

Insurance Programs and Analytic Services

# Using Collateral Information to Blend Predictions

John Baldan, ISO March 10, 2015



### **Examples of Blending**

#### Example #1

A company wants to blend its own experience with information provided by a third-party vendor.

Example: a company has personal auto bodily injury loss costs by territory, and wishes to blend them with third-party modeled loss costs by zip code.



## **Examples of Blending**

#### Example #2

A company wants to blend its own statewide and countrywide reviews.

Example: a company has developed classification relativities on a single state's data, and wants to blend in relativities derived in a previously performed countrywide analysis.



#### **Future Research**

We intend to use the methodology discussed at this session to perform the analysis described above in Example #1.

Will share results either at a future CAS meeting or via publication, or both.



# Setting up the GLM

Using the company's policy-level data, use a GLM to predict pure premium.

- Regressor: company manual loss cost, including both geographic and non-geographic rating factors.
- Also include third-party zip loss cost as either an offset or a regressor.
- Model form: log link, Tweedie distribution
- Weight: earned exposure
- SAS PROC HPTWEEDIE also estimates optimal Tweedie "power" parameter p. (Single-company estimates I've seen range from 1.41 to 1.67.)



#### **Calculations**

Perform the calculations as outlined in the Frees and Shi presentation, slides 14-15.

The key idea is to determine the mean of the posterior Bayesian distribution for each zip code relativity  $\alpha_j$ . Use the paper's assumption of a Tweedie-distributed claims distribution and corresponding conjugate prior distribution.



# Bayesian "belief" parameter - $\phi_{\alpha}$

The one free parameter in the model specifies the judgmental belief in the third-party's zip loss costs.

- $\Phi_{\alpha} = 0 \rightarrow$  rely completely on agency prediction
- $\Phi_{\alpha} = \infty \rightarrow$  rely completely on company's own data

The blending happens here:

$$E(\alpha_{j} \mid data) = \frac{\Phi}{\Phi + \Phi_{\alpha} * f(\mu_{i}, p)} + \left(1 - \frac{\Phi}{\Phi + \Phi_{\alpha} * f(\mu_{i}, p)}\right) * g(y_{i}, \mu_{i}, p)$$



# How to select $\phi_{\alpha}$ ?

How do you know how much to believe the thirdparty data?

Take the "Empirical Bayesian" viewpoint: split the company data into training and holdout, score the holdout data, then select  $\Phi_{\alpha}$  that optimizes lift on the holdout data.



## How to select $\phi_{\alpha}$ ?

• Score the holdout data:

 $E(\alpha_j|training data) * ZIP loss cost * company non-geographic rating factor$ 

Compare Gini indices measured on the holdout data:

- $_{\odot}$  Prediction using ZIP loss cost offset, optimal  $\phi\alpha$
- $\circ$  Prediction using ZIP loss cost regressor, optimal  $\phi_{\alpha}$
- Company manual loss cost