PartnerRe

A Reinsurer's Perspective on Capital and Property Cat Pricing Ron Wilkins, FCAS, MAAA

Vice President and Corporate Actuarial Manager

March 12, 2013

Safe Harbor:

The following presentation is for general information, education and discussion purposes only, in connection with the Casualty Actuarial Society RPM Seminar. Any views or opinions expressed, whether oral or in writing are those of the speaker alone. They do not constitute legal or professional advice; and do not necessarily reflect, in whole or in part, any corporate position, opinion or view of PartnerRe, or its affiliates, or a corporate endorsement, position or preference with respect to any issue or area covered in the presentation.

PartnerRe

Antitrust Notice:

The Casualty Actuarial Society is committed to adhering strictly to the letter and spirit of the antitrust laws. Seminars conducted under the auspices of the CAS are designed solely to provide a forum for the expression of various points of view on topics described in the programs or agendas for such meetings.

Under no circumstances shall CAS seminars be used as a means for competing companies or firms to reach any understanding – expressed or implied – that restricts competition or in any way impairs the ability of members to exercise independent business judgment regarding matters affecting competition.

It is the responsibility of all seminar participants to be aware of antitrust regulations, to prevent any written or verbal discussions that appear to violate these laws, and to adhere in every respect to the CAS antitrust compliance policy. PartnerRe





A Reinsurer's Perspective: Capital March 12, 2013

PartnerRe's Risk Management Culture

- Risk assumption and risk management are at the core of the company's value proposition
- Transparent risk management communication is emphasized both internally and externally
- Risk management and capital allocation are embedded:
 - In the strategy and stated goals of the company: To satisfy client needs and provide unquestioned ability to pay claims, while providing attractive risk adjusted returns to shareholders
 - In the thought processes of the company's underwriters, actuaries, capital modelers, investment professionals, accountants and others.

A Reinsurd's Perspective: Capital + Prope March 12, 2013

Attributed Capital Is Part of the ERM Framework

- Senior management (and Board) risk appetite is expressed in terms of
 - limits: tolerance for tail loss events from specific large risks (and the impact of such events on the balance sheet)
 - volatility of earnings
 - solvency thresholds
- Models provide crucial volatility and tail risk metrics. Beyond the modeled results, additional loads reflect:
 - known un-modeled risks
 - unknown risks and parameter risk
- Attributed Capital and Pricing
 - Selected capital is attributed to the product line level. PartnerRe
 - Capital may be adjusted based on the treaty's individual
 - features.

A Reinsurer's P March 12, 2013

Attributed Capital Serves Multiple Purposes

- Common view of risk across the organization achieved through attributing capital to every treaty and investment class
- Attributed capital forms the basis for
 - Determining deployed capital
 - Profitability measurement for pricing purposes
 - Hindsight performance measurement
- Principle and process of attributing capital
 - Each business unit has control over tactical capital deployment so diversification between classes within unit considered with the exception of catastrophe risk
 - Iterative process during plan and dynamic process during pricing



Attenuer Streamer Streamer Could a Property Cal Plane 2011 Managing Catastrophe Risk: Introduction Monitoring accumulations Cat capacity may be reviewed separately for each geographic exposure zone and peril Cat capacity may be managed using either The limit (maximum foreseeable loss) from any one event The modeled probable maximum loss from any one event The annual aggregate loss from multiple events could be used in the capital model.

- Models are part of a multi-faceted approach
 - Catastrophe models
 - Licensed from vendors
 - Proprietary internal models
 - Diversification by peril and geography
 - Qualitative underwriting







A Renseuro's Prospective: Capital e Property Nach 12, 2013

Managing Catastrophe Risk: Secondary Perils

- All perils other than earthquake, hurricane, and terrorism are included along with attritional for capital modeling purposes. For example:
 - Convective Storm (Tornado, Hail, etc.)
 - Flood
 - Freeze, Winter Storms
- Estimation approaches:
 - Cat models are available for some peril zones
 - Experience rating
 - Fitting frequency and severity distributions and using Monte-Carlo simulation
 - Estimating the total market loss and then applying the $$_{\mbox{Partner}Re}$$ company's market share



A Reinsurer's Perspective: Capital + Property O March 12, 2013

Property Portfolio Model Goals

Monitor Reinsurance Portfolio

- Understand the risk-versus-return position of the business
 How has it changed?
 - Where is it heading?
- Evaluate Strategic Decisions
 - Reinsurance purchase (retrocessional)
 - In addition to tail metrics, also consider how much a product line contributes to the variability of the total portfolio.
 - Overall variability will be driven more by the central bulk of the distribution (between the 10th and 90th percentiles).
 - Product line expansion / contraction
 - Acquisitions



A Reinsurer's Perspective: Capital + Prop

Property Model Background: Copulas

- Correlation Matrix
 - j by j matrix where j is the number of units in the model
 - The units could be treaties or product lines
 - Correlation coefficients represent the closeness of outcomes across units.
- More flexible than closed-form multivariate models:
 With copulas, correlation assumptions are separate from
 - individual distributions.
 - Copulas allow the freedom to model each marginal distribution using the best curve that the modeler can come up with.
- Two commonly used copulas are the Gaussian and Student's t. The t copula provides more correlation in the tail. Numerous other copulas can also be considered.

- Hype			eidl		auix	nermee	n prout	JUL III IES
Dana Mati							•	
Dana Mati	Prop - Nati	Prop - Real	Auto	Grop				
P100 - NHI	100%							
Prop - Real	75%	100%						
Auto	20%	20%	100%					
Crop	259/	259/	E9/	100%				
 Hypo Re 	othetica present	al Loss s a prod	dis luct l	tribut ine,	ion	cumulative probability 10% 20%	loss ratio 28% 32%	
0.110	h as pro	operty n	atior	nal		30% 40%	35% 46%	

6





A Reinsurer's Perspective: Capital March 12, 2013

Property Portfolio Model - Framework

- Attritional nominal loss distribution
 - Begin with individual reinsurance treaties
 - Combine to the product line level (using a copula)
 - Combine product lines to the portfolio level (using a copula)
 Property is combined with casualty, agriculture, auto, etc.
- Catastrophe nominal loss: event table
- Total nominal loss (Nom Loss) = attritional + cat
- Financial Loss = PV(Nom Loss) + PV(Expenses) PV(Premium)
- Calculate metrics
 - VaR, TVaR, diversification ratios

PartnerRe

A Reinsurer's Perspective: Capital + Pe

Property Portfolio Model - Attritional Loss Distribution

- Discrete model of how annual losses are spread over the range of possible outcomes.
- Selecting the distribution for a single treaty:
 - Estimate the probability that
 - a) the layer will be loss free
 - b) the probability of a full limit loss.
 - Validate the variability of the curve against experience.
 - Consider features that modify cash flows:
 - Annual Aggregate Deductible
 - Reinstatements
 - Loss Ratio Cap

A Remander Engentive: Capital + Property Merch 12: 2013

Prop. Model – Attritional Correlations Within a Line

- Select within-line (cross-treaty) correlations based on
 Historical data
 - Layering: XOL reinsurance is often sold as a program of several layers. Losses within a program are correlated across layers.
 - Accumulation: Large buildings are frequently insured by multiple carriers. A reinsurer should consider whether different treaties cover the same property.
 - Proximity: can a single fire destroy many properties?
- Validate the resulting line distribution against history

PartnerRe

PartnerRe

A Reinsurd's Perspective: Capital + I March 12, 2013

Prop. Model – Attritional Correlations Across Lines

- Industry data
- Geography: regional versus national
- · Perils: for example consider weather
 - Storms are a key driver of property losses
 - Drought is a crucial driver of crop losses
 - Probably not closely related to casualty losses
- Rate adequacy: different lines may follow a similar underwriting cycle based on industry capital adequacy
- Macroeconomic forces:
 - Economic Inflation
 - Social Inflation (changes to the tort environment)
 - GDP growth











Hy	pothet	tical exa	mple	centered	d arou	nd 100-	year r	eturn pe	riod	
				In-	force	2010 – r	nillion	IS		
Quantile	Agriculture		Casualty		Property		All Others		Total	
	PV Profits	PV Tech Ratio	PV Profits	PV Tech Ratio	PV Profits	PV Tech Ratio	PV Profits	PV Tech Ratio	PV Profits	PV Tech Ratio
98.95	5	90	(200)	140	(10)	90	(76)	90	(270)	120
98.96	(10)	100	(130)	135	(40)	110	(91)	100	(2/1)	121
98.97	(5)	100	(200)	140	(130)	140	(06)	90	(272)	121
90.98	(20)	120	(1200)	190	(20)	100	(02)	30	(272)	122
20.00	(10)	110	(25)	100	(160)	150	(78)	90	(273)	122
99.00	(20)	120	(200)	130	30	80	(83)	95	(273)	123
99.02	(10)	110	(200)	90	(250)	160	(14)	85	(274)	124
99.02	(10)	110	(150)	130	(50)	110	(64)	87	(274)	124
99.04	10	80	(200)	137	(10)	100	(75)	90	(275)	125
99.05	0	100	0	100	(200)	160	(75)	90	(275)	125
				In-fr	arce 2	009 - mi	llions			
00.05	(40)	110	0	00	(200)	160	(60)	00	(200)	105
30.90	(40)	90	50	80	(200)	190	(60)	90	(300)	125
99.97	(20)	100	70	80	(300)	190	(51)	85	(301)	126
98.98	(10)	100	0	90	(200)	160	(92)	100	(302)	127
98.99	30	80	(100)	120	(200)	160	(32)	75	(302)	127
99.00	(10)	100	(100)	120	(150)	140	(43)	75	(303)	128
99.01	5	90	(70)	110	(150)	140	(88)	100	(303)	128
99.02	(80)	125	(50)	100	(100)	120	(74)	95	(304)	129
99.03	10	90	(130)	125	(100)	120	(84)	100	(304)	129
99.04	(90)	135	(20)	100	(120)	125	(75)	95	(305)	130
99.05	0	90	(180)	150	(80)	110	(45)	75	(305)	130
										n n











A Reinsurer's Perspective: Capital + March 12, 2013

Conclusion

- A capital model should be part of a wider ERM framework
- When deploying capital, data flows in two directions:
 - I. From the components up to the whole company:
 - Treaty exposure data flows into
 - attritional distributions
 - calculations of the company's estimated loss for each event in each cat event table
 - The attritional risk from all treaties is combined to the company level using the portfolio model. Cat risk is added.
 - 2. From the whole company down to the transaction level:
 - The portfolio model produces indicated capital
 additional loads are embedded in the selected capital
 - Selected attributed capital flows back into treaty pricing

A Reinsurer's Perspective: Capital + Prope March 12, 2013

Conclusion

- Substantial effort is required to parameterize a capital model. But estimating appropriate parameters is crucial in order to ensure that the model is suitable for decision making.
- Combining catastrophe risk with other risks in an overall portfolio model provides many benefits:
 - Tracking of key risk, return, and diversification metrics
 - Capital attribution
 - Strategic decision making