

Using Predictive Models for Competitive Advantage in the Market

The Business Case for Predictive Modeling

Session C 5

2007 CAS Spring Meeting, Orlando, FL

June 18, 2007

Martha Winslow, FCAS, MAAA

This document is incomplete without the accompanying discussion; it is confidential and intended solely for the information and benefit of the immediate recipient hereof.

Market leaders took advantage of the prevailing economic dynamics to change the rules of the game

ILLUSTRATIVE



The benefits of pricing enhancements fall into two main areas





Enhancing pricing sophistication and competitiveness is a journey

Inaccurate rates make it difficult to attract and retain the business you want



Enhancing pricing sophistication to maintain competitiveness is a multi-faceted challenge

	None	Low	Medium	High	Very High	
Rating Plan Design	 Traditional "ISO" type Age, gender, marital status, etc. 	Few tiers	Low, plus:More tiersMultiple new variables	 Medium, plus: Credit (proprietary) Interactions between variables 	<i>High, plus:</i> Creative new variables Cross-LOB variables Vary expenses by segment	
Territories	 Follow historical ISO territories 	County/city/ZIP variations	 Independent territories (often ZIP based) 	 Different and complex territories varying by coverage/peril 	 Different rate for every ZIP; possibly for every location 	
Vehicle Symbols	 Use ISO physical damage symbols and relativities No liability symbols 	 Use ISO physical damage symbols and relativities No liability symbols 	 Introduce liability symbols Estimate relativities of ISO symbols using multivariate approach 	 Customized or proprietary symbols 	 Customized/proprietary symbols interact with other variables 	
Data	Limited (internal)Bureau	InternalPurchased credit	Low plus: More internal data Externally purchased info Geo-demographic, weather, etc.	Medium, plus:Components of credit scoreMore detailed insured data	 High, plus: Transformed variables (i.e., combinations of internal/external info) 	
Modeling Approach	None	Univariate	Simple multivariate	 Complex models with significant variable interactions 	More complex models	
Pricing Strategy	 Uniform rate changes by class Possible variations across territories 	 Uniform rate changes by class Possible variations by tier to reduce cross subsidies 	 Cost-based Limited cross-subsidy 	 Cost-based with competitive/marketing consideration 	 Price optimization Competitor behavior Price elasticity (consumer behavior) Cycle management 	
Competitive Sensing	None	 Qualitative agent-driven 	Small sample/profile	Rating engine	Rating engine	

Sophistication of Rating Plans

Understanding market competitiveness is the key to pricing approach enhancement

The Challenge

- Understand how rates compare to the market and key competitors
- Understand rating differences with key competitors by tier and individual factors
- Understand the dispersion of competitors' rates and your position in the market
- Identify pricing adjustments that will increase profitability and/or market share

One Solution

Competitive Market Analysis (CMA)

- Comprehensive approach to improving market competitiveness
- Three-part approach
 - Rating plan analysis
 - Competitor rate dispersion analysis
 - Pricing adjustment recommendation

CMA compares pricing for the entire book against competitors...

ILLUSTRATIVE



Source: Tillinghast analysis.

...and compares your price to individual competitors for clusters of risks

ILLUSTRATIVE



Source: Tillinghast analysis.

CMA also identifies opportunities for adjusting prices by market cluster...

ILLUSTRATIVE



Price Optimization blends together predictive modeling, competitive market analysis, and customer behavior knowledge



Price optimization example

	Profit Margin (\$)	New Business Win Ratio	New Policies per 1000 Quotes		Total Profit(\$)				
Segment With High Competitive Intensity									
	11	24.0%	240		2,640				
	13	21.0%	210		2,730				
	15	20.0%	200		3,000				
	17	19.0%	190		3,230	<optimized< td=""></optimized<>			
	19	16.0%	160		3,040				
	20	14.0%	140		2,800	<traditional< td=""></traditional<>			
	21	12.0%	120		2,520				
Segment With Low Competitive Intensity									
	13	30.0%	300		3,900				
	15	28.0%	280		4,200				
	17	27.0%	270		4,590				
	19	26.0%	260		4,940				
	20	25.5%	255		5,100	<traditional< td=""></traditional<>			
	21	25.0%	250		5,250				
	23	24.0%	240		5,520	<optimized< td=""></optimized<>			
	25	22.0%	220		5,500				
	27	20.0%	200		5,400				
TOTAL									
Traditional	20.0		395		7,900				
Optimized	20.3		430		8,750				

Before embarking on any new pricing journey, determine the strategic objectives against which the project can be measured

