

**NEW TECHNOLOGY—OLD LAW: SOME LEGAL CHALLENGES OF  
SELF-DRIVING VEHICLES**

**ROBERT W. PETERSON**

**PROFESSOR OF LAW**

**DIRECTOR, CENTER FOR INSURANCE LAW AND REGULATION**

**SANTA CLARA UNIVERSITY**





**Advertisement from 1957 Independent Electric Light and Power Companies ad. “ELECTRICITY MAY BE THE DRIVER. One day your car may speed along an electric super-highway, its speed and steering automatically controlled by electronic devices embedded in the road. Highways will be made safe—by electricity! No traffic jams . . .no collisions. . .no driver fatigue.”**

# WHAT'S IN A NAME?

- Autonomous, automated, or self-driving?



*"Autonomous lawnmowers were a bad idea. I see that now."*



## Some Advantages:

- Accidents—90<sup>+</sup>% or more caused by human error.
- 5.3 million crashes in 2011
- Leading cause of Death, ages 3-34.
- Over 32,000 U.S. deaths (more than the population of Los Gatos, Monterey or Burlingame) in 2011 (downward trend—51 per billion vehicle miles traveled (VMT) in 1960 to 11 per billion VMT in 2011).
- Cars and light trucks—21,000 deaths. Motorcycles--4,600 deaths. Pedestrians--4,400 deaths.
- Over two million emergency room visits/year.
- Urban Crash Costs--\$300 billion/year

<http://newsroom.aaa.com/2011/11/aaa-study-finds-costs-associated-with-traffic-crashes-are-more-than-three-times-greater-than-congestion-costs/>

## Human causes of accidents

- **DRIVER INATTENTION** 22.7%
- **VEHICLE SPEED** 18.7%
- **ALCOHOL IMPAIRMENT** 18.2%
- **PERCEPTUAL ERRORS** (e.g. looked, but didn't see) 15.1%
- **DECISION ERRORS** (e.g. turned with obstructed view) 10.1%
- **INCAPACITATION** (e.g. fell asleep) 6.4%



U.S. Dept. of Transportation report, 2001

## Alcohol Related Traffic Deaths

- 39 percent caused by alcohol impairment
- 49 percent of pedestrians killed were under the influence
- 38 percent of cyclist killed were under the influence


RAND Report, p. 16



**SANTA  
CLARA  
LAW** est. 1911

Figure 2.2


## U.S. Roadway Accidents and Injuries per Million Vehicle Miles Traveled



**NOTE:** Data from the Bureau of Transportation Statistics (BTS, 2013) includes all highway transportation modes: passenger car, light truck, motorcycle, large truck, and bus. Crashes involving two or more motor vehicles are counted as one “crash” by the U.S. DOT, so total crashes shown here are fewer than the sum of individual vehicles involved. Injuries include vehicle occupants for all highway modes as well as pedestrians and cyclists. RAND report, 2014, p. 13

Figure 2.12

## U.S. Roadway Fatalities per Billion Vehicle Miles Traveled



**NOTE: Data from BTS (2013) includes all highway transportation modes: passenger car, light truck, motorcycle, large truck, and bus. Fatalities include vehicle occupants for all highway modes, as well as pedestrians and cyclists. RAND report, 2014, p. 14**

## Estimated Safety Benefits

- Analysis based on NHTSA [DOT HS 810 767 Pre-Crash Scenario Typology for Crash Avoidance Research](#)
- For Highway relevant scenarios
  - 71% fewer crashes
  - 65% fewer injuries
  - 81% fewer fatalities

**Private insurer liability per driver could drop from approx. \$664/driver to \$189/driver—a savings of \$475/driver/year.**

Source--Princeton Autonomous Vehicle Engineering (PAVE)



## Some Advantages (cont'd)



- Safer—360 Degree Vision, Faster Reaction Time
- More Efficient
- Fewer Stop Signs, Traffic Lights or Traffic Jams
- They Do Not Fall Asleep, Get Intoxicated, Rubber Neck, or Experience Road Rage (Hopefully)

## Some Advantages (cont'd)


- Causation Disputes and Fraud Minimized – The Event data Recorder (EDR or Black Box) Tells (Almost) All
  - Swoop and Squat
  - “Actual physical contact” for uninsured coverage
- Maximize Use of Aging Infrastructure
- Better Serve Aging Population
- Gen. Y Can Text, the More Mature Baby Boomers Can Catch Up on Jane Austen

# Some Possible Collateral Impact:

- Urban Planning—No Longer Need Adjacent Parking Lots
- Commuting—More Distant Housing May Be Appealing. Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) may rise
- Municipal Funding—Where Did All the Parking Tickets Go?
- Auto Sales and Body Shops?


Figure 2.1

An Estimate of the Per-Mile Externalities Associated with Driving an Automobile



**NOTE: Estimates are in 2010\$ and based on NHTSA (2012a) values. GHG (greenhouse gas) emissions use the central value from the U.S. Interagency Working Group on the Social Cost of Carbon (2013). Emissions factors are well-to-wheel for a 24.8-mpg vehicle using data from Argonne National Laboratory (2012). RAND report, 2014, p. 12**

## Some Issues:

- **Privacy**
  - **Big Data Crunching for Mapping and Other Purposes. Who Now Owns  ?**
- **Safety Standards. State by State? NHTSA?**
- **Hacking/Cybersecurity**

# Compensation for Injuries (Liability)

- Faulty cars with Faultless Drivers
- Standard Auto Policy
  - Liability Coverage—“legally responsible”
  - Uninsured/Underinsured—“legally entitled”
- When, if ever, will a faultless driver be “legally responsible” for an accident or be “legally entitled” to recover from a faultless “operator” of a self-driving car?

# Compensation for Injuries (Liability)


- Celent—the demise of auto liability insurance premiums? “[P]roperty/casualty insurers see a major reduction in their auto insurance premiums revenue.”
- Eliminate “human error” and eliminate much of premium for fault-based accidents?
- Similar decrease in comprehensive and collision losses?

## Timing of introduction:

- Google—Approx. 4-5 years
- Others—2020
- Penetration? 10% saves 38 billion economic harm and 1,000 lives.  
90% saves \$447 billion and 21,700 lives. (2013 Eno Center for Transportation Study)
- Example—Electronic Stability Control (ESC)




## What is Electronic Stability Control (ESC)?



ESC is an extension of ABS, which has speed sensors and independent braking for each wheel. Additional sensors monitor how well a vehicle is responding to a driver's input.

# Effects on crash risk


Percent change in crash rates for vehicles with standard ESC vs. optional or no ESC, updated May 2010



[www.iihs.org](http://www.iihs.org)

# Relative overall collision losses


Before and after standard ESC, April 2006



[www.iihs.org](http://www.iihs.org)

# New vehicle series with electronic stability control


By model year



[www.hldi.org](http://www.hldi.org)

# Registered vehicles with electronic stability control


By calendar year



[www.hldi.org](http://www.hldi.org)

# Registered vehicles with electronic stability control, actual and predicted

By calendar year



[www.hldi.org](http://www.hldi.org)

## **Getting Insurance Right Is Critical**

**--Current public attitude towards self-driving cars is tepid. Chubb and CarInsurance.com surveys suggest only about 20% of drivers are ready.**

**--BUT, If reduce insurance by 80%--one-third would buy one, and 9 out of 10 would “consider” buying one, even though 75% thought they could drive a car better than a computer.**

**--Tell Gen Y that they can TEXT, and they may fly of showroom floors.**

# Other Incentives?

- **Cash to retire older cars? Air quality control districts do this now.**
- **Tax Credits/Deductions (Compare electric cars)**
- **Carpool lane?**
- **Higher speed limit for safer cars?**
  - 55 for trucks
  - 65 for manually driven cars
  - 75 for cars in self-driving mode?



## OF ELEPHANTS AND ROOMS

Assume all autos are self-driving, there are no collisions in self-driving mode, and only 1 in 100 miles is driven in manual mode.

--Reduce deaths from 33,000/year to 330?

--Repeal financial responsibility laws

Assume frequency and severity of accidents in self-driving mode is far lower than in manual mode.

Will remaining liability remain with the operator/owner?

Will policy makers (legislatures, administrative agencies, courts) relegate injury compensation to products liability claims against manufacturers and/or supplier? Products Liability suits are less efficient. They generate about 40% in friction costs. Claims directly against drivers generate 5%-6%

What standard for "Defect?" With expanded knowledge of drivers' behavior, what standard for "Negligence?"

## Or:

- 1. Expand an agency analogy – the car is the “agent” of the operator/owner.
- 2. Expand nondelegable duty – defect in the car’s program, like negligently repaired brakes, is attributed in the first instance to the operator/owner. *Maloney v. Rath*, 69 Cal.2d 442 (1968).
- 3. Operator Strictly Liable Up To Financial Responsibility Limits (e.g., \$15,000/\$30,000 /\$5,000in CA)?

Nev. Admin Code sec. 484.1(a), 482.3, 482a(4)(2) provides that autonomous vehicles “shall comply with all statutes and regulation. The **“autonomous technology”** shall be granted all of the rights and shall be subject to all of the duties applicable to the driver . . . .” The person who causes the autonomous vehicle to engage is **“deemed the operator”** and **“for the purposes of enforcing the traffic laws and other laws applicable to drivers . . . shall be deemed the driver.”** Does this language impose a nondelegable duty in tort?

See: <http://www.leg.state.nv.us/register/2011Register/R084-11A.pdf>

Compare Colorado Bill (SB 13-016--indefinitely postponed) “[t]he driver is responsible for any damage caused by a motor vehicle being driven by means of a guidance system to the same degree as if the driver were manually driving the vehicle.”

A web site tracking legislative and administrative developments for autonomous vehicles is:

- [http://cyberlaw.stanford.edu/wiki/index.php/Automated Driving: Legislative and Regulatory Action#State Bills](http://cyberlaw.stanford.edu/wiki/index.php/Automated_Driving:_Legislative_and_Regulatory_Action#State_Bills)

**Piecemeal, state-by-state approach. E.g., assuming a continuing role for liability, underinsured and PIP coverage, what challenges does Proposition 103 present?**

**Proposition 103 applies to rates and premiums for automobile policies “as described in subdivision (a) of Section 660” of the California Insurance Code. Section 660(a) defines “policy” as any:**

**“[A]utomobile liability, automobile physical damage, or automobile collision, or combination thereof . . . insuring a single individual or individuals residing in the same household [if the automobile is] a motor vehicle of the private passenger or station wagon type that is not used as a public or livery conveyance for passengers, nor rented to others.”**

**Auto rates in CA are subject to prior approval and all states provide that rates may not be “excessive, inadequate, or unfairly discriminatory” Nevertheless, Prop. 103 and accompanying regs mandate Rating Factors in the following order of importance (abridged)**

- 1. The Insured’s driving safety record**
- 2. The number of miles he or she drives annually**
- 3. The number of years of driving experience the insured has had.**

See Cal. Ins. Code sec. 1861.05(a), *10 CCR sec. 2632.5*

**The three mandatory factors must be weighted in order and above any optional rating factor – in particular nos. (1) and (2) below:**

- (1) Type of vehicle;
- (2) Vehicle performance capabilities, including alterations made subsequent to original manufacture;
- (3) Type of use of vehicle (pleasure only, commute, business, farm, commute mileage, etc.);
- (4) Percentage use of the vehicle by the rated driver;
- (5) Multi-vehicle households;
- (6) Academic standing of the rated driver;
- (7) Completion of driver training or defensive driving courses by the rated driver;
- (8) Vehicle characteristics, including engine size, safety and protective devices, damageability, reparability, and theft deterrent devices;
- (9) Gender of the rated driver;
- (10) Marital status of the rated driver;
- (11) Persistency (this is a discount for how long you have been with the insurer
- (12) Non-smoker;
- (13) Secondary Driver Characteristics. For drivers not assigned as a primary or secondary driver to another vehicle, this factor may be composed of a combination of the following factors: Safety Record, Years Licensed, Gender, Marital Status, Driver Training, and Academic Status;
- (14) Multi-policies with the same, or an affiliated, company;
- (15) Relative claims frequency.
- (16) Relative claims severity.
- Numbers 15 and 16 are usually referred to as the “territorial rating factors.”

## Good Driver Discount:

**Proposition 103: “at least 20% below the rate the insured would otherwise have been charged.”**

**10 CCR sec. 2632.12(a): “20 percent less than the lowest rate available to a comparable driver who is not a good driver.”**

## Lowering Rates When Technology Rapidly Improves Safety

Proposition 103 provides that “Every insurer which desires to change any rate shall file a complete rate application with the commissioner.” Cal. Ins. Code sec. 1861.05(b.)

What if after every day’s update, the entire fleet is safer? May rates be lowered? Does the insurer/manufacturer keep the savings?

SB1173 (Gaines)—Commissioner must, without hearing, approve rate decrease. 2/3 vote?



# Intervenors


- Intervenors - “Any person may initiate or intervene in any proceeding . . . .” Cal Ins. Code Sec. 1861.10(a).
- Fees—“”The commissioner or a court shall award reasonable advocacy and witness fees and expenses . . . .” In rate applications, the award is to be paid by the insurance company. Cal. Ins. Code sec. 1861.10(b).
- Compare Nevada rate approvals (20 days or fewer) with CA. With intervention (unless settled), over one year.

- The Mandatory Rating Factors, the Good Driver Discount, and impediments to rate change present serious, and unnecessary issues when applied to autonomous vehicles.
- Weighting driving record and years of driving experience above the type of vehicle is arbitrary and will substantially overcharge autonomous vehicles.
- The good driver discount will overcharge “not good” drivers who move to autonomous vehicles. “Not good” public policy?
- Inability to rapidly adjust rates to reflect rapid improvement in safety will overcharge owners/operators.

# The Insurance Merry-go-'Round

- 1. If only manufacturers and suppliers are responsible, Proposition 103's auto rating provisions have no application. Any savings from safety improvements flow to manufacturer's bottom line.
- 2. If automobile drivers and/or their insurers are initially responsible for accidents caused by the self-driving car, the loss can be passed back to the manufacturer and/or supplier.

- The insurance cost of the automobile, then, will pass back to the owner in the cost of the car.



- Most Rating Factors, de facto, move to the average over the pool. Some vanish.

# As the significance of rating factors falls away, others, e.g. territory, will rise in weight.

- Mandatory Rating Factors:
  - ~~1. The Insured' driving safety record~~
  - ~~2. The number of miles he or she drives annually~~
  - ~~3. The number of years of driving experience the insured has had.~~
  - 
  - (1) Type of vehicle;
  - (2) Vehicle performance capabilities, including alterations made subsequent to original manufacture;
  - ~~(3) Type of use of vehicle (pleasure only, commute, business, farm, commute mileage, etc.);~~
  - ~~(4) Percentage use of the vehicle by the rated driver;~~
  - ~~(5) Multi-vehicle households;~~
  - ~~(6) Academic standing of the rated driver;~~
  - ~~(7) Completion of driver training or defensive driving courses by the rated driver;~~
  - (8) Vehicle characteristics, including engine size, safety and protective devices, damageability, reparability, and theft deterrent devices;
  - ~~(9) Gender of the rated driver;~~
  - ~~(10) Marital status of the rated driver;~~
  - ~~(11) Persistency (this is a discount for how long you have been with the insurer~~
  - ~~(12) Non-smoker;~~
  - ~~(13) Secondary Driver Characteristics. For drivers not assigned as a primary or secondary driver to another vehicle, this factor may be composed of a combination of the following factors: Safety Record, Years Licensed, Gender, Marital Status, Driver Training, and Academic Status;~~
  - ~~(14) Multi-policies with the same, or an affiliated, company;~~
  - (15) Relative claims frequency.
  - (16) Relative claims severity.

# Conclusions?

- Clarify Liability Rules?
- Amend Ins. Code Sec. 660 (2/3 vote, “in furtherance” of “purposes”?). If the software is leased or licensed and the car is guided by the manufacturer’s program, can the vehicle be classified as “leased” or a “livery” conveyance?
- Modify Application of Optional Rating Factors? *Spanish Speaking Citizens’ Found., Inc. v. Low*, 103 Cal. Rptr. 2d 75 (Cal Ct. App. 2000)(considerable discretion in Commissioner to integrate mandatory and optional rating factors.
- Perhaps disruptive technology requires disruptive thinking
  - Include OEM warranty covering personal injury? Self-insure, stop-loss or captive?
  - Affinity group insurance as with antique or specialty cars?
  - Move to first-party insurance (e.g., no-fault or UM/UIM models, perhaps as endorsements on H.O. or Renter’s policies)
  - Are Financial Responsibility laws any longer necessary?

# Some Sources, Videos, Etc.

**2014 RAND report on self-driving cars:**

**Here's the entire report:**

**[http://www.rand.org/pubs/research\\_reports/RR443-1.html](http://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR443-1.html)**

**There is a 5 page summary**

**at: [http://www.rand.org/pubs/research\\_briefs/RB9755.html](http://www.rand.org/pubs/research_briefs/RB9755.html)**

**Anthony Levandowski talk and U-tube demo of blind driver:**

**<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hoyTmn3kTeI>**

**Economist Interview, April, 2013:**

**<http://www.economist.com/news/leaders/21576384-cars-have-already-changed-way-we-live-they-are-likely-do-so-again-clean-safe-and-it?bclid=0&bctid=2310309414001>**

**Recent Volvo ad showing importance of being able to text in a self-driving car:**

**<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?shva=1#label/Insurance+Emails/144194cdbbb3cbbf>**

**Peterson article on California insurance issues relating to self-driving cars:  
NEW TECHNOLOGY—OLD LAW: AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES AND CALIFORNIA'S  
INSURANCE FRAMEWORK**

**<http://digitalcommons.law.scu.edu/facpubs/337/>**