

Capital Allocation using the RMK Algorithm

David L. Ruhm, FCAS, CFA, FRM

CAS 2013 RPM Meeting

Session RR-3

March 12, 2013

Huntington Beach, CA

Capital Allocation: The Problem

- How can total capital (and costs) be allocated to sources of risk, so that:
 - Components add up to subtotals and the total
 - Capital is in proportion to risk contributed
 - Diversification is attributed to its sources
 - The user specifies the risk metric
 - Theory behind the method is connected to financial pricing theory

An algorithm

“RMK” has these properties, plus:

- Relatively simple – it’s weighted averages
- Can be explained fairly easily
- Evaluates risk from the total-company, “top-down” view
 - Vs evaluating each line’s stand-alone risk

RMK Algorithm

Central principle

Each component is evaluated to measure its contribution to total-company risk.

RMK Algorithm: Steps

- Simulate possible outcomes by component & total.
- Calculate expected values $E[x]$ of everything
- Select a risk measure on total company outcomes
- Express the risk measure as leverage factors (higher factors for worse outcomes)
- Calculate risk-adjusted expected values $E[Rx]$
 - These are the weighted averages
- Allocate capital in proportion to risk, by:
Risk ~ Risk-Adjusted Expected Value – Expected Value
Risk ~ $E[Rx] - E[x]$

RMK Algorithm: A Capital Allocation Example

<u>Scenario</u>	<u>Underwriting</u>	<u>Investment</u>	<u>Total Company</u>	<u>Risk Leverage</u>	<u>Actual Probability</u>	<u>Risk-Adjusted Probability</u>
1	-1,700	700	-1,000	3.50	10%	24%
2	-300	-700	-1,000	3.50	10%	24%
3	-800	1,100	300	1.50	10%	10%
4	1,000	0	1,000	1.10	10%	8%
5	-300	1,800	1,500	0.90	10%	6%
6	200	1,400	1,600	0.90	10%	6%
7	-200	2,100	1,900	0.85	10%	6%
8	-500	2,600	2,100	0.80	10%	6%
9	2,000	800	2,800	0.70	10%	5%
10	1,800	2,200	4,000	0.60	<u>10%</u>	<u>4%</u>
					100%	100%
Expected Income	120	1,200	1,320	1.44		
Risk-Weighted Expected Income	-368	716	348			
Risk Measurement	488	484	972			
Capital Allocation	50%	50%	100%			
Capital	5,020	4,980	10,000			
Return on Risk-Adjusted Capital	2.4%	24.1%	13.2%			
Hurdle Rate for Value Creation	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%			
Value Creation	-368	716	348			

RMK Algorithm: A Capital Allocation Example

<u>Scenario</u>	<u>Underwriting</u>	<u>Property</u>	<u>Casualty</u>	<u>Total Company</u>	<u>Risk Leverage</u>
1	-1,700	-500	-1,200	-1,000	3.50
2	-300	-700	400	-1,000	3.50
3	-800	-600	-200	300	1.50
4	1,000	100	900	1,000	1.10
5	-300	-100	-200	1,500	0.90
6	200	500	-300	1,600	0.90
7	-200	300	-500	1,900	0.85
8	-500	100	-600	2,100	0.80
9	2,000	800	1,200	2,800	0.70
10	1,800	700	1,100	4,000	0.60
Expected Income	120	60	60	1,320	
Risk-Weighted Expected Income	-368	-231	-137	348	
Risk Measurement	488	291	197	972	
Capital Allocation	50%	30%	20%	100%	
Capital	5,020	2,994	2,026	10,000	
Return on Risk-Adjusted Capital	2.4%	2.0%	3.0%	13.2%	
Hurdle Rate for Value Creation	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	
Value Creation	-368	-231	-137	348	

RMK Algorithm: A Capital Allocation Example

<u>Scenario</u>	<u>Investment</u>	<u>Equities</u>	<u>Fixed Income</u>	<u>Other Invested</u>	<u>Total Company</u>	<u>Risk Leverage</u>
1	700	1,100	-400	0	-1,000	3.50
2	-700	-400	-100	-200	-1,000	3.50
3	1,100	100	1,300	-300	300	1.50
4	0	-700	800	-100	1,000	1.10
5	1,800	500	1,800	-500	1,500	0.90
6	1,400	400	400	600	1,600	0.90
7	2,100	-100	1,700	500	1,900	0.85
8	2,600	200	1,300	1,100	2,100	0.80
9	800	200	200	400	2,800	0.70
10	2,200	100	1,600	500	4,000	0.60
Expected Income	1,200	140	860	200	1,320	
Risk-Weighted Expected Income	716	203	463	50	348	
Risk Measurement	484	-63	397	150	972	
Capital Allocation	50%	-6%	41%	15%	100%	
Capital	4,980	-650	4,084	1,545	10,000	
Return on Risk-Adjusted Capital	24.1%	-21.6%	21.1%	12.9%	13.2%	
Hurdle Rate for Value Creation	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	
Value Creation	716	203	463	50	348	

RMK Algorithm: A Capital Allocation Example

<u>Scenario</u>	<u>Underwriting</u>	<u>Property</u>	<u>Casualty</u>	<u>Investment</u>	<u>Equities</u>	<u>Fixed Income</u>	<u>Other Invested</u>	<u>Total Company</u>	<u>Risk Leverage</u>
1	-1,700	-500	-1,200	700	1,100	-400	0	-1,000	3.50
2	-300	-700	400	-700	-400	-100	-200	-1,000	3.50
3	-800	-600	-200	1,100	100	1,300	-300	300	1.50
4	1,000	100	900	0	-700	800	-100	1,000	1.10
5	-300	-100	-200	1,800	500	1,800	-500	1,500	0.90
6	200	500	-300	1,400	400	400	600	1,600	0.90
7	-200	300	-500	2,100	-100	1,700	500	1,900	0.85
8	-500	100	-600	2,600	200	1,300	1,100	2,100	0.80
9	2,000	800	1,200	800	200	200	400	2,800	0.70
10	1,800	700	1,100	2,200	100	1,600	500	4,000	0.60
Expected Income	120	60	60	1,200	140	860	200	1,320	
Risk-Weighted Expected Income	-368	-231	-137	716	203	463	50	348	
Risk Measurement	488	291	197	484	-63	397	150	972	
Capital Allocation	50%	30%	20%	50%	-6%	41%	15%	100%	
Capital	5,020	2,994	2,026	4,980	-650	4,084	1,545	10,000	
Return on Risk-Adjusted Capital	2.4%	2.0%	3.0%	24.1%	-21.6%	21.1%	12.9%	13.2%	
Hurdle Rate for Value Creation	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	9.7%	
Value Creation	-368	-231	-137	716	203	463	50	348	

Selecting a risk measure

- Many standard risk measures (such as TVaR) can be expressed in the form of weights.
- See Kreps, PCAS 2005 for major examples.
- Example: Net loss outcomes > 1 , net gain outcomes = 1.
 - Measures tail of distribution where losses occur.
- In general, risk measure weights are:
 - Non-negative,
 - Higher for worse (“riskier”) outcomes, lower for better outcomes.

Summary of useful properties

- General framework for applying additive capital allocation methods
- Flexible choice of risk measure – can experiment
- Allocates risk down to detail level (state, tier)
- Consistent with financial theory
 - Can be used to generate risk-neutral prices
- Relatively simple / transparent

Selected References

- Halliwell, “Conjoint Prediction of Paid and Incurred Losses,” *CAS Forum*, Summer 1997, volume 1 (thank you Dave Clark for this one)
- Ruhm / Mango, “A Risk Charge Calculation Based on Conditional Probability,” Bowles Symposium, Atlanta, April 2003
- Kreps, “Riskiness Leverage Ratios,” *Proceedings of the CAS*, 2005
- Clark, “Reinsurance Applications for the RMK Framework,” *CAS Forum*, Spring 2005