

CAS Ratemaking and Product Management (RPM) Seminar

RR-3: Quantifying Risk Load for Property Catastrophe Exposure

ALLOCATION OF REINSURANCE COSTS/
RISK LOAD TO TERRITORY


David Chernick, FCAS, MAAA
Consulting Actuary
Milliman, Inc.
March 16, 2010
Chicago, Illinois



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory
Background

- Ratemaking done for individual states
- Cost of countrywide aggregate catastrophe reinsurance needs to be allocated to state
- State reinsurance costs/risk loads need to be allocated to territory
- In this presentation, I use hypothetical Cat model output to allocate statewide reinsurance/risk load to territory
- For simplicity, analysis will include three territories
- Same methodology can be used to allocate the net cost of a countrywide or multiple state aggregate catastrophe cover to state


1



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory
Background

- What is the statewide reinsurance/risk load?
- Assume Catastrophe Bond multiples approximate the cost of reinsurance for those layers covered by reinsurance
- Another application
- Use Catastrophe Bond profit multiples to derive statewide reinsurance/risk load

2



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory

**Derivation of Statewide Risk Load
Determine Occurrence Probability Layers**

Attachment Point	Probability of Attaching	Attachment Point Return Period	Exhaustion Point	Probability of Exhausting	Exhaustion Point Return Period
\$0	100.0%	n/a	\$8,426,522	20.0%	1 in 5
8,426,522	20.0%	1 in 5	36,006,904	10.0%	1 in 10
36,006,904	10.0%	1 in 10	93,897,520	5.0%	1 in 20
93,897,520	5.0%	1 in 20	232,270,545	2.0%	1 in 50
232,270,545	2.0%	1 in 50	386,063,348	1.0%	1 in 100
386,063,348	1.0%	1 in 100	666,260,955	0.4%	1 in 250
666,260,955	0.4%	1 in 250	Unlimited	0.0%	n/a

3



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory

**Derivation of Statewide Risk Load
Determine Statewide Reinsurance/Risk Load**

Layer	(1) Expected Loss	(2) Cat Bond Profit Multiple	(3) = (1) x (2) Indicated Risk Load
Up to 1 in 5	\$2,269,390	0.00	\$0
1 in 5 to 1 in 10	3,788,181	2.05	7,781,615
1 in 10 to 1 in 20	4,081,090	2.15	8,757,558
1 in 20 to 1 in 50	4,346,094	4.28	18,579,867
1 in 50 to 1 in 100	2,214,237	5.53	12,237,904
1 in 100 to 1 in 250	1,833,907	8.08	14,818,109
1 in 250 and Higher	1,467,101	15.70	23,033,662
Total	\$20,000,000		\$85,208,717

Average Profit Multiple Across All Layers: 4.26

4



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory

Statewide Rate Level Indication

- Hypothetically constructed using the relationships in data from various homeowner rate filings
- Assumptions:
 - Reinsurance/risk load provision is 4.26 times the expected hurricane loss
 - The expected non-hurricane losses are three times the expected hurricane losses
 - Fixed expense provision is \$50 and variable expense provision is 20%

5



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory

Hypothetical Statewide Rate Level Indication
Pure Premium Methodology

(1)	Non-Hurricane Loss Provision	247
(2)	Hurricane Loss Provision	82
(3)	Reinsurance Cost/Risk Load	351
(4)	Fixed Expense Provision	50
(5)	Total	731
(6)	Variable Expense Provision	20.0%
(7)	Average Rate	914

(5) = (1) + (2) + (3) + (4)
(7) = (5) / (1 - (6))

6



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory Selection of Three Territories

	Territory A (Coast)	Territory B (Inland)	Territory C (Far Inland)
Percentage Statewide AIY	0.5%	7.2%	26.6%
Expected Hurricane Loss per AIY	2.47	0.33	0.06
% Statewide Expected Hurricane Loss	4.1%	7.2%	5.2%

7



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory Methods of Allocating Reinsurance Costs to Territory

- Examined homeowner rate filings
- Four methods:
 - Flat Dollar Amount per Policy
 - Proportional to Premium
 - Proportional to Expected Losses
 - Proportional to Contribution to Statewide Variance

8



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory

Flat Dollar Amount per Policy

	<u>Territory A</u>	<u>Territory B</u>	<u>Territory C</u>
(1) Non-Hurricane Loss Provision	\$247	\$247	\$247
(2) Hurricane Loss Provision	\$705	\$83	\$16
(3) Reinsurance Cost/Risk Load	\$351	\$351	\$351
(4) Fixed Expense Provision	\$50	\$50	\$50
(5) Total	\$1,353	\$732	\$664
(6) Variable Expense Provision	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
(7) Average Rate	\$1,692	\$914	\$830

9



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory

Percentage of Premium

	<u>Territory A</u>	<u>Territory B</u>	<u>Territory C</u>
(1) Non-Hurricane Loss Provision	\$247	\$247	\$247
(2) Hurricane Loss Provision	\$705	\$83	\$16
(3) Reinsurance Cost/Risk Load	\$927	\$352	\$290
(4) Fixed Expense Provision	\$50	\$50	\$50
(5) Total	\$1,929	\$732	\$603
(6) Variable Expense Provision	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
(7) Average Rate	\$2,411	\$915	\$754

10



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory

Proportion to Expected Hurricane Loss

	<u>Territory A</u>	<u>Territory B</u>	<u>Territory C</u>
(1) Non-Hurricane Loss Provision	\$247	\$247	\$247
(2) Hurricane Loss Provision	\$705	\$83	\$16
(3) Reinsurance Cost/Risk Load	\$3,003	\$354	\$68
(4) Fixed Expense Provision	\$50	\$50	\$50
(5) Total	\$4,005	\$735	\$381
(6) Variable Expense Provision	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
(7) Average Rate	\$5,006	\$918	\$477

11



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory

Proportion to Contribution to Statewide Variance

	Territory A	Territory B	Territory C
(1) Non-Hurricane Loss Provision	\$247	\$247	\$247
(2) Hurricane Loss Provision	\$705	\$83	\$16
(3) Reinsurance Cost/Risk Load	\$3,587	\$366	\$57
(4) Fixed Expense Provision	\$50	\$50	\$50
(5) Total	\$4,589	\$747	\$370
(6) Variable Expense Provision	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
(7) Average Rate	\$5,736	\$933	\$462

12



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory

Summary of Methods

	Territory A	Territory B	Territory C
Flat Dollar Amount per Policy	\$1,692	\$914	\$830
Proportional to Premium	\$2,411	\$915	\$754
Proportional to Expected Hurricane Loss	\$5,006	\$918	\$477
Proportion to Contribution to Statewide Variance	\$5,736	\$933	\$462
Statewide Average Rate:	\$914		

13



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory

Choice of Allocation Method Important

- Significant variation in average rate by territory
- Allocation using expected hurricane losses can understate cost in territories with higher hurricane losses and overstate in those with lower hurricane losses
- Alternative:
 - Use the Catastrophe Bond market profit multiples

14



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory
A New Alternative

- Use profit multiples from Catastrophe Bond market
- AAL available from catastrophe models by territory
- AAL statewide was allocated to layer in earlier slide
- Assume for each event losses in each layer allocated to territory proportional to AAL by territory for all layers
- Apply profit multiples from Catastrophe Bond market to AAL in each layer for each territory
- Sum each territory across the layers to produce an indicated risk load in each territory
- Allocate the statewide reinsurance cost/risk load using the indicated territory risk load

15



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory

Using CAT Bond Multiples

	<u>Territory A</u>	<u>Territory B</u>	<u>Territory C</u>
(1) Non-Hurricane Loss Provision	\$247	\$247	\$247
(2) Hurricane Loss Provision	\$705	\$83	\$16
(3) Reinsurance Cost/Risk Load	\$3,357	\$367	\$61
(4) Fixed Expense Provision	\$50	\$50	\$50
(5) Total	\$4,359	\$747	\$374
(6) Variable Expense Provision	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
(7) Average Rate	\$5,449	\$934	\$467

16



Allocation of Reinsurance Costs/Risk Load to Territory

Summary of All Methods

	<u>Territory A</u>	<u>Territory B</u>	<u>Territory C</u>
Flat Dollar Amount per Policy	\$1,692	\$914	\$830
Proportional to Premium	\$2,411	\$915	\$754
Proportional to Expected Hurricane Loss	\$5,006	\$918	\$477
Proportion to Contribution to Statewide Variance	\$5,736	\$933	\$462
CAT Bond Multiples	\$5,449	\$934	\$467
Statewide Average Rate:	\$914		

17



Questions?
