

Commutations

A Cedant's Perspective on Risk Load

Presented by: Lori E. Julga, FCAS, MAAA
Principal and Consulting Actuary

Casualty Actuarial Society
2010 Spring Meeting
May 23-26, 2010



Common Reasons for Commutations From a Cedant's Perspective

- Uncertain of financial stability of reinsurer
- Cash flow incentives
- To free themselves from reinsurers with whom they no longer have a good relationship
- To settle disputes regarding ceded business
- Commuting both inwards and outwards business
- To reduce administrative costs of reporting information to reinsurers
- To reduce Schedule F penalties

2



Drawbacks for Commutations From a Cedant's Perspective

- Increases uncertainty of the unpaid claim liabilities and associated volatility
- Surplus implications
 - Reassume undiscounted liabilities, but usually only receive cash for the discounted value of the liabilities (with possibility of an additional risk load)
- Additional capital is required or allocated to the additional liabilities

3



Common Reasons for Commutations From a Reinsurer's Perspective

- To eliminate the uncertainty of the unpaid claim liabilities and associated volatility
- To reduce the administrative expenses associated with the claim liabilities
- To avoid having to deliver "bad news" about adverse development to upper management or shareholders
- To free themselves of insureds with whom they no longer have a good relationship
- To allow upper management to focus their attention to on-going operations
- To free up capital that is associated with the liabilities

4



Measuring the Effect of Capital Needs Due to a Commutation

- One way to measure the effect is to look at risk based capital (RBC) requirements under alternative scenarios
- Depending on the companies involved, the capital needs may differ for the cedant and reinsurer
- Results would vary based on:
 - Type of Company (Life versus P/C)
 - Distribution of assets
 - Distribution of reserves
 - Loss development by line of business
 - Premium
 - Expense ratio
 - The lines of business being commuted

5



Effects on Capital - Hypothetical Example 1 From a Cedant's Perspective

- P&C Insurance Company – primarily a WC writer
 - Assets: \$115 million
 - Liabilities: \$90 million
 - Surplus: \$25 million
- Apply RBC formula
 - Before and after commutation and increase of additional liabilities

6



RBC Indication – Prior to Commutation of Reinsurance and Retain Additional Losses

RBC Category	Amount	Overall Capital Factor	RBC Requirement
R1 Asset Risk - Fixed Income	100,000,000	0.002	195,000
R2 Asset Risk - Equity	15,000,000	0.049	730,000
R3 Asset Risk - Credit	49,000,000	0.049	2,410,000
R4 Underwriting Risk - Reserves	90,000,000	0.119	10,696,500
R5 Underwriting Risk - Premium	42,000,000	0.128	5,367,264
Total RBC After Covariance			12,231,176

7



Observations

- In this example, the Company's surplus is \$25 million
- Higher than RBC indication of \$12.2 million
- The ACL – authorized control level is \$6.1 million
- A P/C company's RBC requirement is largely driven by its underwriting component, especially Reserve Risk (R4 in the example above)
- Increasing the amount of unpaid claim liabilities would increase the indicated RBC requirement

8



Effects on Capital – Hypothetical Example 1

- Assume \$25 million of WC exposures are commuted back to the primary company
- For simplicity – assume \$20 million of cash is received and the liabilities increase by \$25 million, however, ceded reinsurance (i.e., reinsurance recoverables) also decrease by \$25 million
- Surplus decreases by \$5 million
 - Assets: \$135 million
 - Liabilities: \$115 million
 - Surplus: \$20 million
- Apply RBC formula

9



**RBC Indication – After \$25 Million
Commutation – Liabilities Increased**

RBC Category	Amount	Overall Capital Factor	RBC Requirement
R1 Asset Risk - Fixed Income	120,000,000	0.002	255,000
R2 Asset Risk - Equity	15,000,000	0.049	730,000
R3 Asset Risk - Credit	25,000,000	0.047	1,170,000
R4 Underwriting Risk - Reserves	115,000,000	0.103	11,792,750
R5 Underwriting Risk - Premium	42,000,000	0.128	5,367,264
Total RBC After Covariance			13,032,394

10



Observations

- In this example, the Company's surplus decreased to \$20 million
- Higher than RBC indication of \$13.0 million
- RBC indication changed by \$801,000 or 3.2% of commuted reserves
- The primary company was already getting an RBC charge for the ceded reserves. When the reserves were commuted, the net reserves increased, but the ceded reserves decreased.
- Overall impact may not be significant to the Company utilizing the above assumptions
 - What happens if the assumptions are different

11



**Effects on Capital – Hypothetical Example 2
From a Cedent's Perspective**

- P&C Insurance Company – primarily a WC write
 - Assets: \$115 million
 - Liabilities: \$90 million
 - Surplus: \$25 million
- Apply RBC formula
 - Before and after commutation and increase of additional liabilities

12



RBC Indication – Prior to Commutation of Reinsurance and Retain Additional Losses

RBC Category	Amount	Overall Capital Factor	RBC Requirement
R1 Asset Risk - Fixed Income	100,000,000	0.002	195,000
R2 Asset Risk - Equity	15,000,000	0.049	730,000
R3 Asset Risk - Credit	49,000,000	0.049	2,410,000
R4 Underwriting Risk - Reserves	90,000,000	0.119	10,696,500
R5 Underwriting Risk - Premium	42,000,000	0.128	5,367,264
Total RBC After Covariance			12,231,176

13



Observations

- In this example, the Company's surplus is \$25 million
- Higher than RBC indication of \$12.2 million
- The ACL – authorized control level is \$6.1 million
 - Same results of first example

14



Effects on Capital – Hypothetical Example 2

- Assume \$25 million of WC exposures are commuted back to the primary company
- However, due to the time value of money and financial strength of reinsurer – assume:
 - Only \$15 million of cash is received
 - The liabilities increase by \$25 million
 - The reinsurance recoverables decrease by \$25 million
 - Surplus decreases by \$10 million
 - Assets: \$130 million
 - Liabilities: \$115 million
 - Surplus: \$15 million
- Apply RBC formula

15



**RBC Indication – After \$25 Million WC
Commutation – Liabilities Increased**

RBC Category	Amount	Overall Capital Factor	RBC Requirement
R1 Asset Risk - Fixed Income	115,000,000	0.002	240,000
R2 Asset Risk - Equity	15,000,000	0.049	730,000
R3 Asset Risk - Credit	25,000,000	0.047	1,170,000
R4 Underwriting Risk - Reserves	115,000,000	0.103	11,792,750
R5 Underwriting Risk - Premium	42,000,000	0.128	5,367,264
Total RBC After Covariance			13,032,109

16



Observations

- In this example, the Company's surplus is \$15 million
- The revised RBC indication of \$13.0 million
- RBC indication changed by \$801,000 or 3.2% commuted reserves
- Impact of the commutation may be significant to the Company given the decrease in surplus and the Company's surplus to the indicated RBC surplus

17



**Effects on Capital – Hypothetical Example 3
From a Reinsurer's Perspective**

- P&C Insurance Company
 - Assets: \$750 million
 - Liabilities: \$650 million
 - Surplus: \$100 million
- Apply RBC formula

18



RBC Indication – Prior to Commutation

RBC Category	Amount	Overall Capital Factor	RBC Requirement
R1 Asset Risk - Fixed Income	650,000,000	0.002	1,050,000
R2 Asset Risk - Equity	100,000,000	0.071	7,140,000
R3 Asset Risk - Credit	48,000,000	0.043	2,040,000
R4 Underwriting Risk - Reserves	650,000,000	0.151	98,329,785
R5 Underwriting Risk - Premium	100,000,000	0.128	12,793,382
Total RBC After Covariance			99,441,747

19



Observations

- In this example, the Company's surplus is \$100 million
- Slightly higher than RBC indication of \$99.4 million
- The ACL – authorized control level is \$49.7 million
- A P/C company's RBC requirement is largely driven by its underwriting component, especially Reserve Risk (R4 in the example above)
- Reducing the amount of unpaid claim liabilities should reduce the indicated RBC requirement
- Reduce liabilities by commuting some long tail exposures (WC)

20



Effects on Capital – Hypothetical Example 3 From a Reinsurer's Perspective

- Assume \$25 million of WC exposures are commuted
- Assume \$20 million is paid to the insured and reserves are reduced by \$25 million
- Surplus increases by \$5 million
 - Assets: \$730 million
 - Liabilities: \$625 million
 - Surplus: \$105 million
- Apply RBC formula

21



RBC Indication – After \$25 million WC Commutation

RBC Category	Amount	Overall Capital Factor	RBC Requirement
R1 Asset Risk - Fixed Income	630,000,000	0.002	990,000
R2 Asset Risk - Equity	100,000,000	0.071	7,140,000
R3 Asset Risk - Credit	46,000,000	0.043	1,980,000
R4 Underwriting Risk - Reserves	625,000,000	0.153	95,535,148
R5 Underwriting Risk - Premium	100,000,000	0.128	12,793,382
Total RBC After Covariance			96,677,377

22



Observations

- RBC indication changed by \$2.8 million or 11% of commuted reserves
 - RBC Indication is \$96.7 million compared to surplus of \$105 million
- Even though both company's commuted \$25 million of reserves
 - The impact on the cedant's RBC indication was 3.2% of reserves or \$801,000
 - The impact for the reinsurer's RBC indication was 11% of the commuted reserves or \$2.8 million

23



Conclusion

- Commuting outwards or inwards reinsurance will affect the capital requirement
- The magnitude will vary by the specifics of the company and type of reinsurance being commuted
- Before completing a commutation, one can quantify the likely impact to surplus and required surplus
- I am not advocating the impact should be directly factored into the commutation price, but the effect on surplus should be considered before any commutation

24



Other Considerations

- Accompanying Oral Discussion
 - This document is not complete without the accompanying oral discussion and explanation of the underlying projections, results and variability
- Limited Distribution
 - This document should not be distributed, disclosed or otherwise furnished, in whole or in part, without the express written consent of Milliman, Inc.
