Sunday Risk Measures

Stephen J. Mildenhall May 2018



School of Risk Management

Challenges for ERM and Insurance Operations...

... all require risk quantification

- Pricing and underwriting
- Capitalization and capital cost allocation
- Portfolio optimization
- Risk adjusted LOB performance
- Reinsurance value assessment
- Reinsurance cost allocation
- ... but just what is risk??

Definition I: Y is More Risky Than X if...

Y equals X plus a mean zero *noise* random variable



Definition II: Y is More Risky Than X if...

X and Y have the same mean and every person who prefers more to less but has decreasing marginal utility prefers X to Y



Definition III: Y is More Risky Than X if...

X and Y have the same mean and Y has more weight in the tails than X = stop-loss insurance on Y is more expensive than on X



Definition IV: Y is More Risky Than X if...

 \boldsymbol{Y} has greater variance than \boldsymbol{X}



Definition of Risk

- Defining and measuring risk is difficult
- Need heuristics...called risk measures

Risk Measures Express Risk Preferences

 A risk measure ρ is a function associating a number ρ(X) to a random variable X so that a variable X is preferred to Y ⇔ ρ(X) ≤ ρ(Y)

Examples of Risk Measures

- Standard deviation, variance
- Semi-variance, one-sided
- Value at risk
- Tail value at risk
- Expected policyholder deficit
- Esscher transform
- Minimum entropy risk
- RBC, BCAR, Solvency II
- Scenario loss, Lloyd's RDS
- . . .

Examples of Risk Measures

- Standard deviation, variance
- Semi-variance, one-sided
- Value at risk
- Tail value at risk
- Expected policyholder deficit
- Esscher transform
- Minimum entropy risk
- RBC, BCAR, Solvency II
- Scenario loss, Lloyd's RDS
- ...
- Appropriate risk measure varies with intended purpose and must have properties consistent with economic theory

Utility theory

- We all prefer more of a good thing to less
- Many exhibit diminishing marginal utility (DMU)
- DMU \Rightarrow downward sloping demand curve
- Utility function U adjusts outcome wealth x to U(x)
- Utility theory: DMU ⇔ risk averse, the definition!
- Utility **relative** to current wealth
- Confounds attitudes to wealth and risk

Dual utility theory

- Disliking risk \iff DMU
- Corporations not DMU but may still dislike risk
- Dual Utility: adjust probabilities not outcomes
- Spectral risk measures adjust probabilities
- Google Yaari for more details!

General equilibrium models

- Prices determined by supply and demand for a broad range of contracts
- Equilibrium prices equalize marginal utilities
 - equilibrium solution = quota share aggregate output
 - resulting pooling diversifies all diversifiable risk
 - consumption proportional to aggregate production and
 - consumption inversely related to individual risk aversion

General equilibrium models

- Prices determined by supply and demand for a broad range of contracts
- Equilibrium prices equalize marginal utilities
 - equilibrium solution = quota share aggregate output
 - resulting pooling diversifies all diversifiable risk
 - consumption proportional to aggregate production and
 - consumption inversely related to individual risk aversion
- The price today of a contract for a dollar in a future worse (lower aggregate production) state must be greater than than for a dollar in a future good state
 - Consumption lower implies marginal utility = state price higher
 - Adjusted probabilities must reflect state price of a dollar

No arbitrage

- Equilibrium prices cannot contain opportunities for arbitrage = riskless gain
 - Not equilibrium if you prefer more to less!
- Prices have no arbitrage ⇔ they are consistent with a set of event probabilities
 - Positive, additive state price density
 - Static, point-in-time, conditions: easy
 - Dynamic, over-time, conditions: harder, using stochastic processes
 - Equivalent martingale (no trend) measure

No arbitrage can determine general equilibrium solution

- If a risk process has a unique set of allowable probabilities they must be the price process
 - Black-Scholes
 - Representation theorem: hedging portfolio

Connections between general equilibrium and no arbitrage

- General equilibrium prices \Rightarrow no arbitrage, but
- No arbitrage \Rightarrow general equilibrium

Implications For Insurance and Spectral Risk Measures

- However much I care about a loss of \$10 million I must care more about a loss of \$11 million
- Whenever a loss of \$11 million occurs a loss of \$10 million has also occurred



Implications For Insurance and Spectral Risk Measures

If the care/care-more curve...

- does not integrate to 1 the associated risk measure will assign net risk to a certain payment
- is not **positive** the associated risk measure will **price a lower risk** higher
- is not increasing the associated risk measure will not respect diversification
- On Tuesday and Wednesday we will see explicitly how to build a risk measure from a care/care-more spectrum
- John will now look at various examples