Pretend Insurance Company Homeowners Program Rate and Rule Filing

Explanatory Memorandum

Based on Pretend Insurance Company's (PIC's) Florida Homeowners program rate indication, PIC proposes to modify its rates and rules for its Homeowners program on a file and use basis. The proposed effective date for new and renewal business is August 1, 2012.

PIC proposes a +22.4% rate increase.

Background

PIC began writing Homeowners policies in 1990, and has experienced significant rate indications in the past five years, but only been implementing rate changes that are a portion of the indications in an effort to provide affordable insurance to policyholders in Florida. PIC is proposing to continue its effort to maintain affordable insurance by taking a 'stair step approach' to its indicated rate level.

Rate Proposals

The following are some of the key drivers of the Homeowners +46.0% rate indication:

- the rate indication in PIC's prior rate filing a year ago which was +21.0%, when only +9.0% was approved, resulting in a residual indication of 1.21/1.09-1.00=+11.0%
- the +19.6% loss trend, outstripping the +0.0% premium trend, resulting in an estimated loss ratio increase of +20.0% since the prior year's rate filing
- the continued +19.6% loss trend, and +0.0% premium trend.

The double digit loss trend is partially due to sinkhole losses which appear to be increasing in frequency as well as severity but also due to the loss trend all loss perils combined which is further outlined on Exhibit 9 - Pure Premium Trend Factor, enclosed with this filing.

Exhibit 8 – Selected Loss & ALAE Development Factors, enclosed with this filing, provides the loss and allocated loss adjustment expense (ALAE) development factors used to develop the losses and ALAE to ultimate prior to analyzing them in Exhibit 9.

The age to age loss development factors on Exhibit 8 significantly dropped in the most recent diagonal (calendar year development) for each accident year reviewed. We believe this may be a result of a change in reserving practices and will be in a better position to evaluate the potential impact of a change in reserving practices next year, after two years of data become available.

Page 2 of 3

For now, we have selected the historical loss development factors without considering the most recent diagonal in the selection.

Enclosed Exhibits

The following exhibits support the enclosed rate indication and PIC's rate selections. Footnotes document the information sources and calculations.

The enclosed exhibits are organized as follows:

- Summary Exhibit State Required Rate Indication Form (RIF)
- Exhibit 8 Selected Loss & ALAE Development Factors
- Exhibit 9 Pure Premium Trend Factors
- Exhibit 11 Expense and Reinsurance Summary*
- Exhibit 1-7, 10, 12-15 Not included in presentation but would have been included in filing

The exhibits included with the rate level indication support the selections and assumptions underlying the indicated and proposed rate changes. The following section describes each exhibit in more detail.

- Exhibit 8 loss development factors was based on the following sources:
 - Ø PIC's Homeowners Experience
 - **Ø** Industry Schedule P
 - Ø ISO Florida Homeowners

We relied on PIC's direct experience in our selections, supplemented with a review of industry and ISO data.

• Exhibit 9 shows estimated pure premium trends for the historical and prospective periods. They are based on a review of Florida Homeowners non-catastrophe data from PIC.

Please note that Exhibit 9, Page 2, HO-3 ISO quarterly trends for mid-2007 through 2009 were unusually high due to positive frequency trends, but have declined slightly since the beginning of 2010. Our prospective and historical loss trend selection for frequency recognizes the most recent lower frequency by selecting more recent point averages for ISO.

PIC's experience is shown on Page 3. PIC's pure premium trends are very high for the reasons outlined above. There are additional exhibits demonstrating the sinkhole frequency and severity trends (would be included in the filing but not included with this presentation).

• Exhibit 11, Page 1 summarizes PIC's variable and fixed expense selections. The expense ratios are a percent of premium. The expense selections include an underwriting profit provision of 3.7%, consistent with the OIR memorandum from June 2004 (which is the latest available).

Exhibit 11, Page 2 shows PIC's annual expense experience for the past three years, including the 2009 Insurance Expense Exhibit. Selections were based on the expected expenses in the proposed upcoming rate period rather than focused on the most recent lower results in 2009. We have included all the insurance agency contracts demonstrating the commission rates have not changed. In 2009 the commission rate reduced for with wind policies and a high volume of with wind policies were sold, relative to ex-wind policies, decreasing the average commission rate. In 2010 the commission contracts and rates have not changed from 2009. However, we expect to write a higher volume of ex-wind policies since we have tightened our underwriting criteria with respect to with wind policies. Therefore we expect the average commission rate to increase back to more historical levels.

At the bottom of Page 2, we calculate the reinsurance expense ratios by form, based on level in force Wind premium on September 30, 2011. The reinsurance costs are from Page 3, discussed below (not included with the presentation).

RATE INDICATIONS

COMBINED

GROUP NAME:	PRetend Ins Co
PRODUCT TYPE:	Homeowners
STATE:	Florida Experience Only

PROGRAM NAME: POLICY TYPE:	Homeowners HO-3 Combined
COMPANY(IES):	PRetend Ins Co

(A) Latest Accident Year Ending Date:		03/31/10
(B) Annual Premium Trend:	Exhibit 3	0.0%
(C) Annual Loss Trend (Up-to-Date):	Exhibit 9	19.6%
(D) Annual Loss Trend (Projected):		19.6%
(E) Avg. Acc. Date for Proj. Rates:		03/14/12

PREMIUMS:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
			Exhibit 1	Exhibit 1	Exhibit 1	Exhibit 2	Exhibit 3	Exhibit 1
								Trended
						Current		Earned
	Accident		Earned	Written	Earned	Rate	Premium	Premiums
	Year	Months of	House-	Premiums	Premiums	Level	Trend	at C.R.L.
	Ending	Maturity	Years	(\$000's)	(\$000's)	Factors	Factors	(\$000's)
Г	3/31/2006	63	19,100	\$14,435	\$13,808	1.093	1.002	\$15,116
	3/31/2007	51	14,156	23,730	18,445	0.967	1.001	17,853
	3/30/2008	39	15,750	22,332	24,199	0.929	1.001	22,500
	3/31/2009	27	22,500	22,556	21,624	1.107	1.001	23,963
L	3/31/2010	15	23,234	26,288	23,471	1.243	1.001	29,196
П	TOTAL		94,740	\$109,341	\$101,547			\$108,628

NOTES:

- Individual large losses were capped in the territorial indications.

 No adjustment for bad faith or punitive damage claims.

 "Non-Hurricane Cat." contains all non hurricane catastrophe claims as identified by PCS code.

 "Hurricane Cat." contains all hurricane catastrophe claims.

 "Excl. Cats" contains all non-catastrophe claims.

 "Excl. Cats" contains all non-catastrophe claims.

 The rate indication is an expected value estimate that lies within a range of reasonable estimates above and below the indication.

 The hurricane losses are based on 6/30/10 exposures.

ACTUAL LOSSES:

	(1)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)
		Exhibit 4	Exhibit 4	Exhibit 4	Exhibit 5	Exhibit 5	Exhibit 5	Exhibit 5						
		ACTU/	AL INCURRED	LOSSES (\$0	000's)	AC	TUAL INCURRE	ED ALAE (\$00	0's)		NCURRED UL	AE (\$000's)		Actual
					,			**	,			,		Incurred
	Accident													Loss & LAE
	Year		Non-Hurr.	Hurricane			Non-Hurr.	Hurricane			Non-Hurr.	Hurricane		Excl. Cats.
	Ending	Incl. Cats.	Cat.	Cat.	Excl. Cats.	Incl. Cats.	Cat.	Cat.	Excl. Cats.	Incl. Cats.	Cat.	Cat.	Excl. Cats.	(\$000's)
_														
	3/31/2006	\$7,025	\$0	\$3,666	\$3,360	\$656	\$0	\$370	\$286	\$315	\$0	\$120	\$195	\$3,842
	3/31/2007	3,225	188	0	3,037	226	24	0	202	185	11	0	174	3,413
	3/30/2008	4,167	0	0	4,167	424	0	0	424	246	0	0	246	4,837
	3/31/2009	7.616	381	0	7.235	653	38	0	614	443	22	0	421	8.270
	3/31/2010	8,165	0	0	8,165	674	0	0	674	474	0	0	474	9,313
	TOTAL	\$30,200	\$569	\$3,666	\$25,965	\$2,632	\$62	\$370	\$2,200	\$1,663	\$34	\$120	\$1,510	\$29,675

PROJECTED CATASTROPHE LOSSES:

(1)	(22) (23) Exhibit 6 Exhibit 6PROJECTED NON-H		(24) <i>Exhibit 6</i> HURR. CAT (\$	(25) Exhibit 6 6000's)
Accident Year Ending	Losses	ALAE	ULAE	Losses & LAE
3/31/2006	\$410	\$35	\$24	\$468
3/31/2007	306	26	18	350
3/30/2008	368	31	21	421
3/31/2009	537	45	31	613
3/31/2010	529	45	31	604
TOTAL	\$2,149	\$182	\$125	\$2,456

(26)	(27)	(28)	(29)	(30)	(31)	(32)	(33)
		Exhibit 7		Exhibit 7	Exhibit 7	Exhibit 7	Exhibit 7
P	OLICY IN-FOR	CE DATA IN	NSERT APPLI	CABLE DATE I	N YELLOW HI	GHLIGHTED CEL	L
n-force 6/30/10	using catastro	ophe model 1 v	ersion prior to	the currently as	vailable version	that just came or	ut last month
	-	•	PREMIUM	-		-	
	POLICIES	PREMIUM	IN-FORCE	Projected	Projected	Projected	Projected
POLICIES	IN-FORCE	IN-FORCE	AT C.R.L.	HURRICANE	HURRICANE	HURRICANE	HURRICANE
IN-FORCE		AT C.R.L.	(\$000's)	Losses	ALAE	ULAE	Loss & LAE
	POLICIES	(\$000's)	POLICIÉS	(\$000's)	(\$000's)	(\$000's)	(\$000's)
ALL	THAT	ALL	THAT	,	,		
POLICIES	INCL WIND	POLICIES	INCL WIND				
27.329	22,361	\$34.698	\$29.539	\$5.810	\$458	\$190	\$6.458

DEVELOPMENT OF PROJECTED LOSS & LAE RATIO:

(1)	(34)	(35)	(36)	(37)
		Exhibit 8	Exhibit 9	
				Trended &
	Actual	Loss & ALAE		Developed
	Incurred	Develop-		Incurred
Accident	Loss & LAE	ment	Loss	Loss & LAE
Year	Excl. Cats.	Factors	Trend	Excl. Cats.
Ending	(\$000's)		Factors	(\$000's)
3/31/2006	\$3,842	1.006	3.169	\$12,253
3/31/2007	3,413	1.012	2.651	9,159
3/30/2008	4,837	1.026	2.218	11,009
3/31/2009	8,270	1.047	1.854	16,050
3/31/2010	9,313	1.095	1.551	15,814
TOTAL	\$29,675			\$64,285

(38)	(39)	(40)	(41)	(42)	(43)	(44)	(45)
		Projected			Projected	Exhibit 10	Weighted
Projected	Bad Faith/	Incurred	Adjustment	Final	Incurred		Proj.
Incurred	Punitive	Loss & LAE	Factor	Adjusted	Loss & LAE	Selected	Incurred
Loss & LAE	Damage	Incl.	for Law	Expected	Ratio	Accident	Loss & LAE
Incl.	Loss & ALAE	Non-Hurr Cat.	Changes,	Incurred	Incl.	Year	Incl.
Non-Hurr Cat	Incl. in (34)	Excl. BF/PD	Etc.	Loss & LAE	Non-Hurr Cat	Weights	Non-Hurr Cat
(\$000's)	(\$000's)	(\$000's)		(\$000's)	(\$000's)		Excl. BF/PD
\$12,721	\$0	\$12,721	1.000		84.2%	13.9%	
9,509	0	9,509	1.000	9,509	53.3%	16.4%	
11,429	0	11,429	1.000		50.8%		
16,663	0	16,663	1.000		69.5%		
16,418	0	16,418	1.000	16,418	56.2%	26.9%	
\$66,741	\$0	\$66,741		\$66,741	61.4%	100.0%	61.4%

PROSPECTIVE EXPENSE PROVISIONS (% OF PREMIUM):

(46)	(47)	(48)	(49)
	Exhibit 11	Exhibit 11	
Category	Fixed	Variable	Total
of Expected	Expense	Expense	Expense
Expense	Loading *	Loading	Loading
Commissions	0.00%	14.90%	14.9%
Other Acquisition	2.55%	2.55%	5.1%
General	3.75%	3.75%	7.5%
Premium Taxes	0.00%	1.75%	1.8%
Misc. Licenses & Fees	0.00%	0.25%	0.3%
Profit & Contingency	0.00%	3.70%	3.7%
Contingent Commissions	0.00%	0.00%	0.0%
Non-FHCF Reins. Cost***	14.46%	0.00%	14.5%
FHCF Reins, Cost	2.76%	0.00%	2.8%
Other Expense (Specify**)	0.00%	0.00%	0.0%
TOTAL EXPENSES	23.5%	26.9%	50.4%
PERMISSIBLE LOSS & LAE			49.6%
* - Must reflect trend and/or other adju	ustments since last filir	ng	

- This cost must exclude the Cost of Reinsurance to Replace available TICL Coverage including the TICL Reduction. The Cost of Reinsurance to Replace available TICL Coverage including the TICL Reduction must be included in (59A).

DEVELOPMENT OF RATE LEVEL INDICATIONS:

(50)	18.6%	Projected Hurricane Loss & LAE ratio = (33) / (28)	
(51)	80.1%	Proj. Incurred Loss & LAE Ratio (Incl. all catastrophes)	
(52)	23.5%	Expected Fixed Expense Ratio	
(53)	26.9%	Expected Variable Expense Ratio	
(54)	41.7%	Rate Level Indication (Before Credibility)	
(55)	1.00	Credibility Exhibit 12	
(56)	19.5%	Expected Annual Net Trend	
		(i.e., Projected Loss Trend Net of Premium Trend)	
(57)	1.00	_Assumed Number of Years Since Last Rate Review	
(58)	#N/A	Expected Net Trend Since Last Rate Review	
_		(Value receives complement of credibility)	
(59)	41.7%	Credibility-Weighted Rate Level Indication	
(59A)	4.3%	Cost of Reinsurance to Replace available TICL	Exhibit 11
(59B)	46.0%	Coverage including the TICL Reduction Rate Level Indication including (59A)	
(60)	22.4%	Company Selected Rate Change	Exhibit 14

Selected Loss & ALAE Development Factors

(As of June 30, 2010)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Fiscal Accident Year	Accident Year Age as of 6/30/10	Pretend Ins. Co. Experience (Page 2)	Schedule P Industry Homeowners (Page 3)	ISO Homeowners (Page 4)	Selected = (2)
2006	63	1.006	1.010	1.003	1.006
2007	51	1.012	1.018	1.007	1.012
2008	39	1.026	1.029	1.013	1.026
2009	27	1.047	1.056	1.033	1.047
2010	15	1.095	1.175	1.114	1.095

Note:

^{1.} Fiscal accident years are the twelve months ending March 31st of the year displayed.

Reported Loss & ALAE Development Factor

(As of June 30, 2010)

Fiscal						
Accident Year	<u>15</u>	27	nths of Development 39	<u>51</u>	63	
	<u>10</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>00</u>	
2005	\$3,955	\$4,130	\$4,211	\$4,255	\$4,280	
2006	3,671	3,836	3,908	3,950	3,952	
2007	3,250	3,400	3,469	3,480		
2008	4,350	4,568	4,591			
2009	7,800	7,849				
2010	8,839					
Fiscal						
Accident	Development Factors					
Year	<u>15 - 27</u>	<u>27 - 39</u>	<u>39 - 51</u>	<u>51 - 63</u>	<u>63 - Ult.</u>	
2005	1.044	1.020	1.010	1.006		
2006	1.045	1.019	1.011	1.001		
2007	1.046	1.020	1.003			
2008	1.050	1.005				
2009	1.006					
Age-to-Age						
Simple Average	1.038	1.016	1.008	1.003		
Weighted Average	1.033	1.015	1.008	1.003		
Schedule P Homeowners LDF	1.113	1.026	1.011	1.008	1.010	
ISO Homeowners	1.079	1.019	1.006	1.004	1.003	
Selected	1.046	1.020	1.014	1.006	1.006	
Age-to-Ult	1.095	1.047	1.026	1.012	1.006	

- Notes:

 1. Data provided by Pretend Insurance Company.

 2. Loss data based on non-catastrophe both Wind and AOP HO-3 in \$000s.

 3. Losses have been adjusted to reflect legislative reform related to sinkhole losses.

 Sinkhole losses and ALAE occurring prior to 10/1/06 have been reduced by 14.4%.

 4. Selections based on average of Industry and ISO for ages 51-63 and 63-Ult, and based on a smoothed average of ISO and company data for earlier ages.

Pure Premium Trend Factor

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
		Trend Dates			Trend Periods (Years)		
	'-	Latest AY	_	to Latest	Latest	Trend	
Accident	Average	Average	Average	Avg AY	AY	Factor	
<u>Year</u>	Experience	Experience	Proposed	Experience	to Proposed	(Note 2)	
2006	9/29/2005	9/29/2009	3/14/2012	4.000	2.456	3.169	
2007	9/29/2006	9/29/2009	3/14/2012	3.000	2.456	2.651	
2008	9/29/2007	9/29/2009	3/14/2012	2.000	2.456	2.217	
2009	9/29/2008	9/29/2009	3/14/2012	1.000	2.456	1.854	
2010	9/29/2009	9/29/2009	3/14/2012	0.000	2.456	1.551	
ISO Historical Annual Trend (Page 2)							
Company Historical Annual Trend (Page 3)							
Selected Historical Trend from Experience to Evaluation (Note 4)							
ISO Prospective Annual Trend (Page 2)							
Selected Prospective Trend from Evaluation to Proposed (Note 5)							

Notes:

- 1. Column (3) based on annual policies with rates in force for a 1 year period beginning 3/15/2011.
- 2. Column (6) = (1 + Selected Historical Trend) ^ (4) x (1 + Selected Prospective Trend) ^ (5).
- 3. Fiscal accident years are the twelve months ending March 31st of the year displayed.
- 4. The selected historical trend is based on company data.
- 5. The selected prospective loss trend = selected historical + change in ISO = [19.6% = 19.6% + 19.7% 19.7%].

Homeowners Pure Premium Trend Based on Company Data

(As of June 30, 2010)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
Accident Year	Non-Cat Reported Loss (Exh 4, Pg 1)	Reported ALAE (Exh 4, Pg 6)	Reported Loss & ALAE (1) + (2)	Loss Development Factor (State Page)	Ultimate Loss & ALAE (3) x (4)	Pure Premium (5) / (11) x 1000	Change in Pure Premium	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	\$3,360 3,037 4,167 7,235 8,165	\$592 443 424 614 674	\$3,952 3,480 4,591 7,849 8,839	1.006 1.012 1.026 1.047 1.095	\$3,977 3,523 4,712 8,216 9,678	\$208 249 299 365 417	19.5% 20.2% 22.1% 14.1%	
Total	\$25,965	\$2,747	\$28,712		\$30,107		18.9%	
					Estimated Trend (Note 5)	4-Year 3-Year 2-Year 1-Year	18.9% 18.7% 18.0% 14.1%	
				S	Implied Annual Histor elected Annual Histor ected Annual Prospec	rical Trend (Note 7)	16.8% 19.6% 19.6%	
	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
	Reported Claim Count (Exh 8, Pg 5)	Claim Development Factor (Exh 8, Pg 5)	Ultimate Claim Count (8) x (9)	Earned Exposures (State Page)	Frequency (10) / (11) x 1000	Change in Frequency	Severity (5) / (10) x 1000	Change in Severity
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	448 371 461 745 841	1.000 1.000 1.004 1.012 1.039	448 371 463 754 874	19,100 14,156 15,750 22,500 23,234	23.455 26.208 29.376 33.508 37.620	11.7% 12.1% 14.1% 12.3%	\$8,877 9,496 10,184 10,898 11,073	7.0% 7.2% 7.0% 1.6%
Total	2,866		2,910	94,740		12.5%		5.7%
			Es	stimated Trend (Note 5)	4-Year 3-Year 2-Year 1-Year	12.5% 12.8% 13.2% 12.3%		5.7% 5.3% 4.3% 1.6%
					rical Trend (Note 6) rical Trend (Note 7)	12.7% 11.7%		3.6% 7.0%

- 1. Dollar amounts in Columns (1), (2), (3) and (5) are in thousands.
- 2. Data includes non-catastrophe, both wind and AOP HO-3.
- 3. Losses have been adjusted to reflect legislative reform related to sinkhole losses. Sinkhole losses and ALAE occurring prior to 10/1/06 have been reduced by 14.4%.
- 4. Because of the significant growth from year to year, the company trends are subject to distributional shifts that may distort the results.
- 5. Trend for each year is calculated as the nth-root of the ratio between historical pure premium and the current pure premium. For example, the 4-year trend for pure premium = (417 / 208) ^ (1/4) - 1. Same formula applies to the trends for frequency and severity.
- 6. Implied Annual Historical Trend is the weighted average of the 1-year through 4-year trends using Column (5) as weights.
- 7. Frequency selected annual historical trend based on implied trend. Selected severity trend judgementally excludes anomalous effect of 2008 severity. Pure premium selection = (1 + frequency selection) x (1 + severity selection) - 1.

 8. Prospective trend selected to equal historical trend.

Pretend Insurance Company Homeowners HO-3 Combined

Fixed and Variable Expense Ratios - to Apply to Combined Premium

(1) (2) (3)

			Combined	
	Expense	Fixed	Variable	Total
A.	Commissions	0.00%	14.90%	14.90%
B.	Other Acquisition	2.55%	2.55%	5.10%
C.	General	3.75%	3.75%	7.50%
D.	Premium Taxes	0.00%	1.75%	1.75%
E.	Misc Licenses & Fees	0.00%	0.25%	0.25%
F1. F2. F3.	Reinsurance - Private Costs (Excl. FHCF and TICL Repl.) Reinsurance - FHCF Reinsurance - TICL Replacement Expense	14.46% 2.76% 4.35%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	14.46% 2.76% 4.35%
G.	Profit & Contingency	0.00%	3.70%	3.70%
Н.	Total Expense and Profit	27.87%	26.90%	54.77%
I.	Total Expense and Profit Excluding TICL Repl.	23.52%	26.90%	50.42%
J.	Permissible Loss & LAE Ratio			49.58%

Notes:

- 1. Sources of Columns (1) and (3): (A) (F) Page 2; and (G) OIR June 2004 memorandum.
- 2. Item (D) based on 1.75% premium tax; Item E = Page 2 Total Taxes, Licenses & Fees less Item (D)
- 3. Item (H) = sum of Items (A) to (G).
- 4. Item (I) = Item (H) Item (F3)
- 5. Item (J) = 100.0% Item (I).

Pretend Insurance Company Homeowners **HO-3 Combined**

Summary of Expense Information

		(1) 2007 IEE	(2) 2008 IEE	(3) 2009 IEE	(4) Average	(5) Total Selected	(6) Fixed Selected
(1)	Written Premium (ex Fees)	\$26,779	\$23,877	\$29,690			
(2)	Earned Premium (ex Fees)	26,442	24,276	26,738			
(3)	Commission and Brokerage (ex Fees) (3) / (1)	\$3,765 14.1%	\$3,579 15.0%	\$2,807 9.5%	12.80%	14.90% [a]	0.00%
(4)	Other Acquisition Expense (4) / (1)	\$1,550 5.8%	\$1,573 6.6%	\$800 2.7%	5.00%	5.10% [b]	2.55% [c]
(5)	General Expenses (5) / (2)	\$1,906 7.2%	\$1,851 7.6%	\$500 1.9%	5.60%	7.50% [b]	3.75% [c]
(6)	Taxes, Licenses & Fees (6) / (1)	\$530 2.0%	\$413 1.7%	\$388 1.3%	1.70%	2.00% [d]	0.00%
(7)	Other Expenses (7) / (1)	\$50 0.2%	(\$33) -0.1%	(\$37) -0.1%	0.00%	0.00% [b]	0.00%
(8)	Total of (3) - (7) Ratios	29.2%	30.8%	15.2%	25.10%	29.50%	
(9)	Reinsurance Cost (Page 3)	Total				21.57%	21.57%
	(, ago o)	Fixed, FHCF Fixed, TICL Replacem Fixed, Private (Total 6	•			2.76% 4.35% 14.46%	2.76% 4.35% 14.46%

- 1. Source of Columns (1) (3): Pretend Company Homeowners IEEs, premiums and commission and brokerage excluding policy fees.
- 2. Dollar amounts are in thousands.
- Selections in Columns (5) and (6) provided by Pretend Company, based on the following:
 [a] Selection based on three year average guaranteed commission excluding contingent commission (10.9%) + 4% MGA agreement.
 - [b] Selections based on three year average, rounded to one decimal point.

 - [c] Selections for Column (6) fixed expenses assume half of Row (4) and Row (5) are fixed and half are variable.
 [d] Selection based on 1.75% Florida premium tax rate + 0.25% for miscellaneous licenses and fees, totalling 2.00%.