By-Peril Rating for Homeowners

Ratemaking and Product Management Seminar, March 2013

Dan Pickens, FCAS, MAAA Vice President, Pricing USAA

Outline

- Modeling/Rating Options
- Why Rate By Peril?
- Peril Groupings
- Variable Selection
- Model Validation
- Territory Options
- Implementation Considerations



Modeling Options

Combined Peril Modeling and Rating	 Simplest to model and implement May be appropriate choice for regional carriers 	
By Peril Modeling with Single Peril Rating	 Average the by peril factors for implementation Use when existing systems can't incorporate multiple perils 	Greater Accuracy AND Complexity
By Peril Modeling and Rating	 Most accurate and intuitive method Requires most resources to implement and maintain 	/

Why Rate By Peril?

- Example 1:
 - 10% sprinkler credit applied to total policy premium
 - Inland: \$1000 policy premium, \$300 of it related to fire losses
 - Coastal: \$2000 policy premium, \$300 of it related to fire losses
 - Higher wind premium on coast leads to larger dollar sprinkler credit
- Example 2:
 - Burglar alarm provides smaller dollar savings for hail-resistant roofs

Rating by Peril Increases Accuracy

Peril Groupings

Potential Perils						
Non-Catastrophe	Catastrophe					
 Fire Water Weather Non-Weather Theft On Premises Off Premises Wind Hail Liability Other 	 Hurricane Severe Thunderstorm Winter Storm Earthquake Fire Following EQ Wildfire 					

Base Perils On

- Available Data Breakouts
- Non-cat Claims & Losses
- Cat AALs



Variable Selection

- Same as single peril modeling
 - Statistical tests
 - Consistency over time
- New information about loss drivers
 - ex. Dwelling coverage amount is predictive of liability losses
 - ex. Insured age is predictive of wind/hail losses
 - ex. Weather is predictive of theft losses

Variable Selection

	Fire	Wind / Hail	Theft	Water	Liability	Hurricane	Severe Thunder -storm	Winter Storm	Earth- quake
Amount of Insurance									
Territory									
Home Age									
Insurance Score									
Roof Type									
Prior Claims									

Model Validation

- Same methods as with single peril model
- More perils = less data in validation sample too
- Splitting Entire Dataset (In Time Validation Sample)
 - Pro: Not impacted by changes in data quality over time
 - Con: Same weather events impact training and validation samples
- Out Of Time Validation Sample
 - Pro: Not impacted by same weather events
 - Con: Affected by changes in data quality over time

Territory Options

- Different territories by peril
- Same territories by peril, different relativities
 - ZIP codes, grid squares, census geography
- Geographic risk scores
 - Incorporate weather, crime, demographics, etc
- Spatial Smoothing
 - Splitting data lowers volume
 - Increased territory refinement lowers volume





Implementation Considerations

- Explainability
- State Exceptions
 - Restrictions on individual variables
 - Catastrophe models / Weather Perils / State Data Only
- Unit-Owners & Tenants Low Volume
 - Use Owners Factors?
- Prior Claims Surcharges
 - Surcharge only claims from same peril or from others too

Implementation Considerations (cont.)

- Cat models don't use all variables
 - ex. Tier, Roof Type
- Cat model credibility
 - Consider individual territories fully credible? With how many PIF?
- Same/different perils by state
 - Consistency vs complexity
- Tier
 - Different tiers by peril
 - Same tier for all perils, but different factors

Implementation Considerations (cont.)

- Impact on policyholders
 - Renewal rate capping
 - Implement in new company for new business only
- Factor Selection
 - All at once or step into it
 - Confidence intervals



Wrap-up

- Rating by peril is more accurate than combined peril rating
- Peril groupings will depend on loss volume and claims coding
- Several territory options available
- Other implementation considerations to think about



Questions?