The Effect of Health Insurance Coverage Expansion on Workers' Comp Claims

Philip Armour Prodyumna Goutam Paul Heaton



Institute for Civil Justice

The Affordable Care Act has halved the number of uninsured



The question

What will happen to workers' compensation?



Our answer



Decline in ER workers' comp

We examined claims by:

- Charge size: expensive WC visits fall most
- Type of injury: strains/sprains most affected

Potential implications

- Less fraud
- Lower workers' compensation premiums
- Variation by industry, state

Choices for injured workers

No medical careLawsuitOwn health insuranceWorkers' compOut of pocketOther program

- Each choice has costs and implications
- Incentives for workers change with new coverage

Provider incentives

- Hospitals/doctors can get reimbursed differently depending on payer
- States' WC systems have different levels of generosity through reimbursement rates
- More generous WC may lead to less shifting

Which Mechanism?

- Can't untangle the mechanisms driving our results
- Could be decreases in fraud, or decreases in complex or marginal cases
- Appears to be driven by both providers and patients

A difficult question

- But first, how to even estimate the effect of health insurance coverage on WC claiming?
- People with and without health insurance differ
 - For example, employees at firms that provide health insurance are *more likely* to claim WC

Our Approach

- ACA expanded coverage for young adults in 2010
- We compare emergency room (ER) billings to workers' compensation for 23- to 28-year-olds
- Caveat: ACA's broader impacts on the health insurance/provision landscape will lead to different insurer-provider interactions, but our affected population here is small

Why focus on ER?

- Data show entire mix of health coverage billing
- Most WC claims include hospital care
- Effects on ER visits are likely strongest margin and "cleanest" estimate

Our focus is ER visits in four states



Our data

- 18 million ER visits of 23-28 year-olds, 2007 to 2012
- Treatment group:
 Under 26 in 2011/2012
- Control group:
 - 26 and over in 2011/2012
 - 23-28 before 2011



RAND Proprietary – Do not cite or distribute



RAND Proprietary – Do not cite or distribute



RAND Proprietary – Do not cite or distribute

Likelihood of Being Uninsured, Young Adults Age 23-28, by State and Post-Dependent Coverage Expansion, from American Community Survey

	Likelihood Uninsured				
	CA	FL	NJ	NY	
Under 26, After 2011	-0.0628***	-0.0104***	-0.0604***	-0.0632***	
	(0.000478)	(0.000750)	(0.00103)	(0.000623)	
Uninsured Pre-2011	0.328	0.376	0.257	0.236	
Implied % Change	-18.9%	-2.8%	-23.5%	-26.8%	
Frequency-Weighted Observations R-squared	16,396,960 0.002	7,106,620 0.002	3,246,521 0.003	8,191,548 0.003	

Are People Changing Whether They Visit an ER?

- If so, we'll need to account for it, but we can observe any gross changes in ER visits
- In our data: no
- Short time horizon, mid-20s individuals
- Vast majority of visits for this group are "nondiscretionary"; limiting analysis to just this group doesn't change anything

Context for our findings

- 2-3% of ER visits are billed to WC
- WC visits are less expensive, mostly male, and weekday
- Florida had a strong existing dependent coverage law
- NJ and NY have much more generous ER reimbursements for WC

Workers' Compensation Fee Schedule Premium (Percentage Greater Than Medicare Fee Schedule)

	December, 2009		July, 2011		
	Overall	ER Services	Overall	ER Services	
California	15%	26%	-1%	24%	
Florida	9%	4%	2%	3%	
New Jersey	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
New York	24%	46%	15%	86%	
	^				

Source: Workers Compensation Research Institute

Fewer uninsured, fewer WC charges



Highly Robust Findings

- These results control for demographics, age- and year-fixed-effects, hospital fixed effects, diagnostic fixed effects, time of day, day of week, and month of year
- Results start only in first year of policy (not before!)
- Robust to sample definition, placebo tests, and changing controls

Overall results



of billing an ER visit to WC

ACA projection



Type of injury may matter

- Some without health insurance may bill non-work injuries to WC
- With health insurance, they are less likely to do so
- If true, our effect would be strongest for difficult-toverify conditions, such as strains and sprains

Type of injury may matter

- Some without health insurance may bill non-work injuries to WC
- With health insurance, they are less likely to do so
- If true, our effect would be strongest for difficult-toverify conditions, such as strains and sprains

So we estimated the effect by type of injury

California



New York



New Jersey



Florida



Size of the ER charge matters, too

- Those with no insurance may bill WC for small charges but they won't gain much for the risk
- Larger charges may draw more suspicion but will also save more money

Drop in more expensive WC charges

- WC ER visits tend to be smaller than average ER visit
- Medium-sized charges in the overall charge distribution are most likely to shift away from WC
- So these tend to be larger-than-average WC charges
- Surprisingly consistently charge "premium" across states: additional 33% in savings

Savings are large



Some Limitations

- We're focused on ER visits: office-based visits, indemnity payments, etc. could vary
- Short-term analysis: ACA will have far reaching impacts on individual, provider, and insurer decision-making
- Difficult to determine whether this is "good news": improvements in continuity of care? Health?

Variations on this theme

- Nevertheless, overall estimates are robust and not limited to a single state
- Focused on clean and clear margin of WC claims
- Also, sub-analyses predict impact will be greater if:
 - Previously uninsured population is larger
 - State's WC system is less generous
 - Disproportionately high number of strains/sprains or open wounds
 - Disproportionately more expensive WC claims

Thank You!