

2008 Predictive Modeling Seminar

Estimating Loss Cost at the Address Level

N A

Glenn Meyers ISO Innovative Analytics

Territorial Ratemaking

Territories should be big

 Have a sufficient volume of business to make credible estimates of the losses.

 Territories should be small

 "You live near that bad corner!"
 Driving conditions vary within territory.

Some Environmental Features Related to Auto Accidents

Proximity to Business Districts

- Workplaces
 - Busy at beginning and end of work day
- Shopping Centers
 - Always busy (especially on weekends)
- Restaurants
 - Busy at mealtimes
- Schools

Busy and beginning and end or school day

Some Environmental Features Related to Auto Accidents

- Weather
 - Rainfall
 - Temperature
 - Snowfall (especially in hilly areas)
- Traffic Density
 - More traffic sharing the same space increases odds of collision
- Others

Combining Environmental Variables at a Particular Garage Address

- Individually, the geographic variables have a predictable effect on accident rate and severity.
- Variables for a particular location could have a combination of positive and negative effects.
- ISO has built a model to calculate the combined effect of all variables.
 - Based on countrywide data Actuarially credible

View as Case Study in **Model Development** Reduction in number of variables Necessary for small insurers Special circumstances in fitting models to individual auto data. Diagnostics – Graphic and Maps Economic value of lift

Data Used in Building Model

Obtained loss, exposure, classification and address for individual policies from cooperating insurers

- ISO Statistical Plan data
- Third-Party Data
 - Traffic
 - Business Location
 - Demographic
 - Weather
 - etc

Approximately 1,000 indicators

Environmental Module Examples

Comprised of over 1000 indicators

Weather:

 Measures of snowfall, rainfall, temperature, wind and elevation

Traffic Density and Driving Patterns:

- Commute patterns
- Public transportation usage
- Population density
- Types of housing

Traffic Composition

- Demographic groups
- Household size
- Homeownership

- Traffic Generators
 - Transportation hubs
 - Shopping centers
 - Hospitals/medical centers
 - Entertainment districts
- Experience and trend:
 - ISO loss cost
 - State frequency and severity trends from ISO lost cost analysis

Techniques Employed in Variable Reduction

- Variable Selection univariate analysis, transformations, known relationship to loss
- Sampling
- Sub models/data reduction neural nets, splines, principal component analysis, variable clustering
- Spatial Smoothing with parameters related to auto insurance loss patterns

In Depth for Weather Component



Environmental Model Loss Cost = Pure Premium = Frequency x Severity

Frequency =
$$\frac{e^{\lambda}}{1+e^{\lambda}}$$

λ = Intercept
+ Weather
+ Traffic Density
+ Traffic Generators
+ Traffic Composition
+ Experience and Trend

Environmental Model Loss Cost = Pure Premium = Frequency x Severity

Severity = e^{μ}

μ = Intercept
+ Weather
+ Traffic Density
+ Traffic Generators
+ Traffic Composition
+ Experience and Trend

Environmental Model Loss Cost = Pure Premium = Frequency x Severity

Separate Models by Coverage

- Bodily Injury Liability
- No-Fault
- Property Damage Liability
- Collision
- Comprehensive

Constructing the Components Frequency Model as Example $\lambda =$ Intercept $+ \alpha_1 \cdot \mathbf{X}_1 + \ldots + \alpha_{n_1} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{n_1}$ = Weather + $\alpha_{n_1+1} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{n_1+1} + \dots + \alpha_{n_2} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{n_2}$ = Traffic Density + $\alpha_{n_2+1} \cdot X_{n_2+1} + \dots + \alpha_{n_3} \cdot X_{n_3}$ = Traffic Generators + $\alpha_{n_3+1} \cdot X_{n_3+1} + \dots + \alpha_{n_4} \cdot X_{n_4}$ = Traffic Composition + $\alpha_{n_4+1} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{n_4+1} + \dots + \alpha_{n_5} \cdot \mathbf{X}_{n_5}$ = Experience & Trend + Other Classifiers

 Constructing the Components Frequency Model as Example
 "Other Classifiers" reflect driver, vehicle, limits and deductibles.
 Model output is deployed to a base class, standard limits and deductibles.

Overall Model Diagnostics

- Sort in order of increasing prediction
 - Frequency & Severity
- Group observations in buckets
 - 1/100th of record count for frequency
 - 1/50th of the record count for severity
- Calculate bucket averages
- Apply the GLM link function for bucket averages and predicted value
 - logit for frequency
 - log for severity
- Plot predicted vs empirical
 - With confidence bands

Overall Diagnostics - Frequency



Overall Diagnostics - Severity

Empirical vs. Predicted Log (Base 10) Severities: BI



Component Diagnostics Frequency Example
Sort observations in order of C_i
Bucket as above and calculate

C_{ib} = Average C_i in bucket b
p_{ib} = Average p_i in bucket b
Partial Residuals

$$R_{ib} = ln\left(\frac{p_{ib}}{1-p_{ib}}\right) - \left(\lambda + \sum_{k\neq i} C_{kb}\right)$$

Plot C_{ib} vs R_{ib} – Expect linear relationship

Component Diagnostics Experience and Trend



Component Diagnostics Traffic Composition



Component Diagnostics Traffic Density



Component Diagnostics Traffic Generators



Component Diagnostics Weather



Comparing Model Output to Current Loss Costs

- Model output is deployed to a base class, standard limits and deductibles.
 - Similar to current loss cost, but at garaging address rather than territory.
- Define:

Relativity = Model Output Current Loss Cost

Relativity is proportional to premium that could be charged with "refined loss costs" using the model output.

Relativities to Current Loss Costs



Comp Relativity



Collision Relativity



Newark NJ Area Combined Relativity



Evaluating the Lift of the Environmental Model Demonstrate the ability to select the more profitable risks Demonstrate the adverse effect of competitors "skimming the cream" Calculate the "Value of Lift" statistic

Once insurers see the value of lift other actions are possible – Change prices (etc)

Effect of Selecting Lower Relativities

Selective Underwriting for BI



Selective Underwriting for Comp



% Decrease in Loss Ratio % Decrease in Loss Ra

% Premium Selected



Selective Underwriting for Coll

Selective Underwriting for PD

Effect of Competitors Selecting Lower Relativities

Antiselection for BI





Antiselection for Comprehensive







Assumptions of The Formula Value of Lift (VoL)

- Assume a competitor comes in and takes away the business that is less than your class average.
- Because of adverse selection, the new loss ratio will be higher than the current loss ratio.
- What is the value of avoiding this fate?
- VoL is proportional to the difference between the new and the current loss ratio.
- Express the VoL as a \$ per car year.

The VoL Formula

L_C = Current losses
 P_C = Current Loss Cost
 L_N = New losses of business remaining

 After adverse selection

 P_N = New Loss Cost

 After adverse selection

 E_C = Current exposure in car years



- The numerator represents \$ value of the potential cost of competitors skimming the cream.
- Dividing by E_C expresses this value as a \$ value per car year.

Value of Lift Results on Pilot Testers

| Coverage | Value of Lift |
|---------------|---------------|
| BI Liability | \$4.99 |
| PD Liability | \$3.63 |
| Collision | \$1.61 |
| Comprehensive | \$4.85 |
| PIP | \$15.04 |
| Combined | \$13.29 |

Customized Model Loss Cost = Pure Premium = Frequency x Severity

Frequency =
$$\frac{e}{1+}$$

$$\frac{0}{1+e^{\lambda}}$$

 $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_5 \equiv 1$ in industry model

Severity model customized similarly $\lambda = \alpha_0$ + α_1 · Weather + α_2 · Traffic Density + α_3 · Traffic Generators + α_{A} · Traffic Composition

+ $\alpha_5 \cdot \text{Experience and Trend}$

+ Other Classifiers

Summary

Model estimates loss cost as a function of business, demographic and weather conditions.

Demonstrated model diagnostics

Demonstrated lift

Indicated how to customize the model