

Antitrust Notice

- The Casualty Actuarial Society is committed to adhering strictly to the letter and spirit of the antitrust laws. Seminars conducted under the auspices of the CAS are designed solely to provide a forum for the expression of various points of view on topics described in the programs or agendas for such meetings.
- Under no circumstances shall CAS seminars be used as a means for competing companies or firms to reach any understanding – expressed or implied – that restricts competition or in any way impairs the ability of members to exercise independent business judgment regarding matters affecting competition.
- It is the responsibility of all seminar participants to be aware of antitrust regulations, to prevent any written or verbal discussions that appear to violate these laws, and to adhere in every respect to the CAS antitrust compliance policy.



Bayesian Trend Selection

Presented by: Chris Laws

Ratemaking and Product Management (RPM) Seminar March 11–13, 2013 Huntington Beach, CA

Overview

Objective

- Trend Analysis—Status Quo
- Bayesian Trend Selection
- Case Study
- Model Validation
- Conclusion

2013, eForum, forthcoming, www.casact.org/pubs/forum/

The paper is available at www.ncci.com/nccimain/IndustryInformation/ResearchOutlook/Pages/BayesianTrendSelection-Research.aspx



Objective

- Provide a tool for decision making under uncertainty within the existing NCCI framework of trend analysis and selection
- The development of a new forecasting model is out of scope
 - The time series used in trend selection are extremely short



Trend Analysis Current Framework

- Selecting loss ratio trends is an integral part of NCCI aggregate ratemaking
 - Accounts for (some of) the difference between the experience period and the effective period
- The selection process considers
 - Results from various models
 - Exogenous information



Trend Analysis Models Considered

- Model results typically considered during the selection process generally originate from three exponential trend (ET) models
 - 5-point ET, which is a regression of the past 5 natural logarithms on a linear time trend
 - 8-point ET
 - 15-point ET
- The nature of the data generating process determines the theoretically optimal model among the three



Trend Analysis Considering the Data Generating Process

- The statistical quality of an estimate can be quantified in terms of its
 - Bias: How *relevant* is the answer?
 - Variance: How *reliable* is the answer?
- If the data generating process is unchanged,
 - All observations are relevant
 - Incorporating more observations in the regression will reduce the variance of the estimate
- If the data generating process has recently changed,
 - Older observations fundamentally differ from newer observations
 - Incorporating these fundamentally different observations in the regression will increase the bias of the estimate



Trend Analysis The Role of Actuarial Judgment

- Actuarial judgment serves as the final step in the trend selection process
- Actuarial judgment aggregates the results of the three previously mentioned ET models
 - Taking into account a variety of influences such as the presence (or absence) of recent reforms



Decision Making Under Uncertainty

- Academic research suggests that human decision making can be subject to systematic errors
 - Representativeness
 - Availability
 - Adjustment and Anchoring
- The Bayesian Trend Selection (BTS) provides an answer free from such potential biases
 - At the cost of ignoring information that does not manifest itself in the observed data



The Role of The Bayesian Trend Selection

- The BTS is intended to serve two distinct, yet related, roles:
 - 1. Objectively aggregate the results of the various fundamental models into a single forecast
 - In parallel with actuarial judgment
 - 2. Provide objective insight into relative appropriateness of each model
 - Input for the actuarial judgment process



The Bayesian Trend Selection Specifics

- BTS directly estimates
 - Indemnity loss ratio trend
 - Medical loss ratio trend
 - Frequency trend
- Using the loss ratio and frequency estimates, BTS indirectly estimates
 - Indemnity severity trend
 - Medical severity trend



The Bayesian Trend Selection Estimation: Loss Ratios and Frequency

- The BTS considers how well each of the three ET models performed in the recent past for each series (in isolation) using the three most recent NCCI ratemaking data sets
 - Each data set is split into a training and a holdout set
 - The holdout period consists of three years, which parallels the typical trend period
 - Each of the three ETs are estimated on each of the training sets
 - Nine estimates in total
 - The three estimates from each ET are compared to the three compound annual growth rates observed in the respective holdout periods



The Bayesian Trend Selection Estimation: Loss Ratios and Frequency

- The BTS produces two estimates
 - The posterior probability that the compound annual growth rates observed in the holdout sets were "truly" generated from a given ET forecasts
 - A Model Selection paradigm
 - The BTS growth rate estimate, which weights the three ET forecasts (using the most recent full data set) together using these posterior probabilities
 - A Model Averaging paradigm



Case Study

- Applying the BTS to an unidentified state illustrates the concept
- The indemnity loss ratio growth rates exhibit systematic differences between newer and older time periods
 - As such, the BTS gives more weight to ETs that use only more recent observations
- The medical loss ratio growth rates exhibit fewer systematic differences between newer and older time periods
 - As such, the BTS gives more weight to ETs that use more observations



Indemnity Loss Ratio Select State: Growth Rates





Indemnity Loss Ratio Select State: Posterior ET Probabilities











Medical Loss Ratio Select State: Posterior ET Probabilities





Model Validation

- The BTS is validated using two data sets
- The first data set
 - Consists of NCCI ratemaking data for 29 states
 - Allows for only one hold out period but consists of many series
- The second data set
 - Consists of incidence rates of workplace injuries (and illnesses) for the manufacturing industry
 - Allows for many (consecutive) hold out periods but consists of only one series



Model Validation Goals

- The BTS formalizes the actuarial judgment step in trend selection
 - The BTS proves a valid model if it can objectively "select" among the three competing ETs
 - It is sufficient to show that the BTS estimate is better than the worst possible choice among the three ETs
 - Where the worst possible choice depends on the nature of the series
- This validation process seeks to show that choosing the BTS estimate is a robust decision



Sum of Absolute Forecast Errors NCCI Ratemaking Data





Maximum Absolute Forecast Error NCCI Ratemaking Data





Manufacturing Injury and Illness Incidence Rate Per 100 FTE Employees



The long series of manufacturing injury and illness rates (1926–2010) is inspired by research at the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. In its Annual Report, authored by Michael Cox and Richard Alm (dallasfed.org/assets/documents/fed/annual/2000/ar00.pdf), the Bank published a series of injury rates per 1,000 full-time workers in manufacturing for the period 1926 through 1999 (page 8).



Manufacturing Injury and Illness Incidence Rate Log Growth Rate



The long series of manufacturing injury and illness rates (1926–2010) is inspired by research at the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. In its Annual Report, authored by Michael Cox and Richard Alm (dallasfed.org/assets/documents/fed/annual/2000/ar00.pdf), the Bank published a series of injury rates per 1,000 full-time workers in manufacturing for the period 1926 through 1999 (page 8).



Manufacturing Injury and Illness Incidence Rate Sum of Absolute Errors (1926–2010)





Manufacturing Injury and Illness Incidence Rate Sum of Absolute Errors (1926–1964)





Manufacturing Injury and Illness Incidence Rate Sum of Absolute Errors (1965–2010)





Conclusion

- The BTS objectively formalizes the trend selection process
 - Not subject to biases in human decision making
 - Not capable of processing information not incorporated in the data
- The BTS delivers a robust decision even as the nature of the time series changes

