Session RR4: Allocating Capital -A Hands-on Case Study

Stephen P. D'Arcy, FCAS, MAAA, Ph.D. Robitaille Endowed Chair in Risk and Insurance California State University - Fullerton

Casualty Actuarial Society Ratemaking and Product Management Seminar March 12, 2013

Agenda

- · What capital allocation is and why we do it
- Key considerations in allocating capital
- The Ruhm-Mango-Kreps algorithm
- Representative methodologies
- Case study on allocating capital using the Ruhm-Mango-Kreps algorithm
- · Additional considerations in allocating capital

Capital Allocation

- · Capital allocation is a theoretical exercise
- Any business segment has access to the entire available capital of the firm
- For some lines capital consumption is more likely

 Property insurance subject to catastrophic loss
 - Workers compensation in areas with concentration of employees
- Object is to reflect the likelihood of a business segment needing to utilize corporate capital

No method yet developed is ideal for this purpose

Reasons for Allocating Capital

· Pricing

Use the capital allocation to determine the investment income generated for rate calculations

- Risk management
 - Determine the risk adjusted rate of return as expected return divided by capital allocation
 - Use the risk adjusted return to decide if a business segment (line or investment) is worth continuing
- Performance evaluation
 - Reward performance based on risk adjusted returns

Key Considerations in Allocating Capital

- · Must be accepted within the organization
- Sums to the total capital of the organization
- · Stable over time
- · Allocation not affected by other business segments
- · No negative allocations
- Appropriate for particular application
- · Coherent

No single method meets all these considerations

Ruhm-Mango-Kreps Algorithm

- · Based on conditional probability
- · Incorporates a riskiness leverage factor (RLF)
- Application of Ruhm-Mango-Kreps
 - Simulate a large number of potential outcomes
 - Rank the iterations by aggregate results
 - Determine an RLF for each aggregate outcome
 - Apply corresponding RLF to each segment's result
 - whether it consumes or supplies capital – Allocate capital based on total capital charges
 - Anocate capital based on total capital charges
- Advantage/disadvantage of Ruhm-Mango-Kreps

 Flexible enough by choice of RLF to duplicate any other capital allocation method

				00/0 0	ur()
Scenario	U/W Prop	U/W Cas	Invest	Total	Risk Wt.
1	-1200	-500	650	-1050	
2	-700	200	-500	-1000	
3	-600	-200	700	-100	1
4	100	900	300	1300	
5	-100	-200	1900	1600	
6	500	-200	1400	1700	
7	200	-500	2100	1800	
8	100	-600	2500	2000	
9	1200	800	700	2700	
10	1100	700	2200	4000	
Exp. Val.	60	40	1195	1295	
Risk-W EV	-950	-150	75	-1025	
Risk Mea	-1010	-190	-1120	-2320	
Cap. All	0.435345	0.081897	0.482759		



Capital Allocation Methods to be Considered

- Semi-variance
- Value-at-Risk (VaR)
- Tail Value-at-Risk (TVaR)
- Marginal capital Myers-Read

Semi-variance

- Only considers downside variance
- Impact of risk is proportional to the square of the difference from the mean
- For RMK approach, RLF = μ-X if μ>X, otherwise 0

Value-at-Risk - A Definition

- Value-at-Risk (VaR) is a statistical measure of possible portfolio losses
 - A percentile of the distribution of outcomes
- VaR is the amount of loss that a portfolio will experience over a set period of time with a specified probability
- Thus, VaR depends on some time horizon and a desired level of confidence



Tail Value-at-Risk

- Tail VaR considers the average loss in iterations that exceed the selected VaR level
 This gives equal weight to all outcomes in the tail
- For RMK approach, RLF = 1 if cumulative probability is above the selected VaR, otherwise 0

Marginal Models for Capital Allocation

- Marginal models recognize diversification benefits
 within an organization when allocating capital
- Marginal methodologies (e.g. Myers-Read) rely on option pricing theory to derive the marginal impact of a line on capital
- Marginal models view the equity holders of the insurance company as investors who have a contingent claim (call option) on the firm's assets
 - As liabilities mature, equity holders have a claim on the residual (e.g., Assets - Liabilities)
 - If liabilities exceed assets, the equity holders lose their stake, but no more; this return profile is similar to a call option on the assets

Myers - Read

- Given the firm's assets and the present value of the losses by line, option pricing methods are used to calculate the firm's default value
 - Default value is the premium the company would have to pay to guarantee payment of the losses if the company defaults
- Surplus is then allocated to each line so that the marginal default value is the same in all lines.
- · M-R evaluates incremental changes
- For RMK approach, RLF = 1 if cumulative probability is within ε of the ruin probability, otherwise 0

Choice of Method

- Reason for capital allocation should drive the choice of method
- Ease of application
- · Ease of interpretation

Applying Capital Allocation to Performance Evaluation

- Dividing actual returns by allocated capital provides a risk adjusted rate of return
- Base performance evaluation on risk adjusted returns
- Compare this approach to having a different hurdle rate for each area

Case Study: Capital allocation for performance evaluation

- · Five roles to play
 - VP-Homeowners
 - VP-Auto
 - VP-Investments
 - CRO
 - CEO
- Excel file with 10,000 iterations of economic capital model
- Capital allocation methods

 TVaR
 95%
 99%
 99.9%

 VaR

 95%
 99%
 Semi-variance

Myers-Read ε = 1.0% ε = 0.5%

ε = 0.5%
ε = 0.1%

Case Study (30 minutes)

- Form groups of 5
- Read Case Study
- Download Excel file RPM Case Study Data
- · Perform capital allocation calculations
- For your role, select one of the capital allocation methods to use for performance evaluations
- Be prepared to justify your choice when the group reconvenes

Case Study Discussion

Which method did each role select?

- VP-Homeowners
- VP-Auto
- VP-Investments
- CRO
- CEO

Other Methods: Capital Hotel Analogy

- Recognizes two uses of capital
 Shared (non-consumptive)
 - Consumptive
- Shared use is similar to renting a hotel room

 Use is temporary but varies by line (loss payout patterns)
 - Use does not affect the future use of this room
- · Consumptive use destroys capital
 - In hotel example, smoking in bed damages room, or even burns down hotel
 - Use is measured by loss frequency and severity
- Charge for renting hotel room reflects both shared and consumptive uses

Other Methods: Market Based Capital Allocation Approach

- Combines Ruhm-Mango-Kreps and Capital Hotel
- · Recognizes underwriting and investment risk
- Uses market cost of capital to determine the RLFs
- Yields a single capital allocation for the firm that is tied to expected market conditions

Capital Allocation References

- D. Ruhm and D. Mango, 2003, "A Method of Implementing Myers-Read Capital Allocation in Simulation," Casualty Actuarial Society Forum, Fall, 451-458. <u>http://www.casact.org/pubs/forum/03fforum/03ff451.pdf</u>
- R. Kreps, 2005. "Riskiness Leverage Models," *Proceedings of the Casualty Actuarial Society* 91: 31-60. http://www.casact.org/pubs/proceed/proceed05/05041.pdf.
- D. Mango, 2006, "Insurance Capital as a Shared Asset," Casualty Actuarial Society Forum, Fall, 573-586. <u>http://www.casact.org/pubs/forum/06fforum/577.pdf</u>
- S. P. D'Arcy, 2011, "Capital Allocation in the Property-Liability Insurance Industry," Variance, 5(2):141-157. <u>http://www.variancejournal.org/issues/05-02/141.pdf</u>
- D. Ruhm, D. Mango and R. Kreps, "A General Additive Method for Portfolio Risk Analysis." Forthcoming, *ASTIN Bulletin.*