav)	@12 mos	Devt	AY	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	-73.7%	230,210,862	(169,722,486)	2009	(710)	2,532,801	810,765	(1,414,768)	2,356,989	6,333,567	(9,700,945)	(17,239,662)	(153,400,523)
	21.6%	94,737,397	20,442,459	2010	9,125,098	1,059,538	(9,987,842)	(4,095,169)	(1,839,024)	12,009,676	9,758,908	4,411,274	
	-21.6%	236,731,435	(51,127,524)	2011	(1,946,037)	(14,261,446)	13,883,207	12,372,749	(5,654,882)	(24,397,227)	(31,123,890)	100000000000000	
	-2.5%	213,261,455	(5,289,341)	2012	(2,698,184)	(4,908,051)	(17,673,596)	9,274,371	(6,379,284)	17,095,402	and the second		
	12.0%	246,877,450	29,622,613	2013	13,905,823	6,299,364	(16,784,871)	29,045,260	(2,842,964)				
	-3.4%	427,603,083	(14,447,560)	2014	10,848,190	14,841,557	11,273,396	(51,410,703)					
	41.6%	281,427,281	117,118,390	2015	32,316,874	49,140,312	35,661,204						
	2.8%	587,153,270	16,408,668	2016	7,052,625	9,356,043							
	-0.4%	403,745,084	(1,800,801)	2017	(1,800,801)								

Sources: Using pre-release SOLM 2019 v2 - mechanical selections of VWA (100% 7-year)

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE



© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 43

Illustrative

Appendix



© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved.

44

Incremental Rate Changes Through 12/31/2017 – Renewal Policies

Illustrative



Source: ISO MarketWatch - released 3/22/2018; further details in Commercial Actuarial Panel - December 2016

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

Sample Price Monitors - Commercial Auto Liability – New and Renewal Policies

Renewal vs. New and renewal rate changes show different patterns throughout the underwriting cycle. For example, renewal policies

show a reduction of about 2% in 2009 and 2010, while new and renewal (adjusted for different average attachment and limits offered), shows a reduction of closer to 7% and 5% (-8% in 2008).

Note: Renewal Policies (Standard MarketWatch) - the # of policies underlying this policy level method is shown by the height of the grey bar. The black line represents the incremental rate changes. This method analyzes policy level data, only including policies with a common footprint from year to year for limit, attachment, capping, etc.

New and Renewal Policies (Expanded MW) - the # of policies underlying this company level method is shown by the total height of the grey and blue bars. The blue line represents the incremental rate changes. This method analyzes company level data from year to year, excluding companies for a particular year that have significant changes. This method does not include impacts due to the average number or type of exposures underlying the policy counts.

Limit/Attachment Adjusted - includes adjustments for aggregated limit and attachment differences using MILD for casualty lines (no adjustment for property).

The total # of policies issued by line of business is the total height of all 3 bars (the bar height is the current year policy counts, rather than the prior year). The largest reported exposure bases (by policy count) for this line are: Car Months 89%, Employee Months 7%, Cost of Hire 1%

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 46

 \checkmark

Total Commercial Auto Industry – New and Renewal Policies

There are significant rate change differences by renewal vs new/renewal, state, month, year, premium size, aggregated peer groups such as regional/superregional/national, line of business and market.

Note: MarketWatch Dashboard (expected release 3Q2018); vlues shown may not match options selected serve | add value | INNOVATE

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 47

Illustrative

Total Commercial Auto Industry – New and Renewal Policies

There are significant rate change differences by renewal vs new/renewal, state, month, year, premium size, aggregated peer groups such as regional/superregional/national, line of business and market.

© 2019 Insurance Services Office, Inc. All rights reserved. 48

Illustrative

Industry Comparative Gauges – Hypothetical Sample: Alabama – July 2018 (Renewal only)

Holistic View of Rate Changes, Loss Trends and Loss Ratios

Combining rate changes, severity, frequency trends (including any exposure trends), will produce pressures on ultimate expected loss ratios.

Sources: ISO MarketWatch (* Preliminary through 4Q2018; not including aggregate changes in limits and attachment points) SOLM (Using pre-release SOLM 2019 v1 data as of 12/31/2018, on-leveled using new and renewal rate changes for Commercial Auto through 12/31/2018)

SERVE | ADD VALUE | INNOVATE

Personal vs. Commercial Auto Emerging Issues Comparison

Frequency Trend Assessment Matrix – Overview and Steps Reconciling Expected Impacts on Historical Trend Indications

Overview: Apply knowledge from internal and external sources

- Assess qualitative impacts affecting individual lines of business
- Evaluate impacts on combinations of lines under an ERM framework; historical and emerging

1. Start with a survey list of potential historical issues or topics

- e.g. impact of seat belt laws for Personal Auto or MPL under various time frames

2. Assess whether each item would have a positive or negative impact

- e.g. expected to reduce (positive) or increase (negative) the frequency trend, no impact or unknown
- 3. Attempt to quantify impact of each item
 - Low, medium, high, or unknown

4. Reconcile various impact items, direction and magnitude, on historical frequency trend indications

- Eyeball axiom do the two visuals line up across the time periods included?
- Perhaps more rigorous trend analysis confidence level tests can be applied

5. Do the same for:

- Across line impacts under ERM (e.g. economy, climate change, etc.)
- Severity impacts and other items in Benchmark Assessment Matrix
- Future emerging issues

51

No part of this presentation may be copied or redistributed without the prior written consent of Insurance Services Office, Inc. This material was used exclusively as an exhibit to an oral presentation. It may not be, nor should it be relied upon as reflecting, a complete record of the discussion.

© Insurance Services Office, Inc., 2019

http://www.verisk.com/iso/excess-reinsurance

