

OBJECTIVE: DESCRIBE AN APPROACH TO DEVELOP PROFIT LOADS OR EVALUATE REINSURANCE COSTS IN RATE FILINGS FOR LINES WITH CATASTROPHE EXPOSURE

Why??

- Costs of bearing cat risk are very high
- In some lines/states comprise majority of premium
- Justifying rate level to cover costs can be issue in regulation
- Understanding risk financing options is important for insurers

Milliman

STANDARD RATEMAKING PROCEDURE

Premium = E[Loss] + Exp + Net Cost of Reinsurance + Profit

Typical concerns in reviewing rates:

- Net cost of reinsurance can be very high
- Not all catastrophe risk is reinsured
- Retained risk requires market equivalent compensation

Rate approval process may become highly politicized



ALTERNATIVE TO RISK ADJUSTED ROE/LEVERAGE

• Purpose of this presentation is to develop alternative method of estimating proper compensation for risk

 Vehicle is returns demanded by investors in capital markets; provides unbiased estimator of risk premium for catastrophe exposure

Market is market for Insurance Linked Securities (i.e., catastrophe bonds)

Milliman

HOW DO CAT BONDS WORK?

- 1. Sponsor (insurer) establishes SPV to issue bonds and sell reinsurance
- 2. SPV sells bonds to investors: proceeds deposited in collateral account earning LIBOR
- 3. Sponsor pays premium to issuer, enabling issuer to pay interest in excess of LIBOR on bonds
- 4. If specified event occurs, SPV pays sponsor funds withdrawn from collateral account
- 5. At maturity, any remaining funds from collateral account repaid to investors

C Milliman



- PFL Probability of First Loss
- CEL Conditional Expected Loss E[Loss|Event]
- EL Expected Value of Loss = PFL * CEL
- EER Expected Excess Return (Yield Spread EL)
- Relative Yield Spread (Yield Spread/EL)
- Profit Multiple (Yield Spread EL)/EL

TYPICAL CAT BOND DATA										
Month	Year	Yield Spread	Long PFL	Term Proba	ability EL	EE	R	Rel. Risk Premium	Profit Multiple	Amount (in Mill)
4	2007	3.19%	0.77%	70.00%	0.54%	2.6	5%	5.9	4.9	150
4	2007	6.34%	2.20%	88.00%	1.94%	4.4	0%	3.3	2.3	100
5	2007	6.08%	0.59%	71.00%	0.42%	5.6	6%	14.5	13.5	155
5	2007	7.86%	1.02%	75.00%	0.77%	7.0	19%	10.3	9.3	100
5	2007	5.32%	0.98%	85.00%	0.83%	4.4	9%	6.4	5.4	500
6	2007	2.03%	0.09%	59.00%	0.06%	1.9	7%	33.8	32.8	60
6	2007	3.04%	0.16%	38.00%	0.06%	2.9	8%	50.0	49.0	140
5	2007	14.19%	5.73%	81.00%	4.62%	9.5	7%	3.1	2.1	100
			Source: Lane	a Financial LLC	C, Annual Secu	uritization	Revie	iws		
z Milliman										

CATASTROPHE BOND PROFIT MULTIPLES ALL CAT BONDS ISSUED 2006 - 2009							
Probability	2006	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008-09</u>				
Less than 0.4%	11.79	38.48	35.16				
1% to 0.4%	8.35	6.90	7.90				
2% to 1%	4.43	4.07	4.69				
5% to 2%	4.25	2.66	4.68				
10% to 5%	2.23	1.47	2.14				
20% to 10%	2.25	0.96	N/A				
All Bonds	4.51	5.45	6.53				
Source: Lane Fir	ancial LLC, Annual Securitization Reviews						
8			Milliman				



CATASTROPHE BOND PROFIT MULTIPLES ALL CAT BONDS ISSUED 2006 - 2009							
Probability	All Years						
Less than 0.4%	15.70						
1% to 0.4%	8.08						
2% to 1%	5.53						
5% to 2%	4.28						
10% to 5%	2.15						
20% to 10%	2.05						
All Bonds	6.27						
Source: Lane Financial LLC, Annual So	cutization Reviews						
9	🗅 Milliman						



USING THE DATA – PROFIT LOADS

Data Requirements

- Aggregate loss distribution (modeled losses) split between retained/ceded by layer
- Retained loss by layer as % of premium
- Profit multiples by layer

10

Estimate investor required profit by layer as product of retained loss by layer*profit multiple

Layer	Expected Loss	Probability of Attachment	Probability of Exhaustion	Percentage of Expected Loss in Layer
Above 250 yr	\$1,467,101	0.40%	0	7.3%
100-yr to 250-yr	\$1,833,907	1.00%	0.40%	9.2%
50-yr to 100-yr	\$2,214,237	2.00%	1.00%	11.19
20-yr to 50-yr	\$4,346,094	5.00%	2.00%	21.79
10-yr to 20-yr	\$4,081,090	10.00%	5.00%	20.4%
5-yr to 10-yr	\$3,788,181	20.00%	10.00%	18.9%
Below 5-yr	\$2,269,390	100.00%	20.00%	11.3%
Total	\$20,000,000			100.0%



MORE TYPICAL COMPANY LOSS DATA							
Layer (\$ Million)	Expected Loss	Percentage Expected loss in layer	Probability of Attachment (years)	Probability of Attachment (percent)	Probability of Exhaustion (percent)		
2,000 & Up	1,981,064	9.6%	125.0	0.8%	0.0%		
1,600-2,000	577,035	2.8%	94.0	1.1%	0.8%		
1350-1,600	968,759	4.7%	74.3	1.3%	1.1%		
1200-1350	292,690	1.4%	67.2	1.5%	1.3%		
800-1200	3,013,864	14.5%	30.0	3.3%	1.5%		
350-800	4,278,139	20.6%	12.2	8.2%	3.3%		
0-350	9,616,270	46.4%	1.0	100.0%	8.2%		
Total	20,727,820	100.0%					
12					Milliman		



Layer	Probability of Attachment (percent)	Provision for Gross Loss: % Proposed Prem.	Ceded % age	Provision for Retained Loss: % Proposed Prem.	Profit Multiple for Layer	Additional needed profit
2,000 & Up	0.80%	2.82%	0.00%	2.82%	10.0	28.20%
1,600-2,000	1.10%	0.82%	0.00%	0.82%	7.0	5.74%
1350-1,600	1.30%	1.38%	90.00%	0.14%	6.0	0.84%
1200-1350	1.50%	0.42%	70.00%	0.12%	5.0	0.60%
800-1200	3.30%	4.29%	88.60%	0.49%	3.0	1.47%
350-800	8.20%	6.08%	87.60%	0.76%	2.0	1.52%
0-350	100.00%	13.67%	0.00%	13.67%	0.0	0.00%
Total						38.37%

SUPPORTING REINSURANCE COSTS

• Main issue is high cost of reinsurance

14

- Reinsurers charge significant margins to absorb risk of catastrophe losses
- This implies profit component of reinsurance rate can be sizable portion of total reinsurance premium
- Net cost of reinsurance is often contentious issue in rate approval process

Common concern is level of "reinsurance recovery ratio" – the % of reinsurance premium attributable to expected loss recovery

USING CAT BOND DATA TO ASSESS REINSURANCE COSTS						
Catastrophe Bond Profit Multiples All Catastrophe Bonds Issued 2006 - 2009						
Probability	Average Profit Multiple	Relative Yield Spread	Average Recovery <u>Ratio</u>			
Less than 0.4%	15.70	16.70	6.0%			
2% to 1%	5.53	6.53	15.3%			
10% to 5%	4.28 2.15	5.28 3.15	31.7%			
20% to 10%	2.05	3.05	32.8%			
All Bonds	6.27	7.27	13.8%			
Source: Lane Financial LLC, Annual Securitization Reviews						
15			L Milliman			



SUMMARY

- Capital market data can provide useful information on the cost of catastrophe risk transfer
- Cost in capital markets is pure cost of risk
- Use of capital market data avoids questions of target ROE, leverage, investment income, etc.
- Markets for cat bonds are becoming more efficient: more insurers, more transactions and larger volume

Evidence from market is:

16

COST OF CATASTROPHE RISK IS HIGH