

Limited Fluctuation – Example 1

- Calculate the expected loss ratio, given that the prior estimated loss ratio is 75%. Assume $P=95\%$ and $k=10\%$.

- Scenario 1:

Data: Observed loss ratio = 67%, Claim count = 400

- What is the standard for full credibility?
- Does this data have full credibility?
- What is the expected loss ratio?

- Answer:

- For $P=95\%$ and $k=10\%$, the number of claims needed is 384.
Since we have 400, the data is considered fully credible.

- Remember, $E2 = Z \cdot T + (1-Z) \cdot E1$

$$E2 = 1 \times 67\% + (1 - 1) \times 75\%$$

$$E2 = 67\%$$

1

Limited Fluctuation – Example 1 (continued)

- Calculate the loss ratio, given that the prior estimated loss ratio is 75%. Assume $P=95\%$ and $k=10\%$.

- Scenario 2:

Data: Observed loss ratio = 67%, Claim count = 200

- Assuming $Z = 0.72$, what is the expected loss ratio?

- Answer:

$$E2 = Z \cdot T + (1-Z) \cdot E1$$

$$E2 = 0.72 \times 67\% + (1 - 0.72) \times 75\%$$

$$E2 = 69.2\%$$

2

Limited Fluctuation – Example 1 (Revisited)

- Calculate the loss ratio, given that the prior estimated loss ratio is 75%. Assume P=95% and k=10%.
- Scenario 2:
Data: Observed loss ratio = 67%, Claim count = 200
- Answer:

$$E2 = Z \cdot T + (1-Z) \cdot E1$$

$$E2 = \sqrt{(200/384)} \times 67\% + (1 - \sqrt{(200/384)}) \times 75\%$$

$$E2 = 69.2\%$$

3

Limited Fluctuation – Example 2

- For the 3 and 5-year periods, calculate the credibility (using the square root rule), credibility-weighted loss ratio and indicated change, given that the expected loss ratio is 75%. Assume P= 90% and k = 2.5%.

Year	<u>Loss Ratio</u>	<u>Claim Count</u>		<u>Credibility</u>	<u>Cred-Wght Loss Ratio</u>	<u>Indicated Rate Chg</u>
2007	67%	530				
2008	77%	610				
2009	79%	630				
2010	77%	620				
2011	86%	690				
$79.0\% = 81\% \times (0.67) + 75\% \times (1 - 0.67)$						
'09-'11	81%	1,940		67%	79.0%	5.3%
'07-'11	77%	3,080		84%	76.7%	2.3%
			$67\% = \sqrt{(1940/4326)}$		$5.3\% = 79.0\%/75.0\%$	

4

Limited Fluctuation – Example 3

- Given a current territory factor of 1.08, determine the indicated territory factor with 5 years of data. The frequency distribution is Poisson and the severity coefficient of variation of 1.5. Use the square root rule and the limited fluctuation formula for pure premium. Assume that you want to be within 5% of the true value 90% of the time. The statewide frequency is 0.20 and fixed expenses are 15%.

<u>Year</u>	<u>Territory Exposure</u>	<u>Territory Claim Count</u>	<u>Territory Loss Ratio</u>	<u>Statewide Loss Ratio</u>
2006	3,000	330	125%	78%
2007	3,020	420	153%	83%
2008	3,030	630	269%	85%
2009	3,020	210	122%	79%
2010	3,050	190	108%	72%
'06-'10	15,120	1,780	162%	80%

5

Limited Fluctuation – Example 3 (continued)

$$N = (z_p / k)^2 * (\text{Var}(N)/E(N) + \text{Var}(S)/E(S)^2)$$

- If we want to be within 5% of the true value 90% of the time, $(z_p / k)^2$ is 1,082.
- Remember, with a Poisson distribution, $\text{Var}(N) = E(N)$, the second term is 1. The third term is the square of the coefficient of variation, which is 1.5^2 .

$$N_{\text{claims}} = 1,082 * (1 + 1.5^2) = 3,516.5$$

- Given the 5-year statewide frequency of 0.2:

$$N_{\text{exposures}} = 3,516.5 / 0.2 = 17,582.5$$

6

Limited Fluctuation – Example 3 (continued)

- To show the impact of our selection of an exposure standard instead of a claims standard.

<u>Year</u>	<u>Territory Exposure</u>	<u>Territory Claim Count</u>	<u>Exposure Credibility</u>	<u>Claim Credibility</u>
2006	3,000	330	41.3%	30.6%
2007	3,020	420	41.4%	34.6%
2008	3,030	630	41.5%	42.3%
2009	3,020	210	41.4%	24.4%
2010	3,050	190	41.6%	23.2%
'06-'10	15,120	1,780	92.7%	71.1%

Using a claims standard of 3,517 and an exposure standard of 17,583

7

Limited Fluctuation – Example 3 (continued)

- Determine what the indicated territorial factor, assuming 15% for fixed expenses.

<u>Year</u>	<u>Territory Loss Ratio</u>	<u>Territory Credibility</u>	<u>Statewide Loss Ratio</u>	<u>Cred Wght Loss Ratio</u>
'06-'10	162%	92.7%	80%	156.0%

$$156.0\% = 92.7\% \times 162\% + 7.3\% \times 80\%$$

The final indicated territorial factor is $(156\% / 80\%) \times 0.85 + 0.15 = 1.81$

An alternative approach would be to calculate the indicated factor prior to applying credibility, and then credibility weight the current factor with the indicated factor.

8

Least Squares - Example

- Assuming that you have the following book of business, calculate the EVPV, VHM, K, and Z. The prior estimate of the frequency is 0.517. With 4 years of observations and an observed frequency of 0.75, what is the estimated future frequency? Assume the claims are binomially distributed.

Risk	P(Claim)	P(Risk)	Variance	Mean ²
Low	40%	65%	0.24	0.16
Medium	70%	23%	0.21	0.49
High	80%	12%	0.16	0.64
Total	51.7%	100%	0.2235	0.2935

- EVPV: For binomial, variance = P(claim) x P(no claim)
 $= (40\%)(60\%)(65\%) + (70\%)(30\%)(23\%) + (80\%)(20\%)(12\%)$
 $= 0.2235$
- VHM: $\text{Mean}^2 - (\text{Mean})^2$
 $= 0.2935 - (0.517)^2$
 $= 0.0262$

9

Least Squares - Example (continued)

- To determine K, we use $K = \text{EVPV}/\text{VHM}$, which is
 $K = 0.2235 / 0.0262 = 8.53$
- Since we're told that we have 4 years of observations, $n = 4$. Therefore,
 $Z = n / (n + K) \rightarrow 4 / (4 + 8.53) = 0.319$.
- The prior estimate of frequency is the same as the mean calculated before, 0.517, and the observed data results in a frequency of 0.75. This observed data as 31.9% credibility, so...
 $E_2 = Z * T + (1 - Z) * E_1 \rightarrow 31.9\% * 0.75 + 68.1\% * 0.517 = 0.5913$

10